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#### Abstract

In the construction industry, project time \& project cost are given upmost priority. But since there are innumerable uncertainties involved in construction, delays in project completion are fairly common which lead to an increase in project's total cost. Thus project time crashing plays an important role in project management determining which activities duration to crash to complete the project in the stipulated time. But crashing the duration will mean adding more resources which will lead to an increased additional cost of the project. Thus, the paper deals with determining how to crash the project duration so as to complete the project at the earliest with minimum added cost obtaining a TimeCost Tradeoff for the project. This paper provides a framework for reducing total project time at the least added total cost by crashing the duration of an actual residential building construction project. The project is scheduled in Microsoft Project and crashed using the Solver add-in of Microsoft Excel.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Crashing the activities of a project relates to the cost-evaluation of reducing the duration of those activities which are in the critical path. After this evaluation, the activities that correspond to the lowest cost for crashing should be worked on. This means that the addition of more financial resources, manpower (extra hours, for example), materials or equipments, will cause an increase in the project's budget.

Construction of a real time structure involves thousands of activities including not only civil but also mechanical electrical \& various other aspects. . The project considered for this paper is that of a Residential Building in Kolte Patil I Ven Township "Life Republic" Jhambe Marunji Hinjewadi Pune. For academic purposes, the scope of this paper limits to the planning \& crashing of only RCC works of the tower A of Residential sector R3. The project is scheduled in MS Project and since manual crashing of the project of this scale will prove tedious and unnecessarily time consuming, the paper uses an addin of MS Excel called Excel Solver.

The second section of this paper presents the problem statement formulated comprising of the complexities involved in crashing of the construction project.

The third section presents the analysis of the crashing problem with a view to determine the least possible time for a project's completion; and to program the project's crashing that would implicate the least additional cost. Some trade-off discussions concerning the crash costs, and project's duration are also carried out.

## II. PROBLEM STATEMENT \& METHODOLOGY OF WORK

## A. Problem Definition:

Project Time-Cost Trade-Off problem can be defined as follows: a project is represented by activities $i$ associated with its time $T_{i}$ and $\operatorname{cost} C_{i}$.

To manually calculate the earliest/latest times (ES/EF/LS/LF) for each activity $i$ can be quite time consuming and tedious using the forwardbackward passes. Thus for this paper, these times are calculated in MS.Excel using specific formulae.

To encapsulate, Project Time Cost Trade-off Problem can be formally stated as follows: given a network with a lot of activities by their sequences, durations, costs, a general status is determined by each activity according to at least one of the following objectives: minimize the project duration and minimize budget. [5]

## B. Problem Statement:

Kolte-Patil Developers Ltd is a leading Pune-based real estate company. The company has developed and constructed 42 projects including 30 residential complexes, 8 commercial complexes, and 4 information technology parks across Pune and Bengaluru. The Township of Life Republic is an ongoing project by Kolte Patil Developers which commenced in 2010. The total cost of the whole project is estimated to be 11,000 crores.

The scope of work for the whole project is large and complex since the vast 400 acre of township area is planned to be developed into several sectors containing Infrastructural Projects, Residential Projects, Commercial, Retail, Entertainment \& Recreational, Educational, Sports, Health Sectors, Urban Farm, Management \& Maintenance Projects. A residential tower " $A$ " in the residential sector "R3" of the township has been chosen for the analysis of Time-Cost Tradeoff.

Considering the fact that the construction of this residential tower is subject to a large number of exogenous factors, mostly economical \& beyond the scope of the top management, it was decided to focus this research on only the RCC works of the residential tower A in sector R3.

Table 1 summarizes the data related to the RCC works of the tower A.

Table 1: Project Data

| ID | Activity Name | Normal <br> Duration | Normal <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | RCC |  |  |
| 2 | Substructure: |  |  |
| 3 | Footings |  |  |
| 4 | PCC below footings | 55 days | $4,60,156$ |
| 5 | Reinforcement Fixing | 56 days | $8,20,954$ |
| 6 | Shuttering | 52 days | $2,63,487$ |
| 7 | Concreting | 49 days | $7,95,369$ |
| 8 | Deshuttering | 49 days | $2,63,487$ |
| 9 | Column \& lift pardi <br> upto Plinth beam |  |  |
| 10 | 1st Step |  |  |
| 11 | Reinforcement Fixing | 42 days | $8,20,954$ |
| 12 | Shuttering | 45 days | $2,63,487$ |
| 13 | Concreting | 43 days | $7,95,369$ |
| 14 | Deshuttering | 43 days | $2,63,487$ |
| 15 | 2nd Step |  |  |
| 16 | Reinforcement Fixing | 35 days | $8,20,954$ |
| 17 | Shuttering | 35 days | $2,63,487$ |
| 18 | Concreting | 35 days | $7,95,369$ |
| 19 | Deshuttering | 35 days | $2,63,487$ |
| 20 | Plinth Beams |  |  |
| 21 | PCC below Plinth <br> beams | 12 days | 89,284 |
| 30 | Reinforcement Fixing | 20 days | $8,20,954$ |
| 22 | Shuttering | 20 days | $5,26,969$ |
| 23 | Reinforcement Fixing | 17 days | $8,20,954$ |
| 24 | Shuttering | 16 days | $2,63,487$ |
| 25 | Concreting | 16 days | $7,95,369$ |
| 26 | Peshuttering | 17 days | $2,63,487$ |
| 28 | Construction of <br> Parking Floor Slab ( <br> Shuttering) |  | 25 days | 44,05,212.


| 32 | Concreting | 20 days | 7,95,369 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | Slab | 44 days | $\begin{gathered} 21,43,29 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 34 | Shuttering | 42 days | 5,26,969 |
| 35 | Reinforcement placing | 40 days | 8,20,954 |
| 36 | Concreting | 1 day | 7,95,369 |
| 37 | East side half portion |  |  |
| 38 | Column / Retaining wall |  |  |
| 39 | Reinforcement Fixing | 45 days | 8,20,954 |
| 40 | Shuttering | 45 days | 5,26,969 |
| ID | Activity Name | Normal Duration | Normal Cost |
| 41 | Concreting | 48 days | 7,95,369 |
| 42 | Slab |  |  |
| 43 | Shuttering | 29 days | 5,26,969 |
| 44 | Reinforcement placing | 27 days | 8,20,954 |
| 45 | Concreting | 1 day | 7,95,369 |
| 46 | Superstructure |  |  |
| 47 | Aluform RCC Slab Cycle |  |  |
| 48 | 1st Floor |  |  |
| 49 | Part 1 | 30 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 50 | Part 2 | 25 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 51 | 2nd Floor |  |  |
| 52 | Part 1 | 20 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 53 | Part 2 | 20 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 54 | 3rd Floor |  |  |
| 55 | Part 1 | 15 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 56 | Part 2 | 15 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 57 | 4th Floor |  |  |
| 58 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 59 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 60 | 5th Floor |  |  |
| 61 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 62 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 63 | 6th Floor |  |  |
| 64 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |


