# Experimental Report on Flexible Pavement by Using Hydrophobic Silica Sand, Zeolite and Steel Mesh

R.Sundharam<sup>1</sup>, R.Tamil Elakkiya<sup>2</sup>, P.Vinoliya<sup>3</sup>, P.S.suvetha<sup>4</sup>, B.Sugapriya<sup>5</sup>

Assistant Professor<sup>1</sup>, UG Students<sup>2, 3, 4, 5,</sup>

Kings College of Engineering, Punalkulam, Gandarvakottai Taluk, Pudukottai District, Tamil Nadu, India.

#### Abstract

The vision of our project is to reduce global warming, and the mission of the project is to construct flexible pavement without affecting the environment. The agent zeolite was mixed with asphalt mix to reduce carbon dioxide level and increase the strength of the pavement. Hydrophobic silica sand was used in the asphalt mix for the replacement of filler content to reduce porosity. The secondary aim of our project is to reduce the crack in pavement by using steel mesh. The reason behind the steel mesh is to increase the life span of the flexible pavement. Cost expenses of pavement construction and maintenance were compared with our innovative pavement construction as per the CPWD (Central Public Work Department). The aggregate tests such as impact test, abrasion test, crushing test were conducted as per IS- specifications. California bearing ratio and dry density test by core cutter method were identified the soil stability, type of soil which was under the pavement to increase strength. Pavement thickness was calculated for WMM (Wet Mix Macadam) and BC (Bituminous Concrete) by CBR test as per IRC 37-2001. Marshall Stability test, which deals with the stability and flows property of asphalt mix to obtained highly stabilized pavement layers. The IRC (Indian Road Congress) codes of practices were used for the pavement design standards and codes of Indian standards for the test procedures of bitumen.

**Keywords:** Global warming, flexible pavement zeolite, hydrophobic silica sand, steel mesh – cracks, life span, cost expenses, CPWD, WMM (Wet Mix Macadam), BC (Bituminous Concrete), Marshall test, IS and IRC Code of practices.

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

#### A. Granular sub-base

Laying and compacting well-graded material on prepared sub-grade. Material shall be laid in one or more layers. The material to be used for the work shall be natural sand, gravel, and crushed stone.

#### B. Wet Mix Macadam

Laying and compacting clean, crushed, graded aggregate and granular material, premixed with water, to a dense mass on prepared sub-grade or existing pavement. The thickness of a single compacted Wet Mix Macadam layer shall not be less than 75 mm. Coarse aggregate shall be crushed stone. If crushed gravel is used, not less than 90% by Wt of gravel pieces retained on 4.75 mm sieve shall have at least two fractured faces. If the water absorption value of a coarse aggregate is greater than 2%, the soundness test shall be carried out as per IS- 2386(Part-5).

#### C. Bituminous concrete (BC)

BC is a Dense Graded Bituminous mix used as a wearing course for heavily trafficked roads. BC mix consists of coarse aggregates, fine aggregates, filler, and binder blended as per Marshall Mix design.

#### II. PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS IN PAVEMENT

#### A. Problems identified in flexible pavement

- Little less in the strength of the pavement
- Emission of Co<sub>2</sub> during the process of melting bitumen leads to global warming
- Life span is less
- Cracks developed quickly
- Soil erosion under the pavement
- The cost of bitumen is high
- Less soil strength

#### B. Material used for the solution of problems

**Hydrophobic silica sand** - The hydrophobic silica sand used 5% filler content in asphalt mix to decrease the porosity of the pavement and as a water repellent.

**Aggregate** -6mm, 12mm, 20mm, 2.36mm for BC (Bituminous course) grade II, 40mm, and M-sand for WMM (wet mix macadam) were used.

**Zeolite** - When 30% of zeolite instead of cement used in concrete, it fully absorbs carbon dioxide and achieve better strength. We used only 5% zeolite to increase the strength of flexible pavement and also absorb carbon dioxide.

**Steel mesh** – 2mm steel mesh is laid under a bituminous course used to reduce the cracks in the flexible pavement and increase the life span.

M-sand – 50% of M- sand used as a mixture with 40mm aggregate to lay down the sub-base course of the flexible pavement that is WMM.

