# Partial Replacement of Cement with GGBS in Self Compacting Concrete for Sustainable Construction

J.Vengadesh Marshall Raman<sup>1</sup>, V.Murali Krishnan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>2</sup>Student, Department of Civil Engineering Mailam Engineering College, Mailam, Villupuram District, Tamil Nadu 604 304

## Abstract

The concept of partial replacement of cement which is capable for sustainable development is characterized by application of industrial wastes to reduce consumption of natural resources and energy and pollution of the environment. A presently large amount of ground granulated blast furnace slag is a by-product of manufacturing of pig iron with an important impact on environment and humans. This research work describes the feasibility of using the GGBS in self compacting concrete production as partial replacement of cement. GGBS can be used as filler and helps to reduce the total voids content in self compacting concrete. Constant level of Fly ash is also used in all set of mix proportion to increase the powder content for achieve the Workability. The cement has been replaced by GGBS accordingly in the range of 0%, 25%, 30%, 35%, and 40% by weight of cement for M-30mix. After iterative trial mixes the water/cement ratio (w/c) was selected as 0.40. Self compacting Concrete mixtures produced, tested and compared in terms of compressive ,split tensile strength and flexural strength with the conventional concrete for 7,14,28 days. It is found that, 25% of GGBS can be replaced and strength obtained is comparable to the conventional concrete.

**KeyWords:** Self compacting concrete, Compressive Strength, split tensile strength, Flexural strength, Fly ash, GGBS, Green concrete.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Green concrete is very often also cheap to produce, because, for example, waste products are used as a partial substitute for cement, charges for the dumping of waste are avoided, energy utilization in production is inferior, and durability is superior. In India there is an extreme manufacture of fly ash as it is used in the production of electricity in nuclear power plants. Ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) is obtained by quenching molten iron slag (a byproduct of iron and steel making) from a blast furnace in water or steam, to produce a glassy, granular product that is then dried and ground into a fine powder. By well judged use of available materials for concrete making and their proportioning, concrete mixes are produced to have the desired properties in the fresh and hardened states, as the situation demands. Waste can be used to fabricate new products or can be used as admixtures so that natural sources are used more effectiveness and the environment is sheltered from waste deposits. To avoid the toxic waste and reprocess the waste material, the present study is carried out. As the properties are as good as the cement, the Class F fly ash (coal fly ash) and Ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) is used as fine partial replacement in the cement in Self compacting concrete.

# **II. MATERIALS INVESTIGATION**

## A. Cement

The Ordinary Portland cement of 53-grade was used in this study conforming to IS: 12269-1987 .The specific gravity of cement is 3.15. The initial and final setting times were found as 35 minutes and 178 minutes respectively. Standard consistency of cement was 31%.

## **B.** Fine aggregates

The river sand is used as fine aggregate conforming to the requirements of IS: 383-1970. Having specific gravity of 2.62 and fineness modulus of 2.86 has been used as fine aggregate for this study.

## C. Coarse Aggregate

Coarse aggregate obtained from local quarry units has been used for this study, conforming to IS: 383-1970 is used. Maximum size of aggregate used is 20mm with specific gravity of 2.707.

## D. Fly Ash

Fly ash is a byproduct of the thermal power plants. Fly ash normally produced from burning anthracite or bituminous coal. Class F fly ash was used have a lower content of Cao and exhibit Pozzolonic properties. Specific gravity of fly ash is 2.2 as per Specific gravity Test, IS: 2386 Part III, 1963,(ASTM C 618).

Table -1: Chemical Composition of Fly Ash

Content	Cao	Sio2	Al2o3	Fe2o3	Mgo
Fly ash	2	60	30	4.0	1.0

*E. Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS)* Ground Granulated Blast furnace Slag (GGBS), a co-product produced simultaneously with iron, molten blast furnace slag is cooled instantaneously by quenching in large volumes of cold water, known as granulation, to produce Granulated Blast furnace Slag.

Table -2:	Chemical	Composition	of (GGBS)
-----------	----------	-------------	-----------

Content	SiO <sub>2</sub>	AL <sub>2</sub> O	CaO	MgO	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	SO	L.O.I
		3				3	
GGBS	40.0	13.5	39.2	3.6	1.8	0.2	0.0

Table -3: Physical properties of (GGBS)

Sl.No	<b>Physical Properties</b>	GGBS
1	Colour	White powder
2	Specific gravity	2.94
3	Specific surface(m <sup>2</sup> /kg)	430
4	Bulk Density(kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1200

# F. Water

The water used for experiments was potable water conforming as per IS: 456-2000.

