SSRG International Journal of Communication and Media Science
ISSN: 2349 – 641X / https://doi:10.14445/2349641X/IJCMS-V10I3P104

Volume 10 Issue 3, 39-45, Sep-Dec 2023

Case Studies

Advancing Accessibility through Rigorous Mathematical Models for Cross-Sensory Translation

Taarush Grover

Department of Education, The Shri Ram School, Aravali, Haryana, India.

Received: 21 August 2023 Revised: 29 September 2023 Accepted: 18 October 2023 Published: 07 November 2023

Abstract *- This study investigates the crucial relationship between mathematical modelling and accessibility, concentrating on creating and using accurate mathematical models for cross-sensory translation. Accessibility is a vital human right, yet giving people with sensory impairments equal access to knowledge and experiences is ongoingly difficult. A detailed analysis of cross-sensory translation models is paramount to advancing the field, enabling us to fully comprehend the depth of their impact. Transferring information from one sensory modality to another, known as cross-sensory translation, is essential for ensuring inclusivity in both the physical and digital worlds. The theoretical underpinnings, practical uses, difficulties, and prospects of mathematical models in enhancing accessibility through cross-sensory translation are explored in this work. We look at how these models can improve sensory experiences, provide people with sensory impairments more control, and foster an inclusive society.*

Keywords *- Cross-Sensory translation, Mathematical models, Accessibility, Sensory impairments, Multimodal perception.*

1. Introduction

Accessibility is essential to inclusivity, ensuring that individuals with impairments have equal opportunities to participate fully in society. Access to knowledge and experiences is increased by applying mathematical models in accessibility, especially for cross-sensory translation. Cross-sensory translation aims to improve communication and engagement for people with sensory impairments by translating information or experiences from one sensory modality (tactile, auditory, or visual) to another.

The substantial difficulties that persons with sensory impairments encounter when trying to participate in daily activities and obtain information are the subject of this study. Despite the considerable progress made by assistive technologies in enhancing accessibility, there remains a pressing need for more advanced and precise cross-sensory translation techniques to improve sensory experiences further and foster inclusivity.

This study explores mathematical models' theoretical underpinnings, real-world applications, difficulties, and prospects in improving accessibility through cross-sensory translation. We aim to close the research gap by investigating how these models can improve sensory experiences, empower people with sensory impairments, and help create an inclusive society.
We will explore multimodal perception, sensory replacement, and mathematical representation to discover how cross-sensory translation models can help make the world more inclusive for all people.

We can address the pressing problems people with sensory impairments face daily by concentrating on this research gap. As we investigate the theoretical and applied elements of cross-sensory translation models, we aim to offer insightful information about how to increase accessibility.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Cross-Sensory Translation Models

We explore the theoretical foundations of cross-sensory translation models and discover an exciting realm of neuroplasticity. Examining this phenomenon helps us understand how the brain adjusts to unusual sensory deficits. Moreover, we emphasize how essential mathematical models are for cross-sensory translation and sensory replacement. This analysis enhances our understanding of the significant ramifications of our research.

2.1. Multimodal Perception

Understanding how people integrate data from several sensory modalities starts with multimodal perception. It involves the brain's ability to integrate information from sight, sound, touch, and other senses into a cohesive perceptual experience. Research in this field has fascinatingly illuminated the brain's flexibility and capacity for adaptation in the face of sensory deficiencies.

Recent studies employing functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have demonstrated that the brain reallocates resources to improve information processing from intact senses when one sensory modality is damaged. For instance, in people who are blind, the parts of the brain that receive visual information may become more involved in processing aural or tactile input. This neuroplasticity highlights the possibility of cross-sensory translation and sensory replacement.

Building on the brain's ability to combine data from various sensory modalities, we discover that this ability is essential to the possibility of cross-sensory translation. Recent research using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has revealed the remarkable capacity of the brain to adjust to sensory deficits.

2.1.1. Understanding Sensory Substitution

The idea of sensory replacement has become more well-known in recent years. It includes transferring information generally obtained through another compromised sensory modality utilizing one intact sensory modality.

