Original Article

To Study the Structural Characterization of the Polyaniline/CoFe₂O₄ Nanocomposites
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Abstract - In the present research work, Polyaniline/CoFe₂O₄ nanocomposites were prepared using the co-precipitation method, which is economical and eco-friendly. The CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles get adhered into a polymer using the in-situ chemical polymerization method. The various techniques were approached to characterize the prepared sample, such as XRD and FTIR. The peaks obtained at around 20.3 degrees and 25.2 degrees show the amorphous nature of PANI (low crystallinity). The appearance of characteristic diffraction peaks achieved at 35.5 degrees and 43.2 degrees ascribed to well-arranged crystalline cobalt ferrite pointing to the successive synthesis by co-precipitation route. Different analyses such as Debye- Scherrer, Halder, Wagner (H-W), and Williamson Hall (W-H) methods have investigated various parameters such as crystallite size and strain. The achieved values of crystallite size were highly correlated with Debye- Scherrer, Halder Wagner (H-W), and Williamson Hall (W-H) plots. The FTIR characterization confirmed the bonding among the Polyaniline/CoFe₂O₄ nanocomposites, which gets well-matched with XRD results.
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1. Introduction

Nanotechnology is the branch of study at the nanoscale; there are numerous classes of nanomaterials, such as polymeric nanomaterials are in great trend due to a wide range of applications. The polymeric nanocomposites possess a large conductivity, flexibility, and processability value. PANI (Polyaniline) is one of the most promising polymers attributed to its cost, easy synthesis, and chemical and thermal stability [1,14,26]. The magnetic and electrical properties of materials are important in sensors, absorbers, and shielding. The magnetic property of ferrite was enhanced by adhering to the conducting polymer [2,19]. Hence, the researchers focused on preparing polyaniline (PANI) and ferrite nanocomposites as they possess unique properties. The electronic and chemical interactions occur when the ferrite, a ferromagnetic material coated into a polymer matrix, is already conducting[16,17,18]. The ferrite particle gets incorporated into the polymer, improving and advancing the properties besides the conductivity in a controllable manner [3, 11]. Due to the high advancement in magnetic properties, ferrite is a promising material. Among ferrite, CoFe2O4 is highly preferable due to its high coercivity value, anisotropy, the large value of magnetization, higher mechanical hardness, and stability [4,12]. The cobalt ferrite nanoparticles possess an inverse spinel structure to constitute ions of Fe3+ and are distributed in an equal manner among the octahedral and tetrahedral sites. Therefore, in this article, we prepare and characterize the PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites. The most important requisite factor among the nanostructured material is the size determination. X-ray diffraction tools can employ crystallite size and various phases. The obtained diffraction pattern for various phases is peculiar and termed fingerprint analysis of the prepared sample, which can be further matched with the JCPDS card of the various sample in the data Bank. In the investigation of the powdered sample through X-ray techniques, the data was obtained between the intensity and diffraction angle 2θ. In nanoscience, the Debye Scherrer formulation is considered the most extensively used to calculate the exact size of the prepared sample. Moreover, the broadening of peaks depends on various factors like strain, imperfection of lattice, and dislocation effects [5,13]. These defects should be minimized so that the width of the peak would be estimated more precisely. The strain present in the lattice structure that relies on ignition temperature is also responsible for broadening the peak [25]. A lot of structural investigations were done on the nanocomposites of polymer/ferrite. Debojyoti Nath et al.[6] studied the Williamson hall plots with different models under consideration for detecting microstructural and physical parameters like stress, energy density, and strain. The average granule size has been calculated from debye Scherrer, Halder Wagner, and Williamson hall plots. M.A et al. [7] synthesized PANI/cobalt zinc ferrite having 5 M concentration nano substances by in-situ polymerization method. The nanocomposites were characterized by FTIR, X-ray diffraction, UV analysis, and TEM images. The
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calculated average grain size of PANI (pure) and zinc Cobalt ferrite / PANI were 50 and 70 nanometers. In the present research work, the cobalt ferrite nanoparticles were prepared through the co-precipitation method, which is economical and eco-friendly. The CoFe2O4 nanoparticles get adhered into a polymer using the in-situ chemical polymerization method. The structural characterization was done through XRD and FTIR investigation. Various analysis was employed, such as Debye Scherrer, Halder Wagner (H-W), and Williamson Hall plots (W-H). The obtained values of crystallite size and strain were in high correlation. The FTIR confirmed the bonding between PANI/CoFe2O4.

