SSRG International Journal of Civil Engineering (SSRG-IJCE) – volume 2 Issue 5 May 2015

Empirical Survey on Light Weight Silica Fume Concretes

S.V.V.Prasad[1]
Assistant professor and H.O.D, K.O.R.M.C.E., KADAPA Andhra Pradesh

Abstract: *- The joining of silica smoke into the typical cement is a normal one in the present days to produce the perfectly customized high quality and superior cement. The outline parameters are increasing with the fuse of silica smoke in customary cement and the blend proportioning is getting to be complex. The primary target of this paper has been made to examine the diverse mechanical properties like compressive quality, compacting element, drop of solid consolidating silica smolder. In this present paper 5 (five) blend of solid joining silica smoke are cast to perform tests. These analyses were completed by supplanting bond with diverse rates of silica smoke at a solitary consistent water-cementations materials proportion keeping other blend outline variables steady. The silica smoke was supplanted by 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% for water-cementations materials (w/cm) proportion for 0.40. For all blends compressive qualities were resolved at 24 hours, 7 and 28 days for 100 mm and 150 mm shapes. Different properties like compacting element and droop were likewise decided for five blends of cement.*

Keywords:- *Silica Fume, Compressive Strength, Concrete, High performance concrete, Slump.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The generation of Ordinary Portland Concrete (OPC), the principle fixing in ordinary cement shockingly, radiates tremendous measures of carbon -dioxide gas into the environment which has major commitments to greenhouse impact and in this way bringing about a dangerous atmospheric deviation; henceforth it is evident to utilize either exchange or different materials as part substitution.

Some substitute or supplementary pozzolanic materials like Fly fiery remains, silica smoke, Rice husk cinder, Ground Granulated Blast heater Slag, and High Responsive Metakaolin can be utilized for concrete as incomplete substitution in cement and ought to prompt worldwide supportable improvement and least conceivable ecological effect and vitality sparing. The points of interest like high quality, strength and diminishment in bond generation are gotten because of the consolidation of silica smoke in cement and the ideal rate substitution of silica smoke extending from 10 to 20 % to get greatest 28-days quality of cement .

Strength and the other mechanical properties of solid are enhanced when pozzolanic materials are joined in cement due to the response between silica exhibit in pozzolans and the free calcium hydroxide amid the hydration of concrete and thus frames additional calcium silicate hydrate (C – S – H) section substitution of concrete by silica smolder at different rate has enhanced the execution of cement in quality and sturdiness perspective and reported that 10 -15 % silica smolder substitution level produce the ideal (7 and 28-days) compressive quality and flexural quality and it is appeared that silica rage have a more unmistakable impact on the flexural quality than the part elasticity .

The joining of silica smoke in cement is valuable to build the compressive quality , diminishing the drying Shrinkage and the porousness. Likewise the joining of silica smoke in cement is powerful to expand the bond quality with the steel support and scraped area resistance Subsequently, the utilization of silica smoke solid in common structures is wide spreading. In any case, the loss of workability because of the utilization of silica smoke makes the trouble to use silica smoke concrete precisely The littler sizes (10 mm and 5mm) furthermore, adjusted shape totals ought to be utilized forhigh quality of cement than different sizes and shape separately Joining of silica smoke in cement has an unfavorable impact on workability and higher rate of super plasticizer is required for higher rate of concrete substitution by silica smolder. In this paper our endeavor have been made to explore the distinctive mechanical properties like compressive quality, compacting component, drop of cement incuroperating silica seethe considering a solitary water-cementations material proportion of 0.

II. RESEARCH EVALUATIONS

2.1 MATERIALS

Cement

Normal Portland Cement of ACC brand of 43 evaluations was utilized as a part of the present study which surpasses BIS Particulars (IS 8112-1989) on compressive quality levels.

Fine Aggregate

Natural sand as per IS: 383-1970 was used. Locally available River sand having bulk density 2610 kg/m3was used.

SI.No	Property	Result
1	Specific Gravity	2.61
2	Fineness Modulus	3.10
3	Grading Zone	11

Table 1: Properties of Fine Aggregates

Coarse Aggregate

Pounded total affirming to IS: 383-1970 was utilized. Totals of size 12.5 mm of particular gravity 2.83 and fineness modulus 6.28 were utilized

Silica Fume (Grade 920D)

Silica smoke utilized was affirming to ASTM C (1240-2000) and was supplied small scale silica 920 D. The Silica smoke is utilized as a fractional substitution of concrete. The properties of silica smoke are indicated in Table 2. A Filtering Electron Microscopy and EDAX Spectrum have been accounted for separately to backing the molecule morphology with essential present.