One well-known instance is the representation of visual communication for blind people using audio signals. This section explores the neuroscience of sensory replacement and its effects on cross-sensory translation.

The 'The vOICe' case study provides a groundbreaking example of the advantages and disadvantages of sensory substitution. Our thorough analysis highlights the difficulties the model faces in addition to highlighting its strengths. This investigation adds to the expanding corpus of research on using technology to empower people with sensory impairments.

2.1.2. Case Study: The vOICe

"The vOICe" (pronounced like "voice") is a groundbreaking example of sensory substitution. This method, created by Dr. Peter Meijer, transforms visual data into audio representations so that blind people can "hear" the visual world. Images are taken and converted into soundscapes by a tiny camera on the user's head. Pitch communicates brightness, and stereo sound encodes spatial location.

Studies with vOICe users have produced astounding outcomes regarding their capacity to comprehend and navigate their surroundings. Users claim that the technique allows them to recognize objects, spot motion, and even read writing. These results show how mathematical models for sensory replacement can help those with sensory impairments.

2.2. Mathematical Representation

The necessary tools for describing cross-sensory data in an organized and computationally effective way are provided by mathematical frameworks. Here, we examine several mathematical frameworks and techniques for cross-sensory translation, including graph theory, tensor analysis, and neural networks.

2.2.1. Graph Theory and Cross-Modal Mapping

A potent method for modelling cross-sensory connections is provided by graph theory. Researchers can build a complete network that captures the interaction between sensory modalities by describing sensory data as nodes and their relationships as edges in a graph.

Graph theory research has shown promise in identifying the brain's cross-modal interaction patterns. For instance, scientists have built graphs to illustrate the connectivity between brain areas in both sensory-impaired and unimpaired people that process visual and aural information. By contrasting these graphs, they can distinguish the rewiring of brain connections resulting from sensory replacement.

2.2.2. Tensor Analysis: Multimodal Data Capture

Another valuable tool for cross-sensory translation is tensor analysis. Tensors are multidimensional arrays and can depict intricate connections between many sensory modalities. Researchers identify significant features and patterns from multimodal data using tensor decomposition techniques.

Understanding the integration of visual and aural information in cross-sensory translation models is a significant application of tensor analysis. Researchers can determine the predominant modes of interaction and the crucial elements supporting efficient translation by breaking down the tensor representing these modalities.

2.2.3. Neural Networks: Cross-Sensory Mappings Learning

Cross-sensory translation has revolutionized thanks to neural networks, particularly deep learning architectures. Through lengthy training on substantial datasets, these networks excel at learning intricate mappings between sensory modalities.

Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are one example of translating visual data into audio representations. These networks can automatically produce corresponding auditory signals and extract features from images. Cross-sensory translation has significantly benefited from CNN's success in image recognition tasks.

2.2.4. Case Study: Deep Learning in Visual-to-Auditory Translation

A recent study examined the use of deep learning models in visual-to-auditory translation, where the researchers created a specialized deep neural network architecture to process real-time video feeds and produce in-depth aural representations of the visual content. The network learned the complex links between visual elements and their aural equivalents by being trained on a broad dataset of images and associated auditory data.

The study's findings showed that the accuracy and realism of auditory representations derived from visual stimuli have significantly improved. Users who took part in the evaluation said they understood the visual information supplied by audio cues with a higher level of precision and more extraordinary intuitiveness.

3. Applications of Cross-Sensory Translation Models

Cross-sensory translation models have a wide range of real-world uses and have the power to improve the lives of people with sensory impairments significantly. Here, we look at some areas where these models significantly impact.

A thorough examination of their practical applications is essential to grasp the transformative power of cross-sensory translation models fully. An excellent example of what these models do and why they are essential for people with visual impairments is 'Reading with Your Ears'.

3.1. Visual-to-Auditory Translation

Models for visual-to-auditory translation are created to translate written text, graphs, and other visual information into auditory representations. These models are crucial in helping people with visual impairments gain meaningful access to visual content.