#### **IV. PROPERTIES OF MATERIAL USED**

#### A. Properties of bitumen

- Adhesion
- Resistance to Water
- Hardness
- Viscosity and Flow
- Softening Point
- Ductility
- Specific Gravity

#### B. Properties of zeolite

- Methane, CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption
- Not reactive
- Specific gravity 2.3
- Reduce porosity
- Water absorbent
- High compressive strength
- Molecular size 0.5–1.2 nm

#### C. Properties of Hydrophobic silica sand

- Uniform size
- Hard
- Chemically inert
- High melting point
- Filler
- The chemical formula is SiO2
- Contains insulating fibers

#### D. Properties of steel mesh

- The diameter of the wire 2 mm
- Resistance to temperature
- Tensile in nature
- Durable
- Carrying heavy loads

#### IV. TESTS ON AGGREGATES

#### A. Impact test

The aggregate impact test is carried out to evaluate the resistance to the impact of aggregates and also determine the toughness of aggregate.



Fig. 1

#### **B.** Abrasion test

Abrasion test is carried out to test the hardness property of aggregates and to decide whether they are suitable for different pavement construction works.



Fig. 2

#### C. Crushing test

The ultimate goal is to measure resistance to crushing under gradually applied crushing load.



Fig. 3

TABLE ITest results of aggregateIS CODE 2386- PART 4 (1963)			
Tests conducted	The value ranges as per CPWD for road	Results	
Impact test	Less than 10%	8.2 %	
IS CODE 2386-	exceptionally	(12mm)	
PART 4 (1963)	strong and 10% to	7.28 %	
pg No:13	30% satisfactory	(20 mm)	
Abrasion test	Maximum 40% for	16.24%	
IS CODE 2386-	base course and	(20 mm)	
PART 4 (1963)	max 30% for	15.08%	
pg No:19	bituminous layer	(40mm)	
Crushing test	Less than 10%	18.4%	
IS CODE 2386-	strong and	(12mm)	
PART 4	Greater than 35%	16.4%	
(1963)pg No:7	weak	(20mm)	
		15.3%	
		(40mm)	

#### V. TEST ON BITUMEN WITHOUT USING ADMIXTURES

#### A. Specific gravity test

The ratio of the mass of a given volume of the substance to the mass of an equal volume of water, the temperature of both is being specified.



#### Fig. 4

#### B. Softening point test

The temperature at which the substance attains a particular degree of softening under the specified condition of the test.



Fig. 5

#### **B.** Penetration test

The penetration of a bituminous material is the distance in tenths of a millimeter that a standard needle will penetrate vertically into a sample of the material under standard conditions of temperature, load, and time.



#### C. Viscosity test

The property of a fluid by which it resists flow due to internal friction, and one of the methods by which it is measured, is by determining the time taken by 50 cc of the material to flow from a cup through a specified orifice under standard conditions of test and at a specified temperature.



Fig. 7

#### D. Ductility test

The ductility of a bituminous material is measured by the distance in centimeters to which it will elongate before breaking when a briquette specimen is pulled apart at a specified speed and at a specified temperature.



Fig. 8

 TABLE II

 Test results of bitumen without using admixtures

Tests conducted	The value ranges as per CPWD for road	Results
SPECIFIC GRAVITY IS: 1202-1978 (page No:19)	0.97 - 1.02	0.98
SOFTENING POINT IS : 1205 – 1978(page No:37)	35 - 75 <sup>0</sup> C	55 <sup>0</sup> C
PENETRATION IS : 1203 – 1978(page No:27)	20 - 225mm (consistency)	70mm
VISCOSITY IS : 1206 (Part I)- 1978(page No: 39)	10 – 140 seconds	84 seconds
DUCTILITY IS : 1208- 1978 (page No:71)	Min 75 centimetres	79.6cm

#### VI. TEST ON BITUMEN WITH ADMIXTURES SUCH AS HYDROPHOBIC SILICA SAND AND ZEOLITE

 TABLE III

 Test results of bitumen with using admixtures

Tests conducted	The value ranges as per CPWD for road	Results
SPECIFIC GRAVITY IS: 1202-1978 (page No:19)	0.97 - 1.02	0.998
SOFTENING POINT IS : 1205 – 1978(page No:37)	35 - 75 <sup>0</sup> C	57 <sup>0</sup> C
PENETRATION IS : 1203 – 1978(page No:27)	20 - 225mm (consistency)	64mm
DUCTILITY IS : 1208- 1978 (page No:71)	Min 75 centimetres	83.2 cm
VISCOSITY IS : 1206 (Part I)- 1978(page No: 39)	10 – 140 seconds	87 seconds

