

# Studies on the Effect of Land Configuration & Weed Management Practices on Different Growth & Yield Parameters of Rabi Planted Lentil (*Lens Culinaris*) in Dehradun

Sonali Dimri<sup>#1</sup>, Priyanka Bankoti<sup>\*2</sup>

<sup>#</sup>Research Scholar & Department of Agronomy & SGRR Dehradun  
SGRR PG College Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

## Abstract

*Lentil is most popular cereal crop in the world due to its nutritive value. Further it takes very less time to cultivate as compare to all other cereal crops. There are lots of factors which affect the production yield of lentil. However, most of the factors could be managed by growers but managing of all factors at same time is a tedious job for any of the grower. Here, we studied the effect of land configuration & weed management practices on different growth & yield parameters of rabi planted lentil (*Lens culinari*).*

Keywords - *lentil, yield, growth, weed management, land configuration*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Lentil, botanically known as *Lens culinaris*, is one of the most important Rabi legume crop. The word lentil comes from the Latin word “*Lens*”, as its shape is like the double convex optic Lens which took its name from the lentil. It is cultivated all over the world with excellent socio-economic value. It was first grown in southwest Asia in 7,000 B.C. as in [8]. Now it is widely cultivated throughout Europe, Asia, and North Africa but is little grown in the Western Hemisphere as in [1]. In India, lentil is mostly grown in northern plains, central and eastern parts of the country. The major lentil producing states are Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Uttarakhand and Bengal. It is mainly grown for its edible seed which matures between 90 to 120 days and popularly known as ‘Masoor’ in India as in [3].

Lentil is rich in many valuable components with its high nutritive value. It is composed of approx 63% of carbohydrates, 29% of protein, 8% of iron, 2% of sugars and 0.87 of thiamine (vitamin B1), 5 % fiber, 3% ash and 4186 kcal/kg of gross energy as in [9]. Lentil helps in improving soil texture and soil fertility and conserve natural resources providing long term sustainability in agricultural productivity. It utilizes limited soil moisture and nutrients more efficiently than cereal crop thus it can grow under highly adverse conditions.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Shri Guru Ram Rai (PG) College, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, during *rabi* season of 2017. The soil of the experimental field was 'sandy loam' with characteristics as deep, well- drained, coarse loamy cover over fragmental soils and of medium fertility. Starter dose of 20 kg N<sub>2</sub> and 55 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per ha has been applied in all experimental plots uniformly. Sowing of lentil “Pant L 7” was done on November 5, 2017. The experiment designed as two land configuration treatments i.e., Flat Bed Method and Raised Bed Method in main plot and four weed management treatments i.e., weedy (control), Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha as PE, imazethapyr 52 g/ha as PoE at 20 DAS and pendimethalin 1.0 kg/ha as PE + imazethapyr 52 g/ha as PoE at 20 DAS laid out in split plot design with three replications. Weed samples were collected by placing a quadrat (50 cm x 50 cm) randomly at two places in each plot. The observations taken at 30, 45, 60 & 75 DAS and crop harvest were compared and analysed. Weed control efficiency (WCE) was calculated according to the standard formula as in [7]. Growth and yield parameters were calculated by using standard formula as in [4].

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The major weed species identified in the experimental field were *Chenopodium album* and *Melilotus alba*. The weed species were correlated with the observations as in [10].

### A. Effect of different treatments on weed dynamics Total weed density and total weed dry matter accumulation

Experimental results revealed that crop establishment methods i.e., flat bed method and raised bed method have non-significant effect on the total weed density and total weed dry matter accumulation of weeds at 60 days after sowing. Highest total weed density and total weed dry matter accumulation weeds was recorded in the uncontrolled weedy check which was significantly higher than imazethapyr 10 SL @ 52

g/ha POE, 20 DAS followed by pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg /ha PE followed by pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg /ha PE + imazethapyr 10 SL @ 52 g/ha POE, 20 DAS respectively. Pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @1.0kg/ha + post emergence application of imazethapyr 10SL @52g/ha 20 DAS) caused significant reduction in total weed density than other treatments at all the stages of crop growth. Pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1 kg/ha, PE remained at par with imazethapyr @52g/ha, PoE applied at 20 DAS with respect to total weed reduction at all the growth stages.

The highest total weed density 10.2 and 14.2 No/m<sup>2</sup> and dry matter accumulation 7.9 and 14.7 g/m<sup>2</sup> were recorded in weedy check, whereas lowest total weed

density 5.4 and 7.3 No./m<sup>2</sup> and dry matter accumulation 4.3 and 8.1 g/m<sup>2</sup> at 30 and 60 DAS were recorded in pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg /ha PE + Imazethapyr 10SL @ 52g/ha P<sub>0</sub>E, 20 DAS as in [2]. The long lasting effects and weed control efficiency of imazethapyr in reducing weed dry matter might be due to broad spectrum activity of herbicides particularly on established plants of both narrow and broadleaved weeds as in [6].