| 65 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | 7th Floor |  |  |
| 67 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 68 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 69 | 8th Floor |  |  |
| 70 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 71 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 72 | 9th Floor |  |  |
| 73 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ |
| 74 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 75 | 10th Floor |  |  |
| 76 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} \hline 287,90,5 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 77 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 78 | 11th Floor |  |  |
| 79 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 80 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,90,5 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 81 | 12th Floor |  |  |
| 82 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |


|  |  |  | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | 16th Floor |  |  |
|  |  |  | $287,98,9$ |
| 94 | Part 1 | 10 days | 52 |
|  |  |  | $287,98,9$ |
| 95 | Part 2 | 10 days | 52 |
| 96 | 17th Floor |  |  |
|  |  | 10 days | $287,98,9$ <br> 97 |
|  | Part 1 |  | $287,98,9$ |
| 98 | Part 2 | 10 days | 52 |
| 99 | 18th Floor |  |  |


| ID | Activity Name | Normal <br> Duration | Normal <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | Part 2 | 10 days | $287,98,9$ <br> 52 |
| 84 | 13th Floor |  |  |
| 85 | Part 1 | 10 days | $287,98,9$ <br> 52 |
| 86 | Part 2 | 10 days | $287,98,9$ <br> 52 |
| 87 | 14th Floor |  |  |
| 88 | Part 1 | 10 days | $287,98,9$ <br> 52 |
| 89 | Part 2 | 10 days | $287,98,9$ <br> 52 |
| 90 | 15th Floor |  |  |
| 91 | Part 1 | 10 days | $287,98,9$ <br> 52 |
| 92 | Part 2 | 10 days | $287,98,9$ |


| ID | Activity Name | Normal Duration | Normal Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \end{gathered}$ |
| 101 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 102 | 19th Floor |  |  |
| 103 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 104 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \end{gathered}$ |
| 105 | 20th Floor |  |  |
| 106 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 107 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} \hline 287,98,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 108 | 21st Floor |  |  |
| 109 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 110 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 111 | 22nd Floor |  |  |
| 112 | Part 1 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 113 | Part 2 | 10 days | $\begin{gathered} 287,98,9 \\ 52 \end{gathered}$ |
| 114 | Terrace Parapet | 15 days | $\begin{gathered} 30,12,09 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| 115 | OHT \& LMR |  |  |
| 116 | Bottom slab | 15 days | $\begin{gathered} 279,19,5 \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 117 | Top Slab | 15 days | $\begin{gathered} 279,19,5 \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

The challenge is of bringing the project on schedule and even finishing early.

Adding up these times gives a grand total of 1631 days, which is far too much time for the construction of a residential building. Fortunately, some of the activities can be done in parallel, which substantially reduces the project completion time. Given all the information in Table 1, Answers have to be developed to the following questions.

1. What is the total time required to complete the project if no delays occur?
2. When can the individual activities start and finish (at the earliest) if no delays occur?
3. When do the individual activities need to start and finish (at the latest) to meet this project completion time?
4. Which are the critical bottleneck activities where any delays must be avoided to prevent delaying project completion?
5. If extra money is spent to expedite the project, what is the least expensive way of attempting to crash the project duration?
6. Assuming Funds of Rs 30 crores will be received in the form of the final instalments if the project is completed 3 weeks earlier to the estimated project completion, what is the least expensive way of attempting to meet the target completion time?

## C. Methodology:

The Methodology adopted to crash the project to answer the Problem Statement consequently solving the Time-Cost Trade-off is depicted in the following points.

## i) Using MS Project to plan \& schedule the project.

A myriad of details are considered in planning how to coordinate all the RCC activities, in developing a realistic schedule. Of the many Project Management softwares, Microsoft Project is the most commonly used software to deal with all the data needed to develop schedule information.

- The various activities are linked by the software in terms of their predecessors and successors.
- Once completed, the total time required to complete the project is displayed thus answering Question 1 in the preceding section.


## ii) Using MS Excel to schedule the project with CPM

- Each activity is scheduled by calculating its earliest \& latest times (ES/EF/LS/LF) in MS Excel with the help of specific formulae thus answering Questions $2 \& 3$.
- The slack for an activity is the difference between its latest finish time and its earliest finish time. Thus knowing the earliest \& latest times of each activity, their corresponding slack is calculated.

Those activities with 0 slack will be classified as Critical activities, thus answering Question 4.
iii) Using Excel Solver to crash the project and solve the Time-Cost Trade-Offs

The problem of finding the least expensive way of crashing activities and the consequent Time-Cost Trade-off can be rephrased in a form more familiar to MS Excel Sheet and solved using MS Excel Solver Add-in. This section provides the answers to questions $5 \& 6$.

## III. PROJECT CRASHING

## A. Using MS Excel:

The calculations for scheduling (ES, LS, slack, etc.) are set up in MS Excel. They require use of the "min" and "max" functions and (to identify the critical path) the "if" function.
The following columns are imported to MS Excel from MS Project

- Activity ID
- Activity Description
- Normal Duration
- Normal Cost
- The Immediate Predecessors
- The Immediate Successors

The following columns are then set up along with the above:

- ES, EF, LS, LF (For Each Activity)
- Crash Duration
- Crash Cost
- Maximum Crash Duration
- Crash cost/day
- Days to crash
- Realised time
- Slack
- Critical ( 1 for Yes \& 0 otherwise).

If there are two (or more) activities with no successors, it helps (for the setup) to add a "Finish" activity (all activities with no successors are predecessors of "Finish", duration is 0 ) but this is not required. Similarly, if there are two or more activities with no predecessors, it helps to add a "Start" activity (all activities without predecessors are successors of "Start", duration is 0 ).