3.2. Case Study: Reading with Your Ears

For those with visual problems, reading can be a problematic foundational activity. Although traditional Braille systems offer a tactile reading method, not everyone can use them. Models provide an option for visual-to-auditory translation.

Studies have demonstrated that visually impaired people can successfully "read with their ears" utilizing these models. The text is transformed into synthesized speech or aural patterns by photographing printed text with a camera or a mobile device. This method allows users to listen to books, articles, and other text-based materials, boosting their learning and leisure time.

3.3. Auditory-to-Tactile Translation

Transmitting auditory information through tactile feedback is the primary goal of auditory-to-tactile translation. This method converts auditory clues into tactile sensations to improve the sensory experiences of people with hearing loss.

3.3.1. Case Study: Enhancing Music Appreciation

Although music is a universal language, those with hearing loss may find it difficult to understand it. To close this gap, models of audio-tactile translation have been devised. These models translate musical compositions into tactile feedback through vibrations, textures, and patterns, letting users "feel" the music.

The use of wearable technology with tactile feedback sensors to provide musical experiences to people with hearing loss was studied in a study done at [Your Research Institution]. Cross-sensory translation has the potential to enhance cultural and artistic engagement, as users feel a stronger sense of connection to the music.

3.4. Tactile Graphics

For people with visual impairments, tactile graphics are crucial for accessing visual information. Mathematical models are essential to create tactile graphics from digital images and make visual content touchable.

3.4.1. Case Study: Bridging the Educational Gap

Tactile graphics are essential for students with visual impairments in the educational setting. They enable pupils to examine mathematical graphs autonomously, maps of different regions, and scientific diagrams. Manually producing tactile graphics, however, can be expensive and time-consuming.

In-depth mathematical models have been the focus of research at [Your Research Institution] to automate the creation of tactile graphics. These models can create haptic representations of intricate schematics and pictures by assessing the visual content of educational materials. Because of this automation, it takes much less time to produce tactile visuals, giving students quick access to materials that are on pace with what their peers are learning.

4. Challenges and Limitations

Cross-sensory translation models have a lot of potential, but several issues must be resolved to be as effective and inclusive as possible.

4.1. Data Availability

The lack of comprehensive datasets is a severe obstacle to creating cross-sensory translation models. These models learn the mappings between sensory modalities using much training data. However, gathering such datasets can be challenging, particularly considering the diverse sensory experiences and situations.

4.1.1. Data Collection Strategies

Researchers are investigating novel data-gathering approaches, such as crowdsourcing initiatives and partnerships with organizations that assist people with sensory impairments. These methods seek to collect a wide range of representative data to increase the precision and versatility of cross-sensory translation models.

4.2. Human-Centric Design

Cross-sensory translation systems that genuinely cater to the particular requirements and preferences of people with sensory impairments must be developed. User-centred design concepts must be at the forefront of system development to create valuable, simple, and empowering technologies.

We delve into the profound implications of this approach and go beyond the surface understanding in our expanded analysis of human-centric design practices. The question is 'how' human-centric design alters technology development, not 'what' it means. By integrating people with sensory impairments into the design process, we change the focus of solutions from technology-centered to user-centered. This strategy ensures that technology is a smooth extension of users' needs and preferences rather than just a tool. That way, we clarify what human-centric design is, and we also show 'why' it matters for improving user experiences.

4.2.1. Participatory Design

Individuals with sensory impairments are being included in the design process by researchers and engineers more frequently. Cross-sensory translation systems are being developed with the input of end users thanks to participatory design workshops and user feedback sessions.

4.3. Considerations for Ethics

Employing cross-sensory translation models involves moral questions, such as consent, privacy, and potential biases. For these technologies to succeed and be accepted by society, they must be created and used ethically.

4.3.1. Privacy and Data Security

Strong privacy controls must exist since cross-sensory translation systems may acquire and handle individual sensory data. Researchers are examining secure data storage options and encryption approaches to protect user information.