The large dry biomass production in weaker treatments were due to ineffective suppression of weeds at their initial and active growth stages leading to maturity and fair dry matter accumulation (Table-1).

**TABLE I**  
**Effect of land configuration and weed management on weed dynamics and economic of lentil**

Treatment	Weed density (No./m <sup>2</sup> )		Weed dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> )		WCE (%)	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	Net returns (Rs./ha )	B : C ratio
	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS				
<b>A. Land configuration</b>								
*FB	8.4	10.8	6	11.8	36.12	30587	39735	1.30
**RB	7.6	10.2	5.9	10.8	35.95	31387	42401	1.35
S.E m ±	0.4	0.3	0.05	0.5	-	-	-	-
C.D at (5%)	NS	N S	NS	NS	-	-	-	-
<b>B. Weed Management Practice</b>								
Weedy	8.2	11.8	7.2	11	-	28690	11848	0.41
Pendimethalin 30EC @ 1.0 kg /ha PE	7.2	10.5	6.4	9.9	43.37	31355	55060	1.75
Imazethapyr 10 SL @ 52 POE, 20DAS	7.6	11.0	6.9	10.6	31.69	30620	39085	1.27
Pendi. + Imaz.	6.7	9.8	5.8	9.5	69.30	33285	67257	2.02
S.E m ±	0.3	0.4	0.30	0.3	-	-	-	-
C.D at (5%)	0.9	1.2	0.80	0.94	-	-	-	-

\*FB: Flat Bed Method; \*\*RB: Raised Bed Method

### **B. Weed control efficiency**

Weed control efficiency (WCE) differed significantly due to under different weed management practices. The highest weed control efficiency (69.5%) was obtained with pre emergence application of 30 EC @1.0kg/ha + post emergence application of imazethapyr 10SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS. Weed control efficiency calculated under pre emergence application of 30 EC @1.0 kg/ha and imazethapyr were 43.5%

and 31.89% respectively (Table-1). No interaction was observed between the crop established methods and weed management practices.

### **C. Effect of different treatments on crop growth dynamics**

A perusal on pooled data showed that there was non-significant difference between different crop establishment methods with respect to growth

parameters and yield attributing characters of lentil as in [6].

#### **D. Number of branches**

The number of branches of lentil plant was not affected by different establishment methods significantly at all the growth stages of crop. However, more number of branches was observed in raised bed method as compared to flat bed methods. A significant difference in number of branches per plant was recorded due to different weed management practices at all the growth stages of crop. Pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha + post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS being at par with pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha recorded significantly higher number of branches per plant than other treatments at all the growth stages of crop. This may be attributed to better crop growth environment along with less crop weed competition in these treatments than weedy check (Table-2).

#### **E. Number of nodules**

Both establishment methods (Flat bed and Raised bed methods) were unable to bring significant number of nodules per plant at 30 DAS. However, at 45 and 60 DAS stage raised bed planting out produced nodules over flat bed. Highest nodules were recorded under raised bed method than flat bed method among the land configuration treatments.

Among the weed management methods, number of nodules per plant was not significantly affected at 30 and 60 DAS stage. At 45 DAS stage, pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha + post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha PoE at 20 DAS being at par with pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha and post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS resulted significantly higher number of nodules per plant than weedy check which had the least number of nodules per plant than all other treatments.

Pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha + post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha PoE at 20 DAS had increased number of nodules per plant over weedy check by a margin of 11.6% at 45 DAS respectively (Table-2).

#### **F. Number of pods per plant**

In pulse crops number of pods/m<sup>2</sup> is the most important determinant of grain or seed yield. The number of pods/m<sup>2</sup>, which normally gives a more reliable or accurate picture and contributing most in determining the yield, is presented here as main yield component. The number of pods per plant in lentil was affected significantly by different establishment methods. Raised bed method of planting in lentil resulted in significantly higher number pods than flat bed. Raised bed planting increased the number of pods/plant by a margin of 26 per cent over flat bed. The number of pods per plant was affected significantly by different weed management practices. Among the different weed management practices, the maximum number of pods per plant counted was in pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha + post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS which was significantly higher than other treatments. The lowest number of pods per plant was found in weedy check which was significantly lower than other treatments (Table-2).

#### **G. Effect of different treatments on grain yield and economics Grain yield per hectare**

The grain yield per hectare was not affected significantly by different methods of planting as in [5]. Though, grain yield per hectare was higher in raised bed method of planting than that of flat bed. Raised bed method increased grain yield by 7.8 per cent over flat bed.

Grain yield per hectare was affected significantly by Different weed management practices. Pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha + post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS resulted in highest grain yield (1416 kg/ha). The lowest grain yield/ha was recorded in weedy check (441 kg/ha). The difference in grain yield obtained under pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha and post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS was non significant. Although, pre emergence application of pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1 kg/ha resulted in higher grain yield/ha than post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha when applied at 20 DAS (Table-2).