## * Filling in the columns:

1. First five columns are just the imported information on the activities
2. Forward pass for "Early" times (ES; EF):

In the column for ES the entry is always "=max(the EF entries for the immediate predecessors \{ separated by commas\})". The immediate predecessors are the nodes listed in the "Predecessors" column. In the EF column all entries are "= cell with ES + cell with Realised Time".
For the "Finish" node (if there is one) ES is "=max(all EF entries)"
3. Backward pass for "Late" times (LS; LF):

In the LS column, the entry is "= cell containing LF - cell containing Realised Time"
In the LF column, the entry is " $=\min ($ the LS entries for all the immediate successors \{ separated by commas \})"
The immediate successors of an activity are all the activities that have the activity in their "predecessors" list) [If you don't have a "Finish" node you need to remember that for an activity that has no successors, the LF entry is "=max(all EF entries)"
4. Slack is "=cell for LF - cell for EF" (or = cell for LS - cell for ES)
5. Critical is " $=\operatorname{IF}($ slack $=0, " 1 ", " 0 ") "$. This will put " 1 " in the cell if "slack $=0$ " is true and " 0 " if it is not. Finish time is " $=\mathrm{EF}$ of the "Finish" node" if there is a Finish node, or " $=\max ($ all EF entries)". Use the mouse to select the range of all EF entries. [11]
6. Finish-to-Start (F-S) is the most commonly used Task relationship and is by default used by MS Project to link the predecessors and successors unless specified otherwise. Complications may arise if there are different Task Relationships involved such as Start-to-Start (S-S), Start-toFinish (S-F) \& Finish-to-Finish (F-F). For this project there are a number of activities linked with S-S relationship. Thus the calculations of ES,LS \& LF differ as follows:
i.

In the column for ES the entry is now " $=\max$ (the ES entries for the immediate predecessors \{ separated by commas \})". If the predecessor has a lag value (example see Table 2. Activity 5 has a predecessor relationship of $4 \mathrm{SS}+2$ days), it is added to the formula and if the predecessor has a lead value, it is subtracted from the formula.

| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{D} \end{gathered}$ | Activity <br> Name | Predec es-sors | Success <br> o-rs | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \\ & \mathbf{S} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \\ & \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & \mathbf{S} \end{aligned}$ | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  | - | 5SS+2d | 0 | 5 5 | 0 | 5 5 |
| 5 | Reinforc ---ement Fixing | $\begin{gathered} 4 \mathrm{SS}+2 \\ \mathrm{~d} \end{gathered}$ | 6SS+5d | 2 | 5 8 | 2 | 5 <br> 8 |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shutteri } \\ \text { ng } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{SS}+5 \\ \mathrm{~d} \end{gathered}$ | 7SS+3d | 7 | 5 9 | 7 | 5 |

Table 2: Snippet of the main Schedule (Start-toStart)
ii. In the LS column, the entry is now "= $\min ($ the LS entries for all the immediate successors \{ separated by commas $\}$ )". If the successor has a lead value (example see Table 2. Activity 5 has a successor relationship of 6SS+5days), it is subtracted from the formula and if the successor has a lag value, it is added to the formula.
iii. In the LF column, the entry is now "= cell containing LS + cell containing Realised Time"

## * Activity Crash Time \& Cost:

According to the site engineers, the regular working time of workers is 8 hours a day for 7 days a week from 9:00am to $6: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ with 1 hr lunch. According to the project managers, the only way activities can be accelerated is through using overtime. Since the maximum overtime allowed is 6 hours on top of the regular 8 -hour working day, ( from 8:00am to 12:00am, 14hrs a day) activities may be crashed on average at a ratio of 4:7 (i.e. Regular 8/ Overtime 14). The results are the maximum crash durations used. Site managers also believed that when activities need to be crashed, the cost increase is mostly due to the double rate for overtime. As consequence, they had no problem in accepting the assumption of linear relationship between cost escalation and time crashed.

Table 3 has thus been created containing the project's best estimates of activity duration \& costs and their subsequent crash duration and crash costs. The Table also shows the previously calculated values of activities ES/EF/LS LF times and available Slack. The critical activities have been highlighted in red showing zero slack.
Maximum crash time for each activity has been calculated by the following formula:
Maximum crash duration $=$ Normal Duration - Crash Duration
Cost slope indicating the cost of crashing per day is calculated
as:
Crash cost/day $=($ Crash cost - Normal cost $) /$ Maximum crash duration

Crash cost/day of some activities ( 36 \& 45) is zero since they have no scope of being crashed. Hence, they are edited to a large number such as $10000000,00,000$, to steer the software away from these values.

The Realized time column has been included which is nothing but the number of days available after crashing which is calculated by:
Realized time $=$ Normal duration - Days to crash
Initially, the days to crash are set to 0 which gives the value of realized time $=$ normal duration. The ES/EF/LS/LF times are formulated using this realized time so that these times are revised every time an activity is crashed. Doing so, the Maximum Duration without crashing is obtained which is equal to 451 days. Total Cost of project is calculated using the "SUMPRODUCT" function in Excel. The entry is "=SUMPRODUCT('days to be crashed' range,'maximum crash duration' range)". Using this formula gives the Total Cost of Rs 134,39,21,406.

This will be the Base Table to be used while using MS Excel Solver add-in.

## B. Using MS Excel Solver:

To calculate the crashing of activities leading to the Time Cost Trade-off has been undertaken in MS Excel using the Excel add-in Solver. This add-in greatly aids in solving the complex crashing problem within minutes provided the input data is correctly inserted.
Once the solver is open, in the solver parameter dialogue box, (see Figure 1) the data required is carefully input.

1. In the 'Set Target Cell' box, the objective cell is input. The objective cell in this case is the Total Crash Cost. Our objective is to keep the Total Crash Cost as minimum as possible, hence select 'MIN'
2. In the 'By Changing Cells' box, the cells which will be varied throughout the course of the crashing process is entered. In this case, it is the column containing days to be crashed.
3. In the 'Subject to the Constraints' box, the constraints are entered. (see Figure 2)


Fig 1: Solver Parameter dialouge box


Fig 2: Solver Constraint dialouge box
i. Days to be crashed <= Maximum crash time
Under the cell reference, the entire range of days to be crashed is input \& under constraint the entire range of maximum crash time is input.
ii. LF of project = Deadline

Under the cell reference, the latest finish time is input \& under constraint the deadline is input. The deadline in this case is:
$=$ Maximum Duration without crashing -3
weeks
$=451-21$ days $=430$
The days to be crashed are set to zero and all the data in entered in solver.
4. Next step importantly, the solver is closed. The input values in days to be crashed are edited to maximum crash duration. This automatically gives us the result shown in Table 4 depicting the latest finish time if all the activities are fully crashed which is 288 days
costing Rs 94,92,45,225.
5. The days to crash are again edited to maximum crash durations and Solver is opened again and given the command to Solve. The result is shown in Table 5 which depicts that the project can be completed in the deadline of 430 days by spending a total crash cost of Rs7,15,05,085