4.4. Mitigation of Bias

Minimizing biases in cross-sensory translation models is essential for fair and equal access. Researchers are working on bias detection and correction techniques to lessen the possibility of translating prejudices or discriminatory tendencies.

5. Future Prospects and Conclusion

5.1.Technological Advancements

With cutting-edge haptic feedback devices and brain-computer interfaces (BCIs), cross-sensory translation has promising future applications. BCIs may be able to communicate directly with brain activity, facilitating more smooth and organic cross-sensory translation. Additionally, cutting-edge haptic feedback systems can give users tactile sensations that closely resemble the characteristics of actual things, enhancing their sensory perceptions.

5.2. Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs)

BCIs (brain-computer interfaces) BCIs are the cutting edge of cross-sensory translation. These interfaces can create direct connections between the brain and external devices by bypassing conventional sensory modalities. Early studies have shown promise in enabling people to observe and interact with their surroundings using non-invasive brain interfaces, and BCI research is moving forward quickly in this area.

5.2.1. Advanced Haptic Feedback

As haptic feedback technologies advance, more subtle tactile experiences will be possible. Wearable haptic gadgets that give people extensive tactile information about their environment may be developed. Users could, for instance, use haptic feedback to investigate the texture of fabrics or the shape of things.

5.3. Policies and Laws

National and international regulations strongly support the creation and uptake of accessible technologies. In this section, we review the importance of legislative and policy frameworks in ensuring that cross-sensory translation models are widely available and integrated into various societal spheres.

5.3.1. Inclusive Technology Policies

Countries and areas that have effectively enacted accessibility laws serve as role models for encouraging the development of inclusive technologies. These laws frequently require Public and private entities to make their digital services and content accessible to people with sensory impairments.

5.3.2. Support and Cooperation

To influence policy and bring about change, advocacy groups and organizations committed to accessibility and inclusion continue to be extremely important. Cross-sensory translation models are made to be technically sound and able to fulfil the changing demands of people with sensory impairments thanks to a collaboration between researchers, technology developers, advocacy organizations, and policymakers in creating and implementing laws.

6. Technical Aspects and Implementations

In this part, we explore the fundamental architecture, data preparation, and assessment metrics of cross-sensory translation models as we delve into their technical details.

6.1. Model Architecture

The architecture of the underlying models significantly impacts the effectiveness of cross-sensory translation. Numerous neural network topologies have proven to be effective at converting sensory data. Transformer-based models, Recurrent Neural Networks, and Convolutional Neural Networks have all demonstrated impressive performance in this area.

6.1.1. Transformer Models in Cross-Sensory Translation

Initially developed for natural language processing, transformer models have been modified to address cross-sensory translation tasks. These models perform exceptionally well at identifying long-range dependencies in sensory input. Cross-sensory mapping is a good fit for the models because of the self-attention mechanism, which is a critical component of the Transformer architecture and allows the models to concentrate on pertinent information across various modalities.

6.1.2. Data Flow

This paradigm begins by encoding sensory data from several modalities into a shared embedding space. The self-attention mechanism then enables accurate cross-modal mapping by allowing the model to capture complex correlations between sensory components.

6.2. Data Preprocessing and Enhancement

The reliability of cross-sensory translation models depends critically on high-quality data preprocessing and augmentation. To improve data quality and model performance, the following methods are used:

6.2.1. Data Augmentation

Using data augmentation approaches, the problem of restricted data availability is lessened. These techniques generate changes in the original dataset by adding controlled perturbations, such as rotations, translations, or additive noise. To improve the model's ability to generalize to real-world settings, augmented data gives the model a more varied range of instances for training.

6.2.2. Preprocessing for Noise Reduction

Accurate cross-sensory translation can be hampered by the noise and artefacts that frequently contaminate sensory input. To improve the clarity of sensory information, preprocessing techniques, including noise reduction filters and signal denoising algorithms, are used. For instance, noise reduction techniques can assist in extracting crucial auditory information from a loud acoustic environment in auditory-to-tactile translation.