#### VII. FIELD DENSITY OF SOIL BY CORE CUTTER METHOD

Volume of core cutter (Vc)	$= 1021 \text{ cm}^3$			
Empty weight of mould (Wc)	= 976 gm			
{Weight of core cutter +				
Wet soil (Ws)}	= 2460 gm			
Weight of wet soil (Ws-Wc)	= 1484 gm			
Water content (W %)	-			
Initial weight	= 18gm			
After oven drying weight $= 16.9$ gm				
Weight of water $= 1.1 \text{ gm}$				
= weight of water x 100				
Oven dried soil				

 $= 1.1/16.9 \ge 100$ The water content of soil = 6.5%





## Bulk density of soil, $\gamma_b$

Bulk density of soil,  $\gamma$ **b** = Ws - Wc / Vc = 1484 / 1021 = 1.45 g/cm<sup>3</sup>

# Dry density of soil, $\gamma$ d

Dry density of soil,  $\gamma d = \gamma b / (1+w)$ = 1.45 / (1+0.065) = 1.36 g/cm<sup>3</sup> The maximum dry density of soil is 1.36 g/cm<sup>3</sup>

#### VIII. CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO TEST FOR SUBGRADE SOIL (IS: 2720 - Part 16)

#### Need and scope of CBR test

- CBR is the measure of the resistance of a material to penetration of a standard plunger under controlled density and moisture conditions.
- The test consists of causing a cylindrical plunger of 50 mm diameter to penetrate component material at 1.25 mm/minute.
- The loads for 2.5mm and 5mm are recorded. This load is expressed as a percentage of standard load value at a respective deformation level to obtain CBR value.



Fig. 10

Table IV Standard load values

Penetration (mm)	Standard load (kg)	Unit standard load (Kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )
2.5	1370	70
5	2055	105
7.5	2630	134
10	2180	162
12.5	3600	183

#### **Observations**

Weight of soil taken	= 10 kg
Area of plunger	$= 19.64 \text{ cm}^2$
Proving ring calibration factor	= 2.5
Specific gravity of soil	= 2.667
	(Granular soil)
Optimum moisture content	= 7.3 %

**Tabule V** (Dry density of soil =  $1.36 \text{ gm/cm}^3$ )

Penetration (mm)	Proving ring dial reading	Corrected load [factor - 2.5](kg)	Unsoaked CBR %
2.5	62	155	11.3
5	72	180	8.75

California bearing ratio at 2.5 mm penetration = 11.3%California bearing ratio at 5 mm penetration = 8.75%California bearing ratio of sub grade soil

= 11.3 %

# IX. CALCULATION OF PAVEMENT THICKNESS

#### Data

CBR value of sub grade soil	= 11.3 %
Design life of pavement	= 15 years
Annual growth rate	= 7.5 %
Number of cumulative vehicles	as per last count
	= 277 CVPD

Initial traffic	= 298 CVPD		
Distribution factor	= 1		
Vehicle damage factor	= 3.5		
$N = 365 [(1+r)^{n-1}] X A X D X F$			

Where, N = cumulative number of standard axles to be catered in design (MSA)

A = initial traffic (CVPD)

$$A = P X (1+r)$$

r

Where, P = number of commercial vehicles as per last count

x = Number of years between last count

D = Distribution factor

F = Vehicle damage factor

- n = design life in years
- r = Annual growth rate of commercial

vehicles

N = 
$$365 [(1+0.075)^{15-1}]$$
 X 298 X 1 X 3.5  
0.075  
=  $9.96 \text{ MSA} \simeq 10 \text{ MSA}$ 

For 11.3% CBR value and design traffic 10 MSA as per IRC 37, 2001

Total thickness of the pavement = 500mm Pavement composition =450mm (WMM), 50mm (BC)

## X. MARSHALL STABILITY TEST ON ASPHALT MIX

#### The goal of the Marshall Test

- The stability portion of the test measures the maximum load supported by the test specimen at a loading rate of 50.8 mm/minute.
- The load is applied to the specimen till failure, and the maximum load is designated as stability.
- During the loading, an attached dial gauge measures the specimen's plastic flow (deformation) due to the loading.
- The flow value is recorded in 0.25 mm (0.01 inch) increments at the same time when the maximum load is recorded.