Table 3: Base table used in MS.Excel for furthur calculations

| ID | Activity Name | Normal Duration | Crash Duration | Normal Cost | Crash Cost | Max <br> Crash <br> Duration | Crash Cost/Day | Days to be crashed | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | PCC below footings | 55 | 31 | 4,60,156 | 8,05,273 | 24 | 14,641 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Reinforcement Fixing | 56 | 32 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 24 | 25,655 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 58 | 2 | 58 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | Shuttering | 52 | 30 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 22 | 8,867 | 0 | 52 | 7 | 59 | 7 | 59 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | Concreting | 49 | 28 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 21 | 28,406 | 0 | 49 | 10 | 59 | 10 | 59 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | Deshuttering | 49 | 28 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 21 | 9,410 | 0 | 49 | 11 | 60 | 11 | 60 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | Reinforcement Fixing | 42 | 24 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 18 | 34,206 | 0 | 42 | 22 | 64 | 22 | 64 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | Shuttering | 45 | 26 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 19 | 10,247 | 0 | 45 | 24 | 69 | 24 | 69 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Concreting | 43 | 25 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 18 | 32,370 | 0 | 43 | 26 | 69 | 26 | 69 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | Deshuttering | 43 | 25 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 18 | 10,723 | 0 | 43 | 28 | 71 | 28 | 71 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | Reinforcement Fixing | 35 | 20 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 15 | 41,048 | 0 | 35 | 33 | 68 | 33 | 68 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | Shuttering | 35 | 20 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 15 | 13,174 | 0 | 35 | 37 | 72 | 37 | 72 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | Concreting | 35 | 20 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 15 | 39,768 | 0 | 35 | 39 | 74 | 39 | 74 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | Deshuttering | 35 | 20 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 15 | 13,174 | 0 | 35 | 40 | 75 | 40 | 75 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | PCC below Plinth beams | 12 | 7 | 89,284 | 1,56,247 | 5 | 13,021 | 0 | 12 | 59 | 71 | 59 | 71 | 0 | 1 |
| 22 | Reinforcement Fixing | 17 | 10 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 7 | 84,510 | 0 | 17 | 60 | 77 | 60 | 77 | 0 | 1 |
| 23 | Shuttering | 16 | 9 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 7 | 28,819 | 0 | 16 | 63 | 79 | 63 | 79 | 0 | 1 |
| 24 | Concreting | 16 | 9 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 7 | 86,994 | 0 | 16 | 65 | 81 | 65 | 81 | 0 | 1 |
| 25 | Deshuttering | 17 | 10 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 7 | 27,124 | 0 | 17 | 67 | 84 | 67 | 84 | 0 | 1 |
| 26 | PCC for plinth | 25 | 14 | 4,05,212 | 7,09,121 | 11 | 28,365 | 0 | 25 | 84 | 109 | 84 | 109 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | Reinforcement Fixing | 20 | 11 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 9 | 71,833 | 0 | 20 | 108 | 128 | 108 | 128 | 0 | 1 |
| 31 | Shuttering | 20 | 11 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 9 | 46,110 | 0 | 20 | 110 | 130 | 110 | 130 | 0 | 1 |
| 32 | Concreting | 20 | 11 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 9 | 69,595 | 0 | 20 | 112 | 132 | 112 | 132 | 0 | 1 |
| 34 | Shuttering | 42 | 24 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 18 | 21,957 | 0 | 42 | 122 | 164 | 122 | 164 | 0 | 1 |
| 35 | Reinforcement placing | 40 | 23 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 17 | 35,917 | 0 | 40 | 125 | 165 | 125 | 165 | 0 | 1 |


| 36 | Concreting | 1 | 1 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 0 | 10000000,00,000 | 0 | 1 | 165 | 166 | 165 | 166 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Activity Name | Normal Duration | Crash <br> Duration | Normal Cost | Crash Cost | Max <br> Crash Duration | Crash Cost/Day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days } \\ & \text { to be } \\ & \text { crashed } \end{aligned}$ | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| 39 | Reinforcement Fixing | 45 | 26 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 19 | 31,926 | 0 | 45 | 122 | 167 | 126 | 171 | 4 | 0 |
| 40 | Shuttering | 45 | 26 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 19 | 20,493 | 0 | 45 | 123 | 168 | 127 | 172 | 4 | 0 |
| 41 | Concreting | 48 | 27 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 21 | 28,998 | 0 | 48 | 124 | 172 | 128 | 176 | 4 | 0 |
| 43 | Shuttering | 29 | 17 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 12 | 31,800 | 0 | 29 | 137 | 166 | 141 | 170 | 4 | 0 |
| 44 | Reinforcement placing | 27 | 15 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 12 | 53,210 | 0 | 27 | 139 | 166 | 143 | 170 | 4 | 0 |
| 45 | Concreting | 1 | 1 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 0 | 10000000,00,000 | 0 | 1 | 166 | 167 | 170 | 171 | 4 | 0 |
| 49 | Part 1 | 30 | 17 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 13 | 16,79,449 | 0 | 30 | 166 | 196 | 166 | 196 | 0 | 1 |
| 50 | Part 2 | 25 | 14 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 11 | 20,15,339 | 0 | 25 | 167 | 192 | 171 | 196 | 4 | 0 |
| 52 | Part 1 | 20 | 11 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 9 | 25,19,174 | 0 | 20 | 196 | 216 | 196 | 216 | 0 | 1 |
| 53 | Part 2 | 20 | 11 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 9 | 25,19,174 | 0 | 20 | 192 | 212 | 196 | 216 | 4 | 0 |
| 55 | Part 1 | 15 | 9 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 6 | 33,58,899 | 0 | 15 | 216 | 231 | 216 | 231 | 0 | 1 |
| 56 | Part 2 | 15 | 9 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 6 | 33,58,899 | 0 | 15 | 212 | 227 | 216 | 231 | 4 | 0 |
| 58 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 231 | 241 | 231 | 241 | 0 | 1 |
| 59 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 227 | 237 | 231 | 241 | 4 | 0 |
| 61 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 241 | 251 | 241 | 251 | 0 | 1 |
| 62 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 237 | 247 | 241 | 251 | 4 | 0 |
| 64 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 251 | 261 | 251 | 261 | 0 | 1 |
| 65 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 247 | 257 | 251 | 261 | 4 | 0 |
| 67 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 261 | 271 | 261 | 271 | 0 | 1 |
| 68 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 257 | 267 | 261 | 271 | 4 | 0 |
| 70 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 271 | 281 | 271 | 281 | 0 | 1 |
| 71 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 267 | 277 | 271 | 281 | 4 | 0 |
| 73 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 281 | 291 | 281 | 291 | 0 | 1 |
| 74 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 277 | 287 | 281 | 291 | 4 | 0 |
| 76 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 291 | 301 | 291 | 301 | 0 | 1 |