# III. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

SCC mixes with different replacements of mineral admixture were prepared and examined to quantify the properties of SCC. The replacement of GGBS was carried out at levels of 25%, 30%, 35% and 40% of cement content. After iterative trial mixes the water/cement ratio (w/c) was selected as 0.40.Some design guidelines have been prepared from the acceptable test methods. Many different test methods have been developed in attempts to characterize the properties of Self Compacting Concrete. So far, no single method or combination of methods has achieved universal approval and most of them have their adherents.

 Table -4: Details of Mix Proportions of Concrete

MATEDIALS		GGB	S CONT	TENT	
kg/m <sup>3</sup>	0%	25%	30%	40%	50%
0	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5
Cement	420	315	294	273	250
GGBS	0	105	126	147	168
Fly Ash	105	105	105	105	105
Coarse	1012	1012	1012	1012	1012
Aggregate(12.5					
mm)					
Fine aggregate	815	815	815	815	815
Crushed stone	360	360	360	360	360
sand(CSS)					
Water	210	210	210	210	210

Table -5: SCC- Acceptance Criteria for Fresh Properties

Sl.No	Property	Range	Property
1	Slump Flow Diameter	500-700 mm	Filling ability
2	T 50cm	2-5 sec	Filling ability
3	V-funnel	8-12 sec	Passing ability
4	V-funnel- T5min	11-15sec	Segregation resistance
5	L-Box H2/H1	$\geq 0.8$	Passing ability

# Table -6: Test results on Fresh SCC Mixes

% of	% of GGBS				
Replacement	0%	25%	30%	35%	40 %
Slump Flow(mm)	635	670	675	635	610
U box (mm)	27	17	19	24	26
V funnel (sec)	10	7	8	10	11
L box	0.8 6	0.9	0.87	0.83	0.8 2

Mix	Compressive strength in N/mm <sup>2</sup>			
Designation	7 Days	14 Days	28 Days	
Mix-1 Control mix	26.95	30.22	40.37	
Mix-2 25% of GGBS	32.1	39.94	47.56	
Mix-3 30% of GGBS	31.53	36.96	45.22	
Mix-4 35% of GGBS	29.2	34.12	44.29	
Mix-5 40% of GGBS	29.6	32.14	43.26	

Table -7: Compressive Strength on 7, 14 and 28 Days

Chart -1: Compressive Strength on 7, 14 and 28 Days



# Table -8: Split Tensile Strength on 3, 7 and 28 days

Mix	Split Tensile Strength in N/mn		
Designation	14 Days	28 Days	
Mix-1 Control mix	2.27	4.05	
Mix-2 25% of GGBS	3.74	5.55	
Mix-3 30% of GGBS	3.70	5.48	
Mix-4 35% of GGBS	3.46	5.38	
Mix-5 40% of GGBS	2.78	5.01	



Table -9: Flexural Strength on 14 and 28 days	Table -9: Fl	exural Strength o	n 14 and 28 days
---	--------------	-------------------	------------------

Mix	Flexural Strength in N/mm <sup>2</sup>		
Designation	14 Days	28 Days	
Mix-1 Control mix	5.85	6.72	
Mix-2 25% of GGBS	7.73	10.01	
Mix-3 30% of GGBS	7.54	9.26	
Mix-4 35% of GGBS	6.86	8.16	
Mix-5 40% of GGBS	6.56	7.67	

Chart-3: Flexural Strength in Prism on 14 and 28 days



Mix Identity	Average charge passed(coulombs)	Chloride irons permeability
M1	2150.46	Moderate
M 2	3536.1	Moderate
M3	3341.4	Moderate
M4	3104.4	Moderate
M5	2545.5	Moderate

## Table -10: Results of Rapid Chloride Penetration Test

## **IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

When the percentage of GGBS replaced to cement with varying percentage from 25% to 40% the following results were drawn.

