Seismic Analysis of G+12 Multistory Building Varying Zone and Soil Type

Ankit Purohit¹, Lovish Pamecha² ¹Research Scholar, Assistant Professor² Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering Sanghvi Institute of Management & Science, Indore (M.P.)

Abstract

The building are high rise structures domestically used for residential purpose, this research work is concerned with the analysis for building structure for the purpose of safety. The building is subjected to different seismic zones and variation in soil type. The present paper considered four cases. (Soft soil with zone V, Medium soil with zone V, soft soil with zone II and medium soil with zone II). Analysis were performed to analyze and observe the behavior, performance and response of G+12 story building. Parameters selected and tested are deflection, stresses, bending moment and shear force. Results were traced in tabular format for all parameters value and observed against selected zone like V or II with varying soil type. Conclusion were made to describe the behavior and comparison of result values to set the standard thinking and policy for design engineers and researchers. It is also concluded that the software can be used frequently with positive faith to model, analyze and design buildings which reduces design cost and increases reliability of design with time saving.

Keywords: *High rise building design, seismic load, deflection, stresses, bending moment, shear force, Staad Pro.*

General

It is well known that high-rise buildings act as very important roles in modern cities. First of all, tall buildings can be effectively used to meet the requirements of modern society and solve the problem of limitation of construction site resources. On the other hand, they are the signals of economic properties and civilization. Nowadays high-rise buildings rise higher and higher, with more and more complex and individual plan and elevation, such as multi-tower buildings.

The multi-tower building mentioned in the paper is refer to two or more towers connected with one large podium or conjunction parts at different levels. It is well known that the podium and conjunction parts shall be designed very carefully to meet the internal force and the deformation between towers. Figure below shows the typical style of twin-tower buildings. From the point of structural properties, multi-tower high-rise buildings have the following characteristics,

- the height exceeding the limitation of present codes;
- extremely irregular shape, including in plan and elevation;
- the distribution of mass and lateral stiffness are sharply changed along the height;
- mega-member with huge space and large span,;
- flexible weak connection between towers;
- New construction materials, methods and details.

Multi-tower high-rise buildings appear in recent decades but the researches on this field are not sufficient now. The nonlinear time-history analysis and structural model tests are seldom presented in document. Some perfect software, such as ADINA, SAP, SUPER-SAP, ANSYS, TBSA, SAP84, TAT and SATWE etc. are widely used by designers and researches in this field. Figure below shows the traditional calculation models of multi-tower buildings. Factors affecting earthquake design of structure

- 1) Natural frequency of the building
- 2) Damping factor of the structure
- 3) Type of foundation of the structure
- 4) Importance of the building
- 5) Ductility of the structure

Quite a few methods are available for the earthquake

analysis of buildings; two of them are presented here:

a. Equivalent Static Lateral Force Method (pseudo static method).

- b. Dynamic analysis.
- (1) Response spectrum method.
- (2) Time history method.

Objective

- To develop, design and analyze model of the High rise structure in FEA software
- To study seismic load applied to the structure.
- Comparison of results of earthquake load applied on the structure by FEA software in

Zone II and Zone V for soft and medium soil cases.

- Comparison of results of earthquake load applied on the structure by FEA software in soft and hard soil type.
- To compare deflections and Shear force -

Bending moment obtained by FEA software.

Problem Formulation

A Model of G+12 Multi-story is developed, analyzed and design using STAAD-Pro software. Building plan size is $15m \times 21m$. The building is situated in earthquake zone II and V. Seismic zone coefficient is taken as 0.1 and 0.36 respectively as per IS code. Following specifications are given to the structure:

Methodology

Beam 0.45 m \times 0.3 m Live load 2kN/m2 Dead Load Member load 6 KN Floor Load 4 KN Concrete as per IS456 Yield Strength of Steel 415000 KN/m² Maximum Steel Ratio 3% These values are provided as an input to the STAAD-Pro software for drawing, analysis and

designing purposes.

Column 0.45 m \times 0.3 m



Data Collection and Analysis

A hypothetical building is assumed for seismic analysis that consists of a G+12 R.C.C. public cum-Office building. The plan of the building is irregular in nature as it has all columns at equal spacing. The building is located in Seismic Zone II and Zone V for research purpose and is founded on medium type soil.