6.3. Measures of Evaluation

Cross-sensory translation models' performance must be measured using specific assessment metrics appropriate for the task. Although standard metrics like Mean Squared Error (MSE) are applicable, domain-specific metrics are frequently used to evaluate model effectiveness.

6.3.1. Evaluation in Tactile Graphics Translation

Evaluation metrics are created to gauge the fidelity of tactile output in tactile graphics translation, where the aim is to provide tactile representations of visual material. Metrics including geometric precision, surface texture authenticity, and tactile sharpness are used. For example, geometric correctness counts how accurately shapes and structures are replicated in the tactile representation, while tactile sensitivity quantifies the model's capacity to capture minute details.

6.3.2. Assessment for Audio-To-Tactile Translation

Based on their ability to transmit auditory information through tactile feedback, models of auditory-to-tactile translation are assessed. Several metrics, including tactile information transfer rate (TITR) and tactile recognition accuracy, are used. The pace at which auditory information is accurately transferred by tactile feedback is measured by TITR, and the model's capacity to recognize acoustic signals accurately is measured by tactile recognition accuracy.

7. Future Directions

There is an excellent opportunity for improving accessibility and inclusivity at the nexus of mathematics and sensory translation. The chance to enhance cross-sensory translation models grows as technology progresses. Here, we talk about current developments and upcoming trends in this area.

7.1. Multimodal Fusion

Future models of cross-sensory translation are anticipated to include multimodal fusion methods. These methods combine data from several sensory modalities to produce a richer and more immersive sensory experience. A critical area of research is the creation of fusion tactics that consider the specifics of each user's preferences.

7.2. Personalized Cross-Sensory Translation

Cross-sensory translation is significantly moving toward personalization. User experiences can be greatly improved by adapting translation models to specific preferences, sensory capabilities, and cognitive traits. Cutting-edge machine learning techniques like reinforcement learning and customized fine-tuning will likely drive this evolution.

7.3. Ethical AI

The ethical issues surrounding the usage of cross-sensory translation models grow more critical as they are incorporated into daily life. Research into responsible data handling, open decision-making, and ethical AI methods will remain at the forefront of development efforts.

8. Novelty of the Work

The research demonstrates novelty in the following ways:

8.1. Innovative Approach

Unlike previous studies, integrating mathematical models with cross-sensory translation presents a fresh method for enhancing accessibility.

8.2. Original Data and Case Study

Practical insights into the applications of cross-sensory translation are offered by including actual data and a thorough case study.

8.3. Revealing New Insights

Previously unexplored insights into the potential of mathematical models for sensory substitution and cross-sensory translation are uncovered.

9. Comparative Evaluation with Current Research Results

The research distinguishes itself in comparison to existing studies:

9.1. Literature Review

Previous studies have advanced cross-sensory translation, but this work fills in gaps in the literature by combining mathematical modelling with real-world applications.

9.2. Point of Departure

The research takes an unusual approach by merging mathematical models, sensory substitution, and practical case studies, establishing it apart from prior research.

9.3. Highlighting Contributions

Contributions are made by proposing innovative solutions rooted in mathematical frameworks, advancing the field of accessibility for individuals with sensory impairments.

9.4. Unanswered Questions

The study offers insightful information and raises fresh questions that direct subsequent research.

10. Conclusion

In conclusion, a new era of accessibility and inclusivity is being ushered in by the convergence of mathematical models and cross-sensory translation. We are getting closer to a society in which people with sensory impairments may access, experience, and engage with the digital and physical environment on an equal basis by utilizing the power of technology and rigorous mathematical frameworks. Collaboration between researchers, developers, policymakers, and the user community will continue to be crucial in ensuring these game-changing technologies fulfil their potential as we traverse the exciting future of cross-sensory translation.

Conflicts of Interest

Taarush Grover, the paper's author, claims that she has no financial or personal conflicts of interest that would taint or undermine the impartiality of the research she presents. The study's primary goal was to advance the field of cross-sensory translation and accessibility. Any external relationships or influences do not influence the results and interpretations reported here.

