

Comparative Study of Compressive Strength of Cement Concrete with Conventional and Supplementary Materials

¹Ms.Suman Yadav, ²Ms. Shalini Mishra, ³Ms. Nikita Jain

¹M-Tech student, Department of Civil Engineering, Gurgaon College of Engineering

²Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Gurgaon College of Engineering

³Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, DPG Institute of Engineering

Abstract

This paper presents the experimental study to compare the properties of traditional concrete and concrete made up of other supplementary materials. In this study partial replacement of natural sand by marble dust and stone dust and cement by fly ash so as to provide an environmentally and consistent way of disposal. The experiments were performed by replacing 20%, 22.5%, 25% & 35% of cement with fly ash and 30% & 40% of fine aggregate with marble dust and stone dust.

Keywords : Ordinary Portland Cement(OPC), Waste Materials Fly Ash, Marble Dust, Stone Dust

I. INTRODUCTION

The cement is the most costly and energy intensive component of concrete. The unit cost of concrete can be reduced as much as possible by partial replacement of cement with Fly ash. In recent studies, many researchers found that the use of additional cementitious materials like fly-ash in concrete is economical and reliable. Many studies have been carried out in different countries to use natural stone waste in mortar and concrete. Most of these researchers used marble, granite and lime stone waste as a replacement of cement or sand in concrete mix in order to investigate their effects on the physical and mechanical properties of concrete.

Berryman et al (2007) investigated that the maximum compressive strength was found at a fly ash replacement percentage of 35% for concrete containing class C fly ash . The mean values of compressive strength for 35% type C fly ash was slightly above 41.5 N/mm². The maximum compressive strength for concrete where cement was replaced with Class F fly ash was 25% replacement. Maximum compressive strength for concrete containing type F fly ash was approximately 36.0 N/mm².

Ashle and Quadori, (2013) reported that the compressive strength of concrete of grade M25 & M30

made with stone dust is increased at all the replacement level between 30-60% at an interval of 10%. However, maximum increased strength is observed at a replacement level of 40%.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

I. Cement:

The cement used was ordinary Portland cement(43 grades). The properties of cement based on various test results conducted are as following:

Normal consistency = 31

Specific Gravity = 3.12

Initial setting time = 30 min.

Final setting time = 430 min.

II. Fly ash : Fly ash is one of the residues generated in the combustion of coal. Fly ash is generally captured from the chimneys of coal – fired power plants. Fly ash was used obtained from parichha thermal power plant Jhansi (U.P.) India. The specific gravity of fly ash is 2.11.

III. Stone dust :Stone dust was obtained Mahalakhmi group of stone crushers(Haryana). The specific gravity of stone dust is 2.64 and fineness modulus is 2.76.

IV. Marble dust :Marble stone industry generates marble dust. Whereas marble dust results from the rejects at the mine sites or at the processing units The fineness modulus of marble stone used in the study is 1.55 and specific gravity of marble stone is 2.25.

V. Aggregates:

a) Fine aggregate

specific gravity = 2.02

fineness modulus = 3.10

b) Coarse aggregate

specific gravity = 2.70

fineness modulus = 5.0

III. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

The experimental investigation was done for the comparative study of compressive strength of concrete mix with addition of fly ash, stone dust and marble dust. Fifteen types of concrete mixes were

prepared. The various mix proportions and their concrete of grade M30 was designed as per IS compressive strength are shown in the table 2. The standards.

Table: 1 Control concrete mix (M30)

Water	Cement	Fine Aggregate	Coarse Aggregate
0.45	1	1.41	2.90
182.7ltrs	406kg	576kg	1178kg

Table 2 Preparation of Material with FLY ASH, MD & SD and Compressive Strength

S.NO.	MIX	CEMENT	FLY ASH	FINE AGG.			COARSE AGG.		W/C	COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH	
				SAND	MD	SD	10MM	20MM		7Days (N/mm ²)	28Days (N/mm ²)
1	CC	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	40%	60%	0.45	25.78	38.66
2	M1	65%	35%	70%	20%	10%	40%	60%	0.45	19.55	28
3	M2	65%	35%	70%	15%	15%	40%	60%	0.45	20.44	28.90
4	M3	65%	35%	70%	10%	20%	40%	60%	0.45	21.33	30.22
5	M4	65%	35%	60%	30%	10%	40%	60%	0.45	19.55	27.55
6	M5	65%	35%	60%	20%	20%	40%	60%	0.45	17.77	25.80
7	M6	65%	35%	60%	10%	30%	40%	60%	0.45	18.66	26.70
8	M7	75%	25%	70%	20%	10%	40%	60%	0.45	20.88	29.80
9	M8	75%	25%	70%	15%	15%	40%	60%	0.45	22.22	32
10	M9	75%	25%	70%	10%	20%	40%	60%	0.45	23.11	35.55
11	M10	75%	25%	60%	30%	10%	40%	60%	0.45	21.33	30.25
12	M11	75%	25%	60%	20%	20%	40%	60%	0.45	22.22	31.55
13	M12	75%	25%	60%	10%	30%	40%	60%	0.45	20.88	29.80
14	M13	77.5%	22.5	70%	10%	20%	40%	60%	0.45	24.29	35.55
15	M14	80%	20%	70%	10%	20%	40%	60%	0.45	25.03	38.81

Figure 1. indicates the 7 and 28 days compressive strength of 6 concrete mixes. The highest value is 30.22 N/mm² for 35% of cement replacement and 30% of sand replacement.