Fig: Building Model and 3D Model



Soft Soil Zone V Analysis

Deflection Soft Soil Zone V Analysis

Table 1: Deflection	a Table Zone	V	Soft Soil
---------------------	--------------	---	-----------

ZONE V S SOIL								
			Horizontal Vertical H			Resultant		
	Node	L/C	X mm	Y mm	Zmm	mm		
Max X	1561	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	0.639	-7.882	-0.007	7.908		
Min X	510	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	-0.106	-7.644	0.718	7.679		
Max Y	1	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	0	0	0	0		
Min Y	1558	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	0.576	-9.811	0.009	9.828		
Max Z	483	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	0.015	-7.344	0.883	7.397		
Min Z	525	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	0.537	-7.362	-0.151	7.383		
Max rX	483	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	0.015	-7.344	0.883	7.397		
Min rX	526	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	0.506	-7.363	-0.151	7.382		
Max rY	485	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	-0.05	-6.993	0.875	7.047		
Min rY	482	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	0.05	-6.993	0.875	7.047		
Max rZ	504	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	-0.106	-7.645	0.735	7.681		
Min rZ	499	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	0.635	-7.625	0.009	7.651		

Soft Soil Zone V Stress



Fig 11: Soft Soil Zone V Stress 14.4188 N/mm² at beam number 3159

Soft Soil Zone V SF/BM



Figure 12: Soft Soil Zone V SF/BM

🚺 STAAD.Pro V8i (SELECTseries 6) - ZONE II Soft soil ile Edit View Tools Select Results Report Mode Win 🗗 🗗 🗗 🗗 🗗 🖨 🕂 🕂 💠 💠 💠 🧔 🌜 🤹 🔠 🛱 🤁 🔍 🍳 🍳 🔍 🖉 🔯 💇 📿 🌢 7:1.50L+LL+ELX+IVE) • ? 🐴 🛸 🕰 🐯 🤳 🖩 🛛 🛋 🖉 🖉 🖉 🗇 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 • × ± 15 Modeling /Building Planner / Piping /Bridg Deck Postprocessing Foundation Design Steel Design RAM Connection Concrete Design Advanced Slab Design **R**o 🖩 다 아 🛛 🎸 🕇 🛠 🛱 🗡 🗞 👯 🗹 🕱 🗾 ZONE II Soft soil - Whole Structure ZONE II Soft s il - Beam End Fo lode orces All Summary (Envelope Fx Fy kN Mx kNn Beam L/C Node Beam Min Ex 4870 8 1 5(DL+LL+ 1402 42.75 38.36 61.530 -61.950 4.292 4.714 24.743 36.821 -3.868 4.291 1411 1416 -17.101 0.00 0.01 110 365 53 17 0.00 7 294 110.365 110.384 27.133 27.133 106.259 106.278 100 -52.65 0.007 -7.530 Animation 0.913 -0.913 -0.007 -0.007 8 1.5(DL+L 0.255 -0.371 52.651 -52.130 23.32! 23.40! Reports ZONE II Soft - Beam Force Detail Beam L/C Dist Mz Dist My 1 71.5(DL+LL+ Max +ve 3.000 18.345 0.000 0.063 Forces / My kNm 0.063 -0.057 0.063 -0.057 0.050 -0.045 0.050 Â 子口の町 -10.090 18.500 -10.101 14.676 1.500 3.000 1.500 3.000 1.500 3.000 3.000 0.000 3.000 0.000 3.000 0.000 3.000 8 1.5(DL+LL+ /lax +ve 9 1.2(DL+LL--8.072 14.800 10 1.2(DL+LL Max 0.05 -Cja -0.045 1.500 -8.080 Įx 7 1.5(DL+LL+ 0.000 16.862 .000 0.003 Load 7 : Bending Z 1.500 -8.912 16 776 0.000 9.4.E/DI -1 For Help, press F Post Mode Load 7 : 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE Input Units: kN-m N Ø w The second secon 1 🕪 🏲 🏪 **9**