**TABLE II**  
Effect of land configuration and weed management on crop growth dynamics of lentil

Treatment	No. of branches at 60 DAS	No. of nodules/plant at 60 DAS	Plant height (cm)	No. of pods/plant	No. of grains/pod	Grain yield (kg/ha)
<b>A. Land configuration</b>						
*FB	6.2	23.6	39.8	21.8	6.2	978
**RB	7.2	24.0	41.8	22.6	6.6	1088
S.E m ±	0.5	0.2	1.0	0.4	0.2	55
C.D at (5%)	NS	NS	0.7	2.6	NS	NS
<b>B. Weed Management Practice</b>						

Weedy	3.6	21.0	42.8	22.4	5.1	796
Pendimethalin 30EC @ 1.0 kg /ha PE	6.8	25.2	41	25.4	6.9	1099
Imazethapyr 10 SL @ 52 POE, 20DAS	5.4	24.7	40.4	24.2	7.3	994
Pendi. + Imaz.	7.2	27.8	39.2	28	7.9	1155
S.E m ±	0.76	1.40	0.75	1.17	0.60	79
C.D at (5%)	2.2	4.2	2.3	3.2	1.83	248

\*FB: Flat Bed Method; \*\*RB: Raised Bed Method

#### H. Economic analysis

Raised bed method of planting resulted in higher cost of cultivation over flat bed method of planting as in [11]. Both the land configuration treatments i.e. flat bed and raised bed recorded almost the same monetary returns and B: C ratio (1.35 and 1.41).

Among different weed management practices, Pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha PE + imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha PoE at 20 DAS resulted in the highest cost of cultivation while cost of cultivation in weedy check is lowest. Pre emergence application of Pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg/ha costs higher as compared to post emergence application of imazethapyr 10 SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS. The highest B:C ratio in pre emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0kg/ha and post-emergence application of imazethapyr 10SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS (B:C ratio- 2.20) was due to higher grain and straw yield which resulted in the highest gross return and net return than the other treatments and cost of cultivation was not higher in proportionate to that of gross return (Table-1).

#### IV. CONCLUSION

On the basis of experiment findings, it may be concluded that raised bed planting though was statistically at par to flat bed but it better performed than that flat bed. Though it was not much beneficial in *rabi* season of Dehradun climate but have the potential to perform well and mitigating the stresses than that of flat bed. As raised bed also resulted in high B:C ratio, we can recommend it to the farming community.

Among weed management practices pre emergence application of pendimethalin @1.0kg/ha + post emergence application of imazethapyr 10SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS gave best result. So, imazethapyr 10SL @52g/ha at 20 DAS may be better alternate option of manual weeding at later stages of lentil.

Since, these findings are based on the result of one season data, investigation need to be repeated in future for validation and recommendation to farming community.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Brand, J., Mick, L., McMurray, L & Materne, M. 2012. Novel herbicide tolerance in lentils. In: Proceedings of 16th Agronomy Conference 2012 University of New England in Armidale, NSW 14-18<sup>th</sup>.
- [2] Chauhan, P. R., Jha, A. K., Sharma, J. K. and Jha, G. 2012. Efficacy of chlorimuron ethyl in transplanted rice. Extended summaries of the 3rd Intl. Agronomy Congress. Nov. 26 – 30. Vol. 3, Pp 918 – 919.
- [3] Deshmukh, S. P., Vasave, J., Patel, A. M. 2016. A Short Review of Land Configuration to Improve the Plant Growth, Development and Yield of Cereals. International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Innovations Vol. 4, Issue 3, pp: (1-4).
- [4] Donald, C. M. 1962. In search of yield. J. Aust.Inst. Agri, Sci.28
- [5] Kumar, A., Tewari, A.N. 2004. Crop weed competition studies on summer sown black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.). Indian journal of Weed Science 36: 76-78.
- [6] Lhungdim, J., Singh, Y., Singh, O. N. & Chongtham, S. K. 2014. Efficiency of different weed control methods on yield and economics of rainfed lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus). Journal of Food Legumes 27(1): 32-36.
- [7] Mani, U.S. 1975. Nutrient drain and weed growth in crop field, Fertilizer News, 20, (2), 42-58.
- [8] McVicar, R., McCall, P., Brenzil, C., Hartley, S., Panchuk, K., Mooleki, P., Vandenberg, A. & Banniza, S. 2010. Lentils in Saskatchewan, Fact Sheet, Saskatchewan Ministry of Agriculture, (<http://www.agriculture.gov.sk.ca>).
- [9] Sharara, F., El-Shahawy, T. & El-Rokiek, K. 2011. Effect of prometryn/benzoic acid combination on weeds, seed yield and yield components of lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.), Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities, 14, (1), 2.
- [10] Tamang, D., Nath, R. & Sengupta, K. 2015. Effect of Herbicide Application on Weed Management in Green Gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Advances in Crop Science and Technology. 3 (2): 161-163.
- [11] Veeraputhiran, R. 2009. Effect of mechanical weeding on weed infestation and yield of irrigated black gram and green gram. Indian journal of Weed Science, 41: 75-77.