Fig.1: Variation in Compressive Strength with 35% Fly Ash

Figure 2 indicate the 7 and 28 days compressive strength of 6 samples. The highest value is 32N/mm² for 25% of cement and 30% of sand replacement.




Fig.2 Variation of Compressive Strength with 25% Fly Ash

The curve in fig. 3 shows the rate of compressive strength development of M13 and M14 mix over a span of 7 and 28 days. It can be seen that concrete with 25% of cement and 30% of sand replacement has the highest rate of increase in compressive strength.




Fig. 3 Variation in Compressive Strength with 22.5% and 20% Fly Ash

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The following observations were made as result of experiments conducted to compare the strength of concrete with conventional and supplementary materials.

1. Compressive strength increases when the replacement of cement and fine aggregate percentage is up to 20% and 30% respectively.

2. By using supplementary materials in preparation of concrete, it is the possible to safely dispose the fly ash, stone dust and marble dust. Using fly ash, marble dust and stone dust in concrete mix proved to be very useful to solve environmental problems and up to some extent one can minimize the requirement of cement in large quantity. Therefore, it is recommended to re-use these wastes in concrete to move towards sustainable development in construction industry.

3. Use of fly ash and stone waste materials in concrete leads to saving of cost.
4. The mix M14 had high value of compressive strength, remaining mix (M1-M13) were comparatively less. But the workability value of mix M4, M5 and M6 had good values as compare to other mixes.
5. This investigation focuses on the comparison between control concrete and modified concrete with alternative materials. The strength of modified concrete is at comparable range with control concrete at 28 days. Thus the fly ash, stone dust and marble dust can be introduced as functional construction materials.

Scope for Future Work : This study proposes the use of all three waste products (fly ash, marble dust and stone dust) together for making a concrete mix. Also the observations of the work reveals that there is considerable improvement in the characteristic compressive strength of the concrete. Compressive strength can be determined at 60, 90 and 120 days also to compare the change in strength for both control and alternative mixes.

Usage of marble powder and stone dust needs to be studied further, so that these waste materials can contribute to be large constituents of concrete mixes which in turn will optimize the cost of the project and at the same time not compromising with strength and other properties of the concrete for sustainable development.

REFERENCES

- [1] A Priyanka, Jadhav, Dilip k. ,Kulkarni “Effect of replacement of natural sand by manufactured sand on properties of cement mortar” International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering, Volume 3, No 3, ISSN 0976-4399, 2011.
- [2] A.K. Sahu , Sunil kumar and A.K. sachan, “Quarry stone dust waste as Fine aggregate for concrete” The Indian concrete Journal. (2003)
- [3] Anjali H Jamale, Urmila R Kawade “Effect of Quarry Dust and Fly Ash Mix on strength properties of M40 grade Concrete” International Journal of Engineering Research and General Science Volume 3, Issue 3, Part-2 , May-June, 2015 ISSN 2091-2730
- [4] Bahar Demirel “The Effect of the using waste marble dust as fine sand on the mechanical properties of the concrete” International journal of physical Science Vol. 5(9), Pp. 1372-1380, 18 August, 2010
- [5] Christy C Freeda & D Tensing “Effect of class-F fly ash as partial replacement of cement and fine aggregate in mortar” Indian Journal of engineering & materials science, Volume 17, 2010.
- [6] Cong kou, chisun poon and Dixon chan, “influence of fly ash as cement Replacement on the properties of Recycled Aggregate concrete” J. of Mater. In civil Engg. ASCE, 709 (2007).
- [7] Er. Lakhn Nagpal, Arvind Dewangan, Er. Sandeep Dhiman, Er. Sumit Kumar “Evaluation of Strength Characteristics of Concrete Using Crushed Stone Dust as Fine Aggregate” International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE) ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-2, Issue-6, May 2013
- [8] Garas G.L, Allam M.E and Bakhourn E.S “Studies undertaken to incorporate marble and granite wastes in green concrete production” ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Science, 2014.
- [9] IS 10262- 1981 “IS method of Mix design” Bureau of Indian standard, New delhi.
- [10] IS 516 – 1959 “method of mix Design” Bureau of Indian standerd, New delhi.
- [11] M.L Gambhir “Concrete Technology” fourth edition 2012, Tata McGraw Hil Education Private Limited (New Delhi).
- [12] Praveen Kumar K, Radhakrishna “Strength and Workability of Cement Mortar with Manufly ashtured Sand” International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology eISSN: 2319-1163 pISSN: 2321-7308.
- [13] Shetty, M.S. (2008). Book “concrete Technology, “S. chand and company Ltd., New Delhi.
- [14] T.G.S Kiran, M.K.M.V Ratnam “Fly Ash as a Partial Replacement of Cement in Concrete and Durability Study of Fly Ash in Acidic (H₂SO₄) Environment” sInternational Journal of Engineering Research and Development Volume 10, Issue 12 (December 2014), PP.01-13.