| 77 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 287 | 297 | 291 | 301 | 4 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 301 | 311 | 301 | 311 | 0 | 1 |
| ID | Activity Name | Normal <br> Duration | Crash <br> Duration | Normal Cost | Crash Cost | Max <br> Crash <br> Duration | Crash Cost/Day | Days to be crashed | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| 80 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 297 | 307 | 301 | 311 | 4 | 0 |
| 82 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 311 | 321 | 311 | 321 | 0 | 1 |
| 83 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 307 | 317 | 311 | 321 | 4 | 0 |
| 85 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 321 | 331 | 321 | 331 | 0 | 1 |
| 86 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 317 | 327 | 321 | 331 | 4 | 0 |
| 88 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 331 | 341 | 331 | 341 | 0 | 1 |
| 89 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 327 | 337 | 331 | 341 | 4 | 0 |
| 91 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 341 | 351 | 341 | 351 | 0 | 1 |
| 92 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 337 | 347 | 341 | 351 | 4 | 0 |
| 94 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 351 | 361 | 351 | 361 | 0 | 1 |
| 95 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 347 | 357 | 351 | 361 | 4 | 0 |
| 97 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 361 | 371 | 361 | 371 | 0 | 1 |
| 98 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 357 | 367 | 361 | 371 | 4 | 0 |
| 100 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 371 | 381 | 371 | 381 | 0 | 1 |
| 101 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 367 | 377 | 371 | 381 | 4 | 0 |
| 103 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 381 | 391 | 381 | 391 | 0 | 1 |
| 104 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 377 | 387 | 381 | 391 | 4 | 0 |
| 106 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 391 | 401 | 391 | 401 | 0 | 1 |
| 107 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 387 | 397 | 391 | 401 | 4 | 0 |
| 109 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 401 | 411 | 401 | 411 | 0 | 1 |
| 110 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 397 | 407 | 401 | 411 | 4 | 0 |
| 112 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 411 | 421 | 411 | 421 | 0 | 1 |
| 113 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 407 | 417 | 411 | 421 | 4 | 0 |
| 114 | Terrace Parapet | 15 | 9 | 30,12,097 | 52,71,170 | 6 | 3,51,411 | 0 | 15 | 421 | 436 | 421 | 436 | 0 | 1 |


| 116 | Bottom slab | 15 | 9 | 279,19,526 | 488,59,170 | 6 | 32,57,278 | 0 | 15 | 421 | 436 | 421 | 436 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117 | Top Slab | 15 | 9 | 279,19,526 | 488,59,170 | 6 | 32,57,278 | 0 | 15 | 436 | 451 | 436 | 451 | 0 | 1 |

Maximim Duration without crashing $=451$ days
Total Cost (including indirect costs) $=$ Rs 134,39,21,406

Table 4: Maximum Crash Durations are the input values for Days to be crashed in MS Excel, automatically giving the Total Crash Duration due to formulae linkages.

| ID | Activity Name | Normal Duration | Crash <br> Duration | Normal Cost | Crash Cost | Max <br> Crash Duration | Crash Cost/Day | Days to be crashed | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | PCC below footings | 55 | 31 | 4,60,156 | 8,05,273 | 24 | 14,641 | 24 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Reinforcement Fixing | 56 | 32 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 24 | 25,655 | 24 | 32 | 2 | 34 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | Shuttering | 52 | 30 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 22 | 8,867 | 22 | 30 | 7 | 37 | 7 | 37 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | Concreting | 49 | 28 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 21 | 28,406 | 21 | 28 | 10 | 38 | 10 | 38 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | Deshuttering | 49 | 28 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 21 | 9,410 | 21 | 28 | 11 | 39 | 11 | 39 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | Reinforcement Fixing | 42 | 24 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 18 | 34,206 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 46 | 22 | 46 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | Shuttering | 45 | 26 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 19 | 10,247 | 19 | 26 | 24 | 50 | 24 | 50 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Concreting | 43 | 25 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 18 | 32,370 | 18 | 25 | 26 | 51 | 26 | 51 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | Deshuttering | 43 | 25 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 18 | 10,723 | 18 | 25 | 28 | 53 | 28 | 53 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | Reinforcement Fixing | 35 | 20 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 15 | 41,048 | 15 | 20 | 33 | 53 | 33 | 53 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | Shuttering | 35 | 20 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 15 | 13,174 | 15 | 20 | 37 | 57 | 37 | 57 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | Concreting | 35 | 20 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 15 | 39,768 | 15 | 20 | 39 | 59 | 39 | 59 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | Deshuttering | 35 | 20 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 15 | 13,174 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 1 |
| 21 | PCC below Plinth beams | 12 | 7 | 89,284 | 1,56,247 | 5 | 13,021 | 5 | 7 | 44 | 51 | 44 | 51 | 0 | 1 |
| 22 | Reinforcement Fixing | 17 | 10 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 7 | 84,510 | 7 | 10 | 45 | 55 | 45 | 55 | 0 | 1 |
| 23 | Shuttering | 16 | 9 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 7 | 28,819 | 7 | 9 | 48 | 57 | 48 | 57 | 0 | 1 |
| 24 | Concreting | 16 | 9 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 7 | 86,994 | 7 | 9 | 50 | 59 | 50 | 59 | 0 | 1 |
| 25 | Deshuttering | 17 | 10 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 7 | 27,124 | 7 | 10 | 52 | 62 | 52 | 62 | 0 | 1 |
| 26 | PCC for plinth | 25 | 14 | 4,05,212 | 7,09,121 | 11 | 28,365 | 11 | 14 | 62 | 76 | 62 | 76 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | Reinforcement Fixing | 20 | 11 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 9 | 71,833 | 9 | 11 | 75 | 86 | 75 | 86 | 0 | 1 |