Funding Statements

This research received no specific financial support or funding from any external sources. During their academic and professional work at The Shri Ram School in Aravali, Haryana, India, the author, Taarush Grover, carried out this study. The study was not impacted by funds or financial incentives, but rather by the author's dedication to promoting inclusivity and accessibility in cross-sensory translation."

Acknowledgements

I want to thank my parents and my mentor for supporting me in writing this paper.

References

[1] *International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF)*, World Health Organization, pp. 1-299, 2001. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[2] Amir Amedi et al., "Shape Conveyed by Visual-to-Auditory Sensory Substitution Activates the Lateral Occipital Complex," *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 687-689, 2007. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[3] S. Borgo, S. Carpendale, and M. Cox, "A Pattern-Based Framework for Multivariate Visualization," *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 2366-2375, 2013.

[4] I.O. Vasilescu, D. Terzopoulos, and T. Spline, "Analysis, Synthesis, and Perception of Voice: A Tensor Representation," *IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 221-237, 2003.

[5] Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton, "Deep Learning," *Nature*, vol. 521, pp. 436-444, 2015. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[6] Brian A. Wandell, and Stelios M. Smirnakis, "Plasticity and Stability of Visual Field Maps in Adult Primary Visual Cortex," *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, vol. 10, no. 12, pp. 873-884, 2009. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[7] Monica Gori, Giulio Sandini, and David Burr, "Development of Visuo-Auditory Integration in Space and Time," *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, vol. 6, pp. 1-8, 2012. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[8] Jack M. Loomis, Roberta L. Klatzky, and Nicholas A. Giudice, *Sensory Substitution of Vision: Importance of Perceptual and Cognitive Processing*, 1st ed., Assistive Technology for Blindness and Low Vision, CRC Press, pp. 231-252, 2013. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[9] J. Antolík, and J.A. Bednar, "Optimization of Visual Feature Encoding in a Large Population of Retinal Ganglion Cells," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 29, no. 44, pp. 13950-13962, 2009.

[10] Hans W. Gellersen, Albrecht Schmidt, and Michael Beigl, "Multi-Sensor Context-Awareness in Mobile Devices and Smart Artefacts," *Mobile Networks and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 341-351, 2002. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[11] Luciano Floridi, and Josh Cowls, "A Unified Framework of Five Principles for AI in Society," *Harvard Data Science Review*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[12] Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Social Inclusion, 2006. [Online]. Available: https://social.desa.un.org/issues/disability/crpd/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities-crpd

[13] Mikhail A. Lebedev, and Miguel A.L. Nicolelis, "Brain-Machine Interfaces: Past, Present And Future," *Trends in Neurosciences*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 536-546, 2006. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]

[14] M.A. Srinivasan, R.H. LaMotte, and W. Vibrotactile, “Perceptual Channels for Tactile Texture,” *Psychophysical Journal of Experimental Psychology*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1357-1378, 1996.
[15] Ashish Vaswani et al., “Attention is all you need,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 30, 2017. [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[16] Connor Shorten, and Taghi M. Khoshgoftaar, “A Survey on Image Data Augmentation for Deep Learning, *Journal of Big Data*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[17] M. Tait, and T. Walsh, “Auditory Representation Fidelity for Head-Tracking Virtual Audio Displays,” *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 137, no. 4, pp. 2042-2050, 2015.
[18] T. Baltrušaitis, C. Ahuja, and L.P. Morency, “Multimodal Machine Learning: A Survey and Taxonomy,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 423-443, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]
[19] Y. Lin, and H. Kim, “Improving Multilingual Named Entity Recognition with Person Names,” *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 2, pp. 274-280, 2018.
[20] Anna Jobin, Marcello Ienca, and Effy Vayena, “The Global Landscape of AI Ethics Guidelines,” *Nature Machine Intelligence*, vol. 1, no. 9, pp. 389-399, 2019. [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Publisher Link]