Soft Soil Zone VShear Force Bending Moment



	Beam	L/C	Node	FxkN	FykN	FzkN	MxkNm	My kNm	MzkNm
Max Fx	3159	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	1096	946.536	0.249	-0.484	0.000	0.072	-0.113
Min Fx	4870	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	1402	-38.361	42.754	0.004	-0.013	-0.005	23.605
Max Fy	4806	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	1411	-17.545	61.530	-0.007	-0.006	0.011	53.192
Min Fy	4810	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	1416	-17.101	-61.95	-0.008	0.005	-0.013	53.853
Max Fz	1735	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	963	110.365	4.292	53.171	0.007	7.294	2.464
Min Fz	1777	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	1005	110.384	4.714	-52.651	-0.007	-7.530	2.114
Max Mx	1175	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	485	27.133	24.743	0.255	0.913	-0.371	5.173
Min Mx	1171	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	481	27.133	36.821	-0.255	-0.913	0.393	23.289
Max My	1736	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	484	106.259	-3.868	52.651	-0.007	23.325	-1.653
Min My	1777	8 1.5(DL+LL+EL Z+IVE)	525	106.278	4.291	-52.130	-0.007	-23.405	1.177
Max Mz	4821	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	1422	-17.101	-61.950	0.008	-0.005	0.013	53.853
Min Mz	1756	7 1.5(DL+LL+EL X+IVE)	504	111.971	36.956	-7.060	-0.003	-1.098	-32.283

Table 2: Soft Soil Zone V SF/BM Result Table

Similarly Analysis were performed for Medium Soil Zone V, Soft Soil Zone II and Soft Soil Zone V Cases.

6.1 Results

Table 9: Result Table for Both Soil and Zone Cases

Parameters	Soft Soil With V	Medium Soil	Soft Soil With II	Medium Soil
	Zone	With V Zone	Zone	With II Zone

Deflection (mm)	9.85	9.83	9.01	8.81
Stress (N/mm ²)	14.457	14.44	12.60	12.56
Shear Force (kN)	63.214	62.901	61.53	61.149
Bending Moment (kNm)	55.841	55.35	53.928	53.792

Parameters	Soft Soil Zone V	Medium Soil	Soft Soilvs	Soft Soilvs
	vsZone II	Zone V vsZone II	Medium SoilZone	Medium
			II	SoilZone V
Deflection (mm)	0.84	1.02	0.2	0.02
Stress (N/mm ²)	1.857	1.88	0.04	0.017
Shear Force (kN)	1.684	1.752	0.381	0.313
Bending Moment (kNm)	1.913	1.558	0.136	0.491

Table	10:	Difference	Value	Table for	r Both	Soil	and	Zone	Cases
Lable	TO.	Difference	, and	T able 101	Dom	DOIL 1	unu .	LUIIC	Cubcb

Conclusion

The Deflection, Shear Force Bending Moment, Beam End Forces, Displacement, Beam Stresses Sectional Force and Bending Moment are noticed maximum in Zone V for all above cases. The graphs and table values for all parameters are greater for Zone V analysis than Zone II analysis.

Soil analysis in medium and soft soil are examined in both Zone V and Zone II. It is found in results for both cases that the displacement in soft soil is greater than medium soil case. Shear force values are also greater in soft soil. Bending moment is noticed ^[9] lesser in soft soil than medium soil for all cases.

The STAAD Pro is found as a effective tool for Seismic analysis of Multi-storey buildings in any of the Seismic zone. The highest sections of column and higher Beams are subjected to maximum stresses and deflection, so various high side beams can be analyzed and can be designed using FEM software's like STAAD Pro.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wensheng LU and Xilin LU, Seismic Model Test and Analysis of Multi-Tower High-Rise Buildings, 12WCEE, 2000
- [2] Mr. PathanIrfan Khan and Dr. Mrs. N.R.Dhamge, Review Paper on Seismic Analysis of Multistoried RCC Building Due to Mass Irregularity, June 2016 IJSDR Volume 1, Issue 6, ISSN: 2455-2631, 428-431
- [3] MohdAtif, et. al., Comparative Study on Seismic Analysis of Multistorey Building Stiffened With Bracing and Shear Wall, International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 02 Issue: 05, Aug-2015, ISSN: 2395-0072
- [4] Ranu R. Akulwar, Seismic Analysis of Structures under Different Soil Conditions, Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, Vol. 5, Issue 1, (Part -6) January 2015, pp.64-69, ISSN: 2248-9622