2. Starting Materials

The chemicals used in the present study are in the hydrated form of the salt of tin chloride, cobalt nitrate, ammonium hydroxide solution, and ethanol. The entire chemicals used in this research work are analytical grade and not to be further purified at a laboratory scale.

2.1. Synthesis

Pure PANI was prepared through the polymerization method. 0.4 M aniline gets dissolved into 50 ml HCl solution and sonicated it for almost ½ hr. 0.25 M ammonium persulfate gets mixed into the aniline + HCl solution and left the solution to polymerize under an ice bath approx. 24 hr. The greenish precipitates formed of PANI, filtered out, and dried out under vacuum conditions for one day. Cobalt ferrite nanoparticles were prepared through co-precipitation method[22,23,24]. Took the beaker and added a stoiochemtric ratio of ferric chloride and cobalt chloride into a 50 ml water solution. Ammonium hydroxide pellets got mixed with 100 ml of water. They added the dropwise solution through the burette into the beaker with constant stirring on the hot plate at 700 C temperatures by maintaining a pH value between 8 to 9. The blackish powder was obtained as precipitates which were then filtered out and kept for the aging process for about 24 hrs. PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites were prepared through in situ chemical polymerization method by varying PANI composition with 0.2M and 0.3 M concentrations.

2.2. Properties examination of various samples

The characterization for the prepared nanostructured materials of PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites was conceded through diffraction caused by X-rays. The structural investigation of PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites nanostructured materials was examined using the XRD technique through Cu-Kα radiation (0.154nm) by varying the angle position ranging from 100 to 800. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy determined the infra-red spectrographs for the prepared PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites were determined through Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. The X-rays and FTIR spectroscopic results were used to set up a relation between the crystalline nature of nanocomposites and strain values for the prepared PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. X-ray analysis

The graphical representation of PANI (pure) and PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites (with 0.2M and 0.3M heated at 300°C) are depicted in figure 1. The XRD diffraction peaks of cobalt ferrite get highly matched with the JCPDS card entry 22-1086, which constitute the cubic spinel structure of cobalt ferrite. The peaks obtained at around 20.3 degrees and 25.2 degrees show the amorphous nature of PANI (low crystallinity). The appearance of characteristic diffraction peaks achieved at 35.5 degrees and 43.2 degrees ascribed to well-arranged crystalline cobalt ferrite pointing to the successive synthesis by co-precipitation route. The weakening of diffraction peaks of PANI signified the incorporation of cobalt ferrite into polyaniline and confirmed the formation of nanocomposites. The grain size is calculated for the PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites (with 0.2M and 0.3M) at 21.33 nm and 14.28 nm, respectively, as obtained from the Debye Scherrer formulation.

![Graphical representation of PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites with pure PANI, 0.2M and 0.3M heated at 300°C](image)

**Fig. 1** Graphical representation of PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites (with pure PANI, 0.2M and 0.3M heated at 300°C)

3.2. Size determination

3.2.1. Debye scherrer formula:

The crystalline size for the powdered sample for the intense peaks has been calculated through the Debye Scherrer formula:

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos\theta}$$

Here, D represents the crystallite size in nm, K represents the Scherrer constant, and its value is 0.94, λ is the wavelength for Cu- Kα radiation which constitutes the wavelength 0.154 nm, θ is diffraction angle, and β is full width at half maximum intensity for the intense peak in radian unit. The average crystallite size and strain value for the PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites (0.2M) are 21.33 nm and 0.10875 * 10⁻³ respectively. The average crystallite size and strain value for the PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites (0.3M) are 14.28 nm and 0.1575 * 10⁻³ respectively.
3.2.2. Williamson hall plot (W-H plot):

With the addition of instrumental X-ray broadening, the pressure present within the lattice is also responsible for the peak broadening [8,15,20]. Hence the lattice stress highly affects the dimension of crystallite size. The whole peak broadening (\(\beta_T\)) in the XRD pattern is the combination of broadening due to crystallite size (\(\beta\)) and broadening due to stress (\(\beta_s\)).

\[
\beta_T = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} + 4\varepsilon \tan \theta
\]

\(\varepsilon\) represents lattice strain in the crystal structure

\[
\beta_T \cos \theta = \frac{K\lambda}{D} + 4\varepsilon \sin \theta
\]

The equation mentioned above represents a straight line; as a result, \(\varepsilon\) is the line slope, and \(K\lambda/D\) is the intercept of a line. The Williamson hall plot for the PANI/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) nanocomposites (0.2M and 0.3M) is plotted between the 4 sin \(\theta\) and \(\beta_T\) cos \(\theta\), as shown in figure 2. The crystallite size and lattice strain have been achieved from the intercept and slope of the linear fit of the curve. The size obtained for the (0.2M) PANI/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) nanocomposites is 10.566 nm, and the achieved strain value is 0.00322. The achieved size for the (0.3M) PANI/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) nanocomposites is 7.871 nm, and the strain value is 4.95*10\(^{-5}\).