SI.No	**CHEMICAL ANALYSIS**	**ANALYSIS**
1	SiO2	95.00%
2.	SO3	0.18%
3.	CI	0.12%
4.	Total Alkali	0.66%
5.	Moisture Content	0.16%
6	Loss of Ignition	1.92%
7	pH	7.90%

Table 2:Silica Fume chemical and physical analysis report

SI.No	**PHYSICAL TESTS**	**ANALYSIS**
1.	Oversize -% retained on 45µm sieve (wet sieved)	1.13%
2.	Density – (Specific gravity)	2.27%
3.	Bulk Density –(Per ASTM)	187.91 kg/m3
4.	Specific Surface area (by BET)	22.21m2/kg
5	Accelerated Pozzolanic Activity index with Portland Cement	134.90%

Super Plasticizer

In this examination super plasticizer - CONPLAST-SP 430 as sulphonated Naphthalene polymers follows IS: 9103-1999 and ASTM 494 sort F was utilized to enhance the workability of concrete. Conplast SP 430 has been uniquely planned to give high water decreases upto 25% without loss of workability or to create high caliber cement of lessened permeability.Theproperties of super plasticizer are demonstrated in Table 3.

SI.No	**Physical Tests**	**Analysis**
1	Specific Gravity	1.224
2.	Chloride Content	NIL
3.	Air Entrainment	11.73 lb/ft3

Table 3: Properties of Super Plasticizer

2.2 MIX PROPORTIONING

Solid blend plan in this examination was planned according to the rules determined in I.S. 10262-1982. Be that as it may, some confinement is forced by limiting the measure of cementitious material substance is equivalent to 450 Kg/m3. The Table 4 shows blend extent of solid (Kg/m3):

W/cm	0.4
Cement (Kg/m3)	450
Fine Aggregate (kg/m3)	630.75
Coarse Aggregate (kg/m3)	1097.23
Water (kg/m3)	180
Compacting Factor	00814

Table 4: Mix Proportioning

III. TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this present paper 5 (five) blend of concrete joining undensified silica smoke are cast to perform tests. Littler size of coarse total (i.e. 12.5 mm) utilized for the experimentations in light of the fact that for littler size of coarse total bigger surface zone

are to be uncovered for better holding with glue network at the interfacial zone. So quality in the interfacial zone between glue network and coarse total is higher and subsequently quality in cement is higher than typical solid as thickness at move zone is expanded.

The tests were done by supplanting concrete with distinctive rates of silica smoke at a solitary consistent water-cementitious materials proportion keeping other blend plan variables steady. The silica seethe was supplanted by 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% for water-cementitious materials proportion for 0.40. For all blends compressive qualities were resolved at 24 hours, 7 days and 28 days for 100 mm and 150 mm solid shapes. Tests for different properties like compacting component and droop were additionally performed to focus the outcomes for five blends of cement. Theexploratory results demonstrated that compressive quality for all substitutions of silica smoke (i.e. at 5 %, 10%, 15% and 20%) is higher than control concrete (i.e. solid at zero rate silica seethe substitution level) at all ages (i.e. at 24 hours, 7 days also, 28 days).

Exploratory results demonstrated that at all ages and substitution levels compressive qualities of 100 mm shapes are higher than 150 mm blocks. It was watched that the greatest compressive quality is acquired at 20% silica rage substitution levels and from there on compressive quality is diminished. Higher compressive quality at 28 days of around 67.7 MPa for 150 mm shape and 71.33 MPa for 100 mm block are acquired at 20% bond substitution by silica rage.

Anyway, in typical concrete without silica seethe at 28 days compressive quality of around 49.48 MPa for 150 mm block and 55.67 MPa for 100 mm solid shape are acquired. It is watched that 28 days compressive quality is expanded by 36.82% for 150 mm blocks furthermore, by 28.13% for 100 mm solid shapes than control concrete i.e. without silica rage. For workability we are concentrated to continue compacting element 0.814 for all blend independent of droop. The droop quality extents from 20 to 50 mm. The estimation of droop demonstrated the blends are iron in nature.