- 1. With 25% of GGBS the compressive strength at the end of 7,14 and 28 days 32.1, 39.94 and 47.56N/mm2 respectively.
- 2. The compressive strength at the end of 28 days decreases when the GGBS percentage is increased beyond 40%. However the compressive strength of M30 concrete at the end of 28 days for 40% replacement of GGBS is 43.26 N/mm2 as shown in Table -7.
- 3. The compressive strength showed a steep decrease when the GGBS percentage is increased as shown in Chart -1.
- 4. A similar increase in the split tensile strength was observed when the GGBS is increase 25% (5.55 N/mm2 at the end of 28 days).
- The split tensile strength at the end of 28 days decreases when the GGBS percentage is increased beyond 40%. However the split tensile strength of M30 concrete at the end of 28 days for 40% replacement of GGBS is 5.01 N/mm2 as shown in Table -8.
- 6. The split tensile strength showed a steep decrease when the GGBS percentage is increased beyond 40% as shown in Chart -2.
- 7. A similar increase in the Flexural strength was observed when the GGBS is increase 25% (10.1N/mm2 at the end of 28 days).
- The Flexural strength at the end of 28 days decreases when the GGBS percentage is increased beyond 40%. However the Flexural strength of M30 concrete at the end of 28 days for 40% replacement of GGBS is 7.67 N/mm2 as shown in Table -9.
- 9. The Flexural strength strength showed a steep decrease when the GGBS percentage is increased beyond 40% as shown in Chart -3.
- 10. Corrosion of reinforcing steel due to chloride ingress is one of the most common environmental attacks that lead to the deterioration of concrete

structures. Rapid Chloride Penetration is moderate in all mixes as shown in Table -10.

## REFERENCE

- [1] Chandrakant u. Mehetre, pradnya p. Urade, shriram h. Mahure & k. Ravi, "Comparative study of properties of self compacting concrete with metakaolin and cement kiln dust as mineral admixtures" International Journal of Research in Engineering & Technology (IMPACT: IJRET), Vol. 2, Apr 2014, 37-52.
- [2] U. N. Shahi," study on fresh properties of self compacting concrete with process fly ash", International Journal Research in Engineering & Technology Vol.2, Issue 5, May 2014.
- [3] Prajapati Krishnapal, Chandak Rajeev and Dubey Sanjay Kumar, "Development and properties of self compacting concrete mixed with fly ash", Research Journal of Engineering Science Vol.1 (3), 11-14, Sept. (2012)
- [4] Beeralingegowda and V. D. Gundakalle, "The effect of addition of limestone powder on the properties of self- compacting concrete", International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 2, Issue 9, September 2013
- [5] Dhiyaneshwaran. S, Ramanathan. P.Baskar and Venkatasubramani, R,"Study on durability characteristics of self compacting concrete with fly ash", Jordan journal of Civil Engineering, Column 7, No.3, 2013
- [6] Darshan H R, M.Rame Gowda 'Development and study of behavior of self-compacting concrete using GGBS", International Journal of Advanced Technology in Engineering and Science ,Volume No.02, Issue No. 07, July 2014
- [7] Deepa Balakrishnan S., Paulose ,"Workability and strength characteristics of self compacting concrete containing fly ash and dolomite powder", American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER), Vol-2, pp-43-47.
- [8] Mohammad Kamran, Mudit Mishra "Behavior of selfcompacting concrete using PPC and OPC with different proportions of fly ash", International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, Volume: 03, Issue: 09 Sep-2014.
- [9] MD Nor Atan, Hanizam Awang, "The compressive and flexural strengths of self compacting concrete using raw rice husk ash", Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, Vol. 6, No. 6 (2011) 720 - 732
- [10] EFNARC Specification and Guidelines for Self Compacting Concrete – February 2002.
- [11] I.S: 456 2000, Indian standard Specification for plain and reinforced concrete – code of practice. (Fourth revision), B.I.S., New Delhi.
- [12] I.S: 516-1959, Indian Standard Methods of Test for Strength of concrete. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [13] I.S: 1199-1959, Indian Standard Methods of Sampling and analysis of concrete. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [14] I.S. 2386-1963, Methods of Test for aggregates for concrete -Part 3: Specific gravity, Density, Voids, Absorption and Bulking, Bureau of Indian Standard, New Delhi.
- [15] I.S: 5816: 1999, Methods of tests for splitting tensile strength of concrete.
- [16] I.S: 383 1970, Indian standard specification for coarse & fine aggregates from natural sources for concrete, B.I.S., New Delhi.
- [17] I.S: 12269-1987, Specification for53 grade ordinary Portland cement, B.I.S., New Delhi.