| 31 | Shuttering | 20 | 11 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 9 | 46,110 | 9 | 11 | 77 | 88 | 80 | 91 | 3 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | Concreting | 20 | 11 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 9 | 69,595 | 9 | 11 | 79 | 90 | 82 | 93 | 3 | 0 |
| 34 | Shuttering | 42 | 24 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 18 | 21,957 | 18 | 24 | 89 | 113 | 92 | 116 | 3 | 0 |
| 35 | Reinforcement placing | 40 | 23 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 17 | 35,917 | 17 | 23 | 92 | 115 | 95 | 118 | 3 | 0 |
| 36 | Concreting | 1 | 1 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 0 | 10000000,00,000 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 116 | 118 | 119 | 3 | 0 |
| 39 | Reinforcement Fixing | 45 | 26 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 19 | 31,926 | 19 | 26 | 89 | 115 | 89 | 115 | 0 | 1 |
| ID | Activity Name | Normal <br> Duration | Crash <br> Duration | Normal Cost | Crash Cost | Max <br> Crash <br> Duration | Crash Cost/Day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days to } \\ & \text { be } \\ & \text { crashed } \end{aligned}$ | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| 40 | Shuttering | 45 | 26 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 19 | 20,493 | 19 | 26 | 90 | 116 | 90 | 116 | 0 | 1 |
| 41 | Concreting | 48 | 27 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 21 | 28,998 | 21 | 27 | 91 | 118 | 91 | 118 | 0 | 1 |
| 43 | Shuttering | 29 | 17 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 12 | 31,800 | 12 | 17 | 104 | 121 | 104 | 121 | 0 | 1 |
| 44 | Reinforcement placing | 27 | 15 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 12 | 53,210 | 12 | 15 | 106 | 121 | 106 | 121 | 0 | 1 |
| 45 | Concreting | 1 | 1 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 0 | 10000000,00,000 | 0 | 1 | 121 | 122 | 121 | 122 | 0 | 1 |
| 49 | Part 1 | 30 | 17 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 13 | 16,79,449 | 13 | 17 | 116 | 133 | 119 | 136 | 3 | 0 |
| 50 | Part 2 | 25 | 14 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 11 | 20,15,339 | 11 | 14 | 122 | 136 | 122 | 136 | 0 | 1 |
| 52 | Part 1 | 20 | 11 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 9 | 25,19,174 | 9 | 11 | 133 | 144 | 136 | 147 | 3 | 0 |
| 53 | Part 2 | 20 | 11 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 9 | 25,19,174 | 9 | 11 | 136 | 147 | 136 | 147 | 0 | 1 |
| 55 | Part 1 | 15 | 9 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 6 | 33,58,899 | 6 | 9 | 144 | 153 | 147 | 156 | 3 | 0 |
| 56 | Part 2 | 15 | 9 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 6 | 33,58,899 | 6 | 9 | 147 | 156 | 147 | 156 | 0 | 1 |
| 58 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 153 | 159 | 156 | 162 | 3 | 0 |
| 59 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 156 | 162 | 156 | 162 | 0 | 1 |
| 61 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 159 | 165 | 162 | 168 | 3 | 0 |
| 62 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 162 | 168 | 162 | 168 | 0 | 1 |
| 64 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 165 | 171 | 168 | 174 | 3 | 0 |
| 65 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 168 | 174 | 168 | 174 | 0 | 1 |
| 67 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 171 | 177 | 174 | 180 | 3 | 0 |
| 68 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 174 | 180 | 174 | 180 | 0 | 1 |
| 70 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 177 | 183 | 180 | 186 | 3 | 0 |


| 71 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 180 | 186 | 180 | 186 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 183 | 189 | 186 | 192 | 3 | 0 |
| 74 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 186 | 192 | 186 | 192 | 0 | 1 |
| 76 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 189 | 195 | 192 | 198 | 3 | 0 |
| 77 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 192 | 198 | 192 | 198 | 0 | 1 |
| 79 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 195 | 201 | 198 | 204 | 3 | 0 |
| 80 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 4 | 6 | 198 | 204 | 198 | 204 | 0 | 1 |
| ID | Activity Name | Normal Duration | Crash <br> Duration | Normal Cost | Crash Cost | Max <br> Crash Duration | Crash Cost/Day | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Days to } \\ & \text { be } \\ & \text { crashed } \end{aligned}$ | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| 82 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 201 | 207 | 204 | 210 | 3 | 0 |
| 83 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 204 | 210 | 204 | 210 | 0 | 1 |
| 85 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 207 | 213 | 210 | 216 | 3 | 0 |
| 86 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 210 | 216 | 210 | 216 | 0 | 1 |
| 88 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 213 | 219 | 216 | 222 | 3 | 0 |
| 89 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 216 | 222 | 216 | 222 | 0 | 1 |
| 91 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 219 | 225 | 222 | 228 | 3 | 0 |
| 92 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 222 | 228 | 222 | 228 | 0 | 1 |
| 94 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 225 | 231 | 228 | 234 | 3 | 0 |
| 95 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 228 | 234 | 228 | 234 | 0 | 1 |
| 97 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 231 | 237 | 234 | 240 | 3 | 0 |
| 98 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 234 | 240 | 234 | 240 | 0 | 1 |
| 100 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 237 | 243 | 240 | 246 | 3 | 0 |
| 101 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 240 | 246 | 240 | 246 | 0 | 1 |
| 103 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 243 | 249 | 246 | 252 | 3 | 0 |
| 104 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 246 | 252 | 246 | 252 | 0 | 1 |
| 106 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 249 | 255 | 252 | 258 | 3 | 0 |
| 107 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 252 | 258 | 252 | 258 | 0 | 1 |
| 109 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 255 | 261 | 258 | 264 | 3 | 0 |


| 110 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 258 | 264 | 258 | 264 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 261 | 267 | 264 | 270 | 3 | 0 |
| 113 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 4 | 6 | 264 | 270 | 264 | 270 | 0 | 1 |
| 114 | Terrace Parapet | 15 | 9 | 30,12,097 | 52,71,170 | 6 | 3,51,411 | 6 | 9 | 270 | 279 | 270 | 279 | 0 | 1 |
| 116 | Bottom slab | 15 | 9 | 279,19,526 | 488,59,170 | 6 | 32,57,278 | 6 | 9 | 270 | 279 | 270 | 279 | 0 | 1 |
| 117 | Top Slab | 15 | 9 | 279,19,526 | 488,59,170 | 6 | 32,57,278 | 6 | 9 | 279 | 288 | 279 | 288 | 0 | 1 |

Total Crash Duration $=288$ days
Total Cost of Crashing $=$ Rs 94,92,45,225

Table 5: Crashing in Solver showing maximum number of days to crash to meet the deadine of 430 days with minimum total crash cost.