IV. CONCLUSION

There is extent of expanding droop esteem by expanding measurements of superplasticizers without hampering the quality for further examination however 0.814 compacting element is additionally useful for utilizing solid in the field in control framework. Higher compressive quality looks like the cement consolidating silica smoke is high quality solid as per IS code proposals. Enhanced pore structures at move zone for silica smoke concrete looks like that it might be directed to as elite concrete however analyzes for sturdiness are yet to be explored. Amid the testing of 3D shapes at 28 days the disappointment plane of 3D shapes cut the totals yet not along the entomb facial zone which is reasoned that the interfacial zone achieved much higher quality than control concrete i.e. concrete without silica smolder.

V. REFERENCES

[1]. Bayasi Zing, Zhou Jing, (1993). “Properties of Silica Fume Concrete and Mortar”, ACI Materials Journal 90 (4) 349 - 356.

[2]. VenkateshBabu DL, Nateshan SC. “Investigations on silica fume concrete”, The Indian concrete Journal, September 2004, pp. 57-60.

[3]. Khedr S. A., AbouZaid M. N., (1994).“Characteristics of Silica-Fume Concrete”,Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, ASCE 6 (3),pp. 357 - 375.

[4]. BhanjaSantanu, and SenguptaBratish,(September,2003). “Optimum Silica Fume Content and Its Mode of Action on Concrete”, ACI Materials Journal, V (100), No. 5, pp. 407-412.

[5]. Sensale GR. “Strength development of concrete with rice-husk ash”, Cement and Concrete Composites. ScienceDirect (2006).

[6]. Amudhavalli N.K., Mathew J., “Effect Of Silica Fume on Strength And Durability Parameters of Concrete”, International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Emerging Technologies, August 2012. ISSN: 2231 –6604, Volume 3, Issue 1, pp: 28-35 ©IJESET

[7]. Sabir B.B., “High-Strength Condensed Silica Fume Concrete”, Magazine of Concrete Research, Vol- 47,Issue-172, (1995),pp. 219–226.

[8]. Toutanji H.A., El-Korchi T., “Tensile and Compressive Strength of Silica Fume-Cement Pastes and Mortars”, Cement, Concrete and Aggregate, ASTM Journals, ISSN:0149-6123,Vol-18,Issue-2, (December,1996),pp.78–84.

[9]. Haque M.N., “Strength Development and Drying Shrinkage of High-Strength Concretes”, Cement & Concrete Composites Journal, Vol-18,No-5,pp. 333-342,1996.

[10]. Chen P W, Chung D.D.L., “ConcreteReinforced with up to 0.2 vol% of Short Carbon Fibers”, Composites .Vol-24 (1), (1993),pp. 33–52.

[11]. Cabrera J.G.,Claisse P.A., “Measurement of Chloride Penetration into Silica Fume Concrete”, Cement and Concrete Composites, 12(3), (1990),pp. 157–161.

[12]. Bürge T.A., “Densified Cement Matrix Improves Bond with Reinforcing Steel”, in P. Bartos (Ed.), Bond in Concrete, Applied Science Publishers, London, 1982, pp. 273–281.

[13]. O.E. Gjorv, P.J.M. Monteiro, P.K. Mehta. “Effect of Condensed Silica Fume on the Steel-Concrete Bond”, ACI Materials Journal,Vol.87,Nov.-Dec 1990,pp. 573–580.

[14]. Shi Zeng Q , Chung D.D.L., “Improving the Abrasion Resistance of Mortar by Adding Latex and Carbon Fibers”, Cement and Concrete Research,Vol. 27,No.8,pp.1149-1153,1997.

[15]. Luther M.D., “Silica-Fume (Microsilica) Concrete in Bridges in the United States”, FHWA,Transport Research Record 1204 (1988),pp. 11–20

AUTHOR PROFILE

S.V.V.PRASAD is currently working as Head of Department of civil engineering in K.O.R.M.C.E., Kadapa. He has the total teaching experience of 5Years.He completed his UG in Civil Engineering in K.S.R.M. College Of Engineering And He received Post Graduate in Highway Engineering in Sri Datta Institute of Engineering College,R.R.DIST. His area of Interest is Civil Engineering and Highway Engineering.