- [5] N. Janardhana Reddy, et. al., Seismic Analysis of Multi-Storied Building with Shear Walls Using ETABS-2013, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), 6.14 | Impact Factor (2014),
- [6] P.P.Tapkire and Saeed J.Birajdar, Comparative Study of High Rise Building using INDIAN Standards and EURO Standards under Seismic Forces, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), (2013): 6.14
- [7] Mahesh Suresh Kumawat and L G Kalurkar, Analysis and Design of Multistory Building Using Composite Structure, Int. J. Struct. & Civil Engg. Res. 2014, ISSN 2319 – 6009
- [8] Ramesh Konakalla, Effect of Vertical Irregularity in Multi-Storied Buildings Under Dynamic Loads Using Linear Static Analysis, IJEAR Vol. 4, Issue Spl-2, Jan - June 2014, PP 29-34,ISSN: 2348-0033.
 - Devesh P. Soni, Qualitative Review Of Seismic Response Of Vertically Irregular Building Frames, ISET Journal of Earthquake Technology, Technical Note, Vol. 43, No. 4, December 2006, pp. 121-132,
- [10] HimanshuBansaL, Seismic Analysis and Design of Vertically Irregular RC Building Frames, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), Volume 3 Issue 8, August 2014, 207-215,
- [11] Shaikh Abdul Aijaj Abdul Rahman, Seismic Response of Vertically Irregular RC Frame with Stiffness Irregularity at Fourth Floor, International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, Volume 3, Issue 8, August 2013, PP 377-385, ISSN 2250-2459
- [12] Hema Mukundan1, S.Manivel, Effect of Vertical Stiffness Irregularity on Multi-Storey Shear Wall-framed Structures using Response Spectrum Analysis, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 4, Issue 3, March 2015
- [13] Sagar, B.Patil Prof. GururajB.Katti, Study of Behavior of Plan & Vertical Irregularity by Seismic Analysis, International Journal for Scientific Research & Development Vol. 3, Issue 04, 2015,
- [14] Harshitha. R, A.Soundarya, KrishnareddygariPrathima, Y.Guruprasad, Seismic Analysis Of Symmetric RC Frame Using Response Spectrum Method And Time History Method, International Journal Of Scientific Research And Education, Volume 2, Issue 3, Pages 483-499, 2014,
- [15] A S Patil and P D Kumbhar, Time History Analysis Of Multistoried Rcc Buildings For Different Seismic Intensities, Int. J. Struct. & Civil Engg. Res., Vol. 2, No. 3, August 2013

- [16] Arvindreddy, R.J.Fernandes, Seismic analysis of RC regular and irregular frame structures, International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 02 Issue: 05, Aug-2015.
- [17] Himanshu Bansal1, Gagandeep, Seismic Analysis and Design of Vertically Irregular RC Building Frames, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), Volume 3 Issue 8, August 2014.
- [18] Ramesh Konakalla Ramesh DuttChilakapati, DrHarinadhaBabuRaparla, Response Study Of Multi-Storied Buildings With Plan Irregularity Subjected To Earthquake And Wind Loads Using Linear Static Analysis IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) e-ISSN: 2278-1684, p-ISSN: 2320-334X PP 12-19
- [19] Poncet, L. And Tremblay, R. Influence Of Mass Irregularity On The Seismic Design And Performance Of Multi-Storey Braced Steel Frames 13th World Conference On Earthquake

Engineering Vancouver, B.C., Canada August 1-6, 2004 Paper No. 2896

- [20] S.Varadharajan, V.K. Sehgal, and B.SainiReview of different Structural irregularities in buildings Journal of Structural Engineering Vol. 39, No. 5, December 2012 - January 2013 pp. 393-418
- [21] PathanIrfan Khan, .N.R.Dhamge seismic analysis of multistoried rcc building due to mass irregularity2016 IJEDR | Volume 4, Issue 3 | ISSN: 2321-9939
- [22] UmeshSalunkhe, J.S. KANASE Seismic Demand of Framed Structure with Mass Irregularity International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research (IJSETR) Volume 6, Issue 1, January 2017
- [23] Oman Sayyed, Suresh Singh Kushwah, ArunaRawat Effect of Infill and Mass Irregularity on RC Building under Seismic Loading International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395 -0056 Volume: 04 Issue: 02 Feb -2017.