| ID | Activity Name | Normal <br> Duration | Crash Duration | Normal Cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crash } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Max <br> Crash <br> Duration | Crash Cost/Day | Days to be crashed | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | PCC below footings | 55 | 31 | 4,60,156 | 8,05,273 | 24 | 14,641 | 24 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 34 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Reinforcement Fixing | 56 | 32 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 24 | 25,655 | 24 | 32 | 2 | 34 | 4 | 36 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | Shuttering | 52 | 30 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 22 | 8,867 | 22 | 30 | 7 | 37 | 9 | 39 | 2 | 0 |
| 7 | Concreting | 49 | 28 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 21 | 28,406 | 21 | 28 | 10 | 38 | 12 | 40 | 2 | 0 |
| 8 | Deshuttering | 49 | 28 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 21 | 9,410 | 21 | 28 | 11 | 39 | 13 | 41 | 2 | 0 |
| 11 | Reinforcement Fixing | 42 | 24 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 18 | 34,206 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 46 | 24 | 48 | 2 | 0 |
| 12 | Shuttering | 45 | 26 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 19 | 10,247 | 19 | 26 | 24 | 50 | 26 | 52 | 2 | 0 |
| 13 | Concreting | 43 | 25 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 18 | 32,370 | 18 | 25 | 26 | 51 | 28 | 53 | 2 | 0 |
| 14 | Deshuttering | 43 | 25 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 18 | 10,723 | 18 | 25 | 28 | 53 | 30 | 55 | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | Reinforcement Fixing | 35 | 20 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 15 | 41,048 | 15 | 20 | 33 | 53 | 35 | 55 | 2 | 0 |
| 17 | Shuttering | 35 | 20 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 15 | 13,174 | 15 | 20 | 37 | 57 | 39 | 59 | 2 | 0 |
| 18 | Concreting | 35 | 20 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 15 | 39,768 | 15 | 20 | 39 | 59 | 41 | 61 | 2 | 0 |
| 19 | Deshuttering | 35 | 20 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 15 | 13,174 | 10 | 25 | 40 | 65 | 42 | 67 | 2 | 0 |
| 21 | PCC below Plinth beams | 12 | 7 | 89,284 | 1,56,247 | 5 | 13,021 | 5 | 7 | 49 | 56 | 51 | 58 | 2 | 0 |
| 22 | Reinforcement Fixing | 17 | 10 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 7 | 84,510 | 7 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 52 | 63 | 2 | 0 |
| 23 | Shuttering | 16 | 9 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 7 | 28,819 | 7 | 9 | 53 | 62 | 55 | 65 | 2 | 0 |


| 24 | Concreting | 16 | 9 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 7 | 86,994 | 7 | 9 | 55 | 64 | 57 | 67 | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | Deshuttering | 17 | 10 | 2,63,487 | 4,61,102 | 7 | 27,124 | 0 | 17 | 57 | 74 | 59 | 76 | 2 | 0 |
| 26 | PCC for plinth | 25 | 14 | 4,05,212 | 7,09,121 | 11 | 28,365 | 1 | 24 | 74 | 98 | 76 | 100 | 2 | 0 |
| 30 | Reinforcement Fixing | 20 | 11 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 9 | 71,833 | 9 | 11 | 97 | 108 | 99 | 110 | 2 | 0 |
| 31 | Shuttering | 20 | 11 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 9 | 46,110 | 9 | 11 | 99 | 110 | 133 | 145 | 34 | 0 |
| 32 | Concreting | 20 | 11 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 9 | 69,595 | 9 | 11 | 101 | 112 | 135 | 147 | 34 | 0 |
| 34 | Shuttering | 42 | 24 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 18 | 21,957 | 18 | 24 | 111 | 135 | 145 | 169 | 34 | 0 |
| 35 | Reinforcement placing | 40 | 23 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 17 | 35,917 | 17 | 23 | 114 | 137 | 148 | 171 | 34 | 0 |
| 36 | Concreting | 1 | 1 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 0 | 10000000,00,000 | 0 | 1 | 137 | 138 | 171 | 172 | 34 | 0 |
| 39 | Reinforcement Fixing | 45 | 26 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 19 | 31,926 | 19 | 26 | 111 | 137 | 113 | 139 | 2 | 0 |
| ID | Activity Name | Normal <br> Duration | Crash Duration | Normal <br> Cost | Crash Cost | Max <br> Crash Duration | Crash Cost/Day | Days to be crashed | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| 40 | Shuttering | 45 | 26 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 19 | 20,493 | 19 | 26 | 112 | 138 | 114 | 140 | 2 | 0 |
| 41 | Concreting | 48 | 27 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 21 | 28,998 | 21 | 27 | 113 | 140 | 115 | 142 | 2 | 0 |
| 43 | Shuttering | 29 | 17 | 5,26,969 | 9,22,195 | 12 | 31,800 | 12 | 17 | 126 | 143 | 128 | 145 | 2 | 0 |
| 44 | Reinforcement placing | 27 | 15 | 8,20,954 | 14,36,669 | 12 | 53,210 | 2 | 25 | 128 | 152 | 130 | 155 | 2 | 0 |
| 45 | Concreting | 1 | 1 | 7,95,369 | 13,91,896 | 0 | 10000000,00,000 | 0 | 1 | 152 | 153 | 155 | 156 | 2 | 0 |
| 49 | Part 1 | 30 | 17 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 13 | 16,79,449 | 12 | 18 | 138 | 156 | 172 | 191 | 34 | 0 |
| 50 | Part 2 | 25 | 14 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 11 | 20,15,339 | 0 | 25 | 153 | 178 | 156 | 181 | 2 | 0 |
| 52 | Part 1 | 20 | 11 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 9 | 25,19,174 | 7 | 13 | 156 | 170 | 191 | 204 | 34 | 0 |
| 53 | Part 2 | 20 | 11 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 9 | 25,19,174 | 0 | 20 | 178 | 198 | 181 | 201 | 2 | 0 |
| 55 | Part 1 | 15 | 9 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 6 | 33,58,899 | 3 | 12 | 170 | 181 | 204 | 216 | 34 | 0 |
| 56 | Part 2 | 15 | 9 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 6 | 33,58,899 | 0 | 15 | 198 | 213 | 201 | 216 | 2 | 0 |
| 58 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 181 | 191 | 216 | 226 | 34 | 0 |
| 59 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 213 | 223 | 216 | 226 | 2 | 0 |
| 61 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 191 | 201 | 226 | 236 | 34 | 0 |
| 62 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 223 | 233 | 226 | 236 | 2 | 0 |
| 64 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 201 | 211 | 236 | 246 | 34 | 0 |


| 65 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 233 | 243 | 236 | 246 | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 211 | 221 | 246 | 256 | 34 | 0 |
| 68 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 243 | 253 | 246 | 256 | 2 | 0 |
| 70 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 221 | 231 | 256 | 266 | 34 | 0 |
| 71 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 253 | 263 | 256 | 266 | 2 | 0 |
| 73 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 231 | 241 | 266 | 276 | 34 | 0 |
| 74 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 263 | 273 | 266 | 276 | 2 | 0 |
| 76 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 241 | 251 | 276 | 286 | 34 | 0 |
| 77 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 273 | 283 | 276 | 286 | 2 | 0 |
| 79 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 251 | 261 | 286 | 296 | 34 | 0 |
| 80 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,90,559 | 503,83,479 | 4 | 50,38,348 | 0 | 10 | 283 | 293 | 286 | 296 | 2 | 0 |
| ID | Activity Name | Normal <br> Duration | Crash Duration | Normal Cost | Crash Cost | Max <br> Crash Duration | Crash Cost/Day | Days to be crashed | Realised time | ES | EF | LS | LF | Slack | Critical |
| 82 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 261 | 271 | 296 | 306 | 34 | 0 |
| 83 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 293 | 303 | 296 | 306 | 2 | 0 |
| 85 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 271 | 281 | 306 | 316 | 34 | 0 |
| 86 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 303 | 313 | 306 | 316 | 2 | 0 |
| 88 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 281 | 291 | 316 | 326 | 34 | 0 |
| 89 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 313 | 323 | 316 | 326 | 2 | 0 |
| 91 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 291 | 301 | 326 | 336 | 34 | 0 |
| 92 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 323 | 333 | 326 | 336 | 2 | 0 |
| 94 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 301 | 311 | 336 | 346 | 34 | 0 |
| 95 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 333 | 343 | 336 | 346 | 2 | 0 |
| 97 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 311 | 321 | 346 | 356 | 34 | 0 |
| 98 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 343 | 353 | 346 | 356 | 2 | 0 |
| 100 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 321 | 331 | 356 | 366 | 34 | 0 |
| 101 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 353 | 363 | 356 | 366 | 2 | 0 |
| 103 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 331 | 341 | 366 | 376 | 34 | 0 |