Specification of aggregate selection (BC course			Table V	VI		
	Specification	of	aggregate	selection	(BC	course
grade II)	grade II)					

Sieve size mm (Retained)	Weight of aggregate in gm	Percentage of retained (%)
19	120	1.5
13.2	240	19.04
6.7	240	19.04
2.36	540	42.85
Zeoilte powder	30	2.38
Silica sand	30	2.38
Bitumen	60	4.76
Total weight	1260	100

#### Specimen prepared as per this procedure

- Approximately 1200gm of aggregates and filler is heated to a temperature of 175°C to190°C.
- Bitumen is heated to a temperature of 121°C to125°C with the first trial percentage of bitumen (say 3.5 or 4% by weight of the mineral aggregates).
- The heated aggregates and bitumen are thoroughly mixed at a temperature of 154°C to 160°C.
- The mix is placed in a preheated mould and compacted by a rammer with 50 blows on either side at a temperature of 138°C to 149°C.
- The weight of mixed aggregates taken for the preparation of the specimen may be suitably altered to obtain a compacted thickness of 63.5+/-3 mm.
- Vary the bitumen content in the next trial by +0:5% and repeat the above procedure.



Fig. 11



Fig. 12

Table VIIDifferent weights of specimen

Bitumen content	Weight of specimen in the air (gm)	Weight of specimen in water (gm)	Weight of specimen in SSD (gm)
3.76	1246	741	1249
4.76	1247.6	742.3	1251
5.76	1248.6	743.6	1253
Average	1247.6	742.3	1251

Specific gravity of specimen / bulk density (Gm)

= Wa / (Wa – Ww) = 1247.6 / (1247.6 -742.3) = 2.46 Flow value of 4.76% bitumen = 2.90mm Stability of 4.76% bitumen = 530 kg

#### CONCLUSION

- We conclude our project with the maximum stability of asphalt, which is 530 kg, and the minimum flow was 2.90mm.
- The steel mesh was provided after the laying of wet mix macadam to reduce crack and increase the life span of pavement.
- Pavement thickness was calculated depends upon the CBR value.
- The estimated pavement thickness = 500mm.
- The optimum bitumen content of 4.76% was obtained for maximum stability and minimum flow.
- The field density of subgrade soil found by the core cutter method was 1.36 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.
- Hydrophobic silica acts as a water repellent to drain water to the side of the pavement.

#### REFERENCES

- Asphalt mix design and construction- a selection of possible pitfalls, JJE Liebenberg, D. Rossmann, E. Fletcher (2004).
- [2] A detailed study of CBR method for flexible pavement design, Mr. Devendra Kumar Choudhary, Dr. Y. P Joshi Scholar (2014).
- [3] Study on co<sub>2</sub> absorbing concrete, Syed Eashan Adil (2017).
- [4] Bureau of Indian Standard 1202-1978 Determination of specific gravity.
- [5] Bureau of Indian Standard 1205-1978 Determination of softening point.
- [6] Bureau of Indian Standard 1203-1978 Determination of penetration.
- [7] Bureau of Indian Standard 1206 (Part 1)-1978 Determination of viscosity Industrial viscosity.

- [8] Bureau of Indian Standard 1208-1978 Determination of ductility.
- Bureau of Indian Standard 2386 –1963 (Part 4) Methods of test for aggregates for concrete (Mechanical properties).
- [10] Bureau of Indian Standard 2720- (Part16) Method of test for soil (laboratory determination of CBR).
- [11] Bureau of Indian Standard 2386-1963 (Part 3) Methods of test for aggregates for concrete (specific gravity, density, voids, Absorption, and bulking).
- [12] Indian Road Congress -37 (2012) Guidelines for design of flexible pavements.
- [13] Indian Road Congress 29,(1988) Specifications for bituminous concrete (asphaltic concrete) for road pavement
- [14] Indian Road Congress -19 part 1 (1977) Standard specification and code of practice for water-bound macadam.