![Fig. 2(a) W-H plot for the PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites (0.2M) (b) W-H plot for the PANI/CoFe2O4 nanocomposites (0.3M)](image)

3.2.3. Halder Wagner method (H-W plot):

This method is based on the supposition that broadening the peak is an asymmetric Voigt function as it combines both Lorentzian and Gaussian functions. Hence, using the Voigt function, the FWHM can be more accurately written through the Halder Wagner method as

\[
\beta^2_{hkl} = \beta^2_L \beta^2_{hkl} + \beta^2_G
\]

\(\beta_L\) and \(\beta_G\) denote FWHM S of Lorentzian and Gaussian function. This approach proves to be more advantageous as it focuses on the peak positions, which are situated to Lower-middle angle ranges where the overlapping of peaks is very less [9]. Hence, the equation relates the crystallite size/lattice strain following the Halder Wagner technique is more precisely represented by:

\[
\frac{\beta^2_{hkl}}{d^2_{hkl}} = \frac{1}{D} \frac{\beta^2_{hkl}}{d^2_{hkl}} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}
\]

Where \(\beta^*_{hkl} = (\beta \cos \theta)/\lambda\) and \(d^*_{hkl} = 2\sin \theta/\lambda\).

The graphical representation of the equation is shown in figure 3. The average crystallite size is obtained from the straight line slope, and the lattice/intrinsic strain of the prepared sample is obtained by the intercept of the line. The mean crystallite size has been obtained from the graph for 0.2M PANI/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) is 12.05 nm, and for 0.3M PANI/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) is 10.80 nm which further suitably matched with the W-H plot. The estimated strain value by Halder Wagner comes out to be for sample 0.2M PANI/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) is 3.61*10\(^{-5}\) and for sample 0.3M PANI/CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) is 2.19*10\(^{-5}\) which is obtained much more than the values achieved from another method. It is observed that the increment in the obtained strain values is basically due to the lower-middle angle XRD data. Moreover, the obtained values of strain in Halder Wagner, as shown in Table 1, because of the various dislocations present in the lattice site that plays a vital role in peak broadening at a much lower diffraction angle.
Table 1. Parameters for PANI/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanocomposites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>DebyeScherrer Method D(in nm)</th>
<th>Williamson-Hall method</th>
<th>Halder Wagner method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size (nm)</td>
<td>strain</td>
<td>D (in nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2M PANI/CoFe$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>0.10875* $10^{-3}$</td>
<td>10.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3M PANI/CoFe$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>0.1575 $10^{-3}$</td>
<td>7.871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. FTIR

The FTIR spectroscopic examination of nano substances of PANI (0.2M and 0.3M) and Cobalt ferrite are displayed in figure 4 and Figure 5. The peak positions at 1510 cm$^{-1}$ and 1699 cm$^{-1}$ are ascribed to C=C stretched vibrations of benzenoid and quinoid rings. This validates the successful manufacturing of nanocomposites in the entire process. The broadband lying at position 1118 cm$^{-1}$ is mainly due to the C-N stretching vibrations [10]. These are the major characteristic peak position of FTIR spectra of PANI. The peak at 614 cm$^{-1}$ is ascribed to the account of various vibrations of the M-O cluster that arise from cobalt ferrite in the lattice site.

Fig. 3(a) H-W plot for the PANI (0.2M)/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanocomposites (b) H-W plot for the PANI (0.3M)/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanocomposites

Fig. 4 FTIR spectrograph for PANI (0.2M)/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanocomposites
5. Conclusion

In this research paper, the nanocomposites of PANI (0.2M)/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ and PANI (0.2M)/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ have been prepared, and various properties have been examined, which are summarized below:

1. The CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were prepared through the co-precipitation route, which further gets adhered into the polymer (PANI) using the in-situ chemical polymerization method by varying the composition of PANI.

2. The structural characterization was done through XRD and FTIR investigation, which confirmed the formation of PANI/CoFe$_2$O$_4$.

3. The average size and strain were analyzed using Debye Scherrer, Halder Wagner (H-W), and Williamson Hall plots (W-H).

4. The average sizes for the prepared nanocomposites were found to be decreased with an increase in PANI concentration due to atomic radii.
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