| 104 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 363 | 373 | 366 | 376 | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 341 | 351 | 376 | 386 | 34 | 0 |
| 107 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 373 | 383 | 376 | 386 | 2 | 0 |
| 109 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 351 | 361 | 386 | 396 | 34 | 0 |
| 110 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 383 | 393 | 386 | 396 | 2 | 0 |
| 112 | Part 1 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 361 | 371 | 396 | 406 | 34 | 0 |
| 113 | Part 2 | 10 | 6 | 287,98,952 | 503,98,166 | 4 | 50,39,817 | 0 | 10 | 393 | 403 | 396 | 406 | 2 | 0 |
| 114 | Terrace Parapet | 15 | 9 | 30,12,097 | 52,71,170 | 6 | 3,51,411 | 6 | 9 | 403 | 413 | 406 | 415 | 2 | 0 |
| 116 | Bottom slab | 15 | 9 | 279,19,526 | 488,59,170 | 6 | 32,57,278 | 3 | 12 | 403 | 415 | 403 | 415 | 0 | 1 |
| 117 | Top Slab | 15 | 9 | 279,19,526 | 488,59,170 | 6 | 32,57,278 | 0 | 15 | 415 | 430 | 415 | 430 | 0 | 1 |

Total Crash Duration $=430$ days
Total Cost of Crashing $=$ Rs $7,14,21,085$

## IV. TIME-COST TRADE-OFF

As the project duration is crashed, the increase in direct cost is also associated with a decrease in indirect cost. Along with the salaries of the Senior Engineer, Junior Engineer \& Supervisor, indirect cost also includes maintenance, security and various other administrative costs. As per the Quantity Surveyor \& Cost Estimator in KPDL, the indirect cost for this project can be assumed as 4000 Rs/day.

## * Trade-Off Calculations

A. Normal Duration \& Cost without crashing:

1. Maximum Duration without Crashing $=451$ days
2. Total Cost of Project $=$ Rs $134,39,21,406$

## B. Maximum Crashed Duration \& Cost:

1. Maximum Duration without Crashing $=451$ days
2. Maximum Crash Duration $=288$ days
3. Total Cost of Crashing = Rs $94,92,45,225$
> Total number of days crashed $=451-288=163$
$>$ Total Indirect Cost $=163 * 4000=$ Rs $6,52,000$
$>$ Total Added Cost of Project $=$ Rs $94,85,93,225$

## C. Crashed Duration \& Cost with Deadline:

1. Deadline $=430$ Days
2. Maximum Duration without Crashing $=451$ days
3. Maximum Crash Duration $=430$ days
4. Total Cost of Crashing $=$ Rs $7,15,05,085$
$>$ Total number of days crashed $=451-430=21$
$>$ Total Indirect Cost $=21 * 4000=$ Rs 84,000
> Total Added Cost of Project $=$ Rs 7,14,21,085
The Trade-off Results have been tabulated and displayed in Table 7.

## V. CONCLUSION

The questions raised in the problem statement are answered below.

1. As shown in Table 3, the total time required to complete the project if no delays occur is 451 days.
2. The individual activities start and finish (at the latest \& earliest) to meet this project completion time have been also depicted in Table 3.
3. The critical bottleneck activities where any delays must be avoided to prevent delaying project completion are the activities of the critical path with zero slack highlighted in pink in Table 3.

Recall that the company will be receive Rs 30 crores bonus for finishing 3 weeks earlier than the estimated duration. This payment needs to cover some overhead costs in addition to the costs of
the activities listed in the Table 1, as well as provide a reasonable profit to the company. The project has to be kept as close to both budget and schedule as possible.
4. As found previously in Table 3 if all the activities are performed in the normal way, the anticipated duration of the project would be 451 days (if delays can be avoided).
5. If all the activities were to be fully crashed instead, then a similar calculation would find that this duration would be reduced to only 288 days as depicted in Table 4. But look at the prohibitive cost ( $\mathrm{Rs} 94,92,45,225$ ) of doing this. It is way more than the bonus that will be received thus incurring heavy losses. Fully crashing all activities clearly is not a viable option.
6. The total cost of crashing activities to get down to Deadline of 430 days is costing a total of Rs $7,14,21,085$ as depicted in Table 5 . Since by spending an additional Rs $7,14,21,085$ will result in recieving the bonus of Rs 30 crores for finishing within the deadline, the solution is thus feasible.
7. Crashing of any project must be undertaken only when the benefits received from crashing are more than the actual cost of crashing.
8. The Problem of Time-Cost Trade-Off is unique to every project and cannot be applied as a general rule. Project managers need to carefully understand the Time-Cost Trade-Off of the project before deciding on whether or not to crash it.
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| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sr} \\ & \text { no } \end{aligned}$ | Scenario | Maximu m Duratio n without Crashin g | Maximu <br> m Crash <br> Duratio <br> n | Total Cost of Crashing | Total numbe $\mathbf{r}$ of days crashe d | Total Indirec $t$ Cost | Deadli ne | Total <br> Added <br> Cost of <br> Project | Total cost of Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Normal Duration \& Cost without crashing | 451 | - | - | - | - | - |  | $\begin{gathered} 134,39,21,40 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | Maximu <br> m <br> Crashed <br> Duration | 451 | 288 | $\begin{gathered} 9492,45,2 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | 163 | $\begin{gathered} 6,52,00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 9485,93,2 \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | 22925,14,631 |
| 3 | Crashed <br> Duration <br> \& Cost <br> with <br> Deadline | 451 | 430 | $\begin{gathered} 715,05,08 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 21 | 84,000 | 430 | $\begin{gathered} 714,21,08 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14153,42,49 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 7: Trade-off Results
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