Enhanced the Performance of Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Power Systems Based on ANFIS-MPPT P&O Using A Boost Converter

Nguyen Van Bao, Chu Thi Thuy, Vo Quang Vinh, Vo Thanh Ha

1Department of Control and Automation, University of Economics-Technology for Industries, Hanoi, Vietnam.
2Vietnam Russia Vocational Training College No. 1, Vinh Phuc, Vietnam.
3Department of Control Engineering, University of Electricity, Hanoi, Vietnam.
4Department of Cybernetics, University of Transport and Communications, Hanoi, Vietnam.

Abstract - This paper introduces the MPPT control method to help PV solar cells reach the maximum capacity thanks to the adaptive ANFIS P&O MPPT algorithm. The power from the PV source through the traditional PI-controlled boost converter has a working point that always follows the MPPT point. Thanks to the ANFIS P&O MPPT algorithm that allows adaptive correction of the perturbation step, the power can quickly reach a new maximum value when the ambient temperature and illuminance fluctuate. The new algorithm also eliminates oscillation around the MPPT’s entire operating point and improves the output voltage quality of the boost converter. The advantage of the adaptive ANFIS P&O MPPT algorithm is confirmed by simulation on MATLAB with a 3.5kW, 100V of the PV system. According to simulation findings, the suggested ANFIS-based MPPT can consistently and efficiently monitor the maximum power point of PV modules under various weather circumstances.
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1. Introduction

Renewable energy sources, including solar and wind power, are becoming increasingly significant in daily life and business [1-2]. In particular, solar energy has been playing a role in each nation’s grid electricity. For PV solar energy sources, Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) algorithms are becoming increasingly comprehensive and frequently used [3-5]. The Perturbation and Observation (P&O) method is a famous and efficient conventional MPPT algorithm [6]. To find MPP maximum power working points in the presence of changeable ambient temperature and illumination [7–9], a grid-connected PV solar energy source [10], and a grid-connected PV source with variable load and voltage [11], several articles continue to propose innovative MPPT methods.

In addition to being increasingly efficient and diverse, the proposed MPPT algorithms also include the well-known P&O and INC algorithms [12], back-propagation adaptive MPPT algorithms [13], extreme detection MPPT algorithms (extremum seeking) [14], the geometric MPPT algorithm that combines sliding mode control [15], the neural algorithm [16], the slide mode algorithm [17], and numerous other diverse MPPT algorithms.

Combining the traditional MPPT P&O and INC algorithms with sustainable adaptive control algorithms has recently shown to be a highly fruitful research area. A flexible MPPT P&O method is suggested by Femia et al. [18]. An enhanced MPPT P&O method with a programmable perturbation step is proposed.

The author presents an enhanced MPPT INC method in [19-20], including an adaptive variable perturbation stage. In addition, the fuzzy model and neural model-based intelligent MPPT algorithms successfully preserve PV solar power while operating the MPPT optimally in a changing environment [21–23].

From the above achievements, the paper proposes a new adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system that integrates fuzzy logic with ANN functionalities. Based on the interrelatedness of the variables, an ANN may train the Surgeon fuzzy controller to generate the exact membership functions for the variables [24, 25].

A comprehensive rule foundation may also be created by deriving the weights of the nodes. The PV array voltage, current, solar irradiance, and surrounding temperature may be
utilized as the model’s input. In addition, this paper will present the boost converter model by the switching network averaging method. Improve output voltage quality with PI controller-based current controller design.

The article structure includes part 1 of the general introduction. Part 2 introduces the PV solar power source model. Section 3 presents the new adaptive ANFIS-MPPT P&O algorithm. Section 4 gives modelling and control of the Boost converter current. Part 5 presents and analyses the simulation and experimental results of the new ANFIS-MPPT P&O algorithm adapted to MATLAB / Simulink with a 50-W PV system. Finally, the conclusions are presented in section 6.

2. Model of Solar Energy Source PV

The nonlinear equation of V-I characteristics of PV solar energy source, including Ns cells connected in series and Np cells connected in parallel, has the following form:

\[ V_g = -I_g R_s \left( \frac{N_s}{N_p} \right) + \left( \frac{N_s}{A} \right) \ln \left( 1 + N_s I_{ph} - \frac{N_s}{N_p} I_0 \right) \]  (1)

Where:
- A = q/AKT
- q – electric charge
- K – constant Boltzmann
- T – absolute temperature

The equivalent circuit of the PV solar cell is shown in Figure 1.

The V-I characteristic depends on the illuminance percentage Kins expressed by the expression:

\[ V_{g} = -0.9 I_g + 123.69 \ln \left\{ 1.0 + 123.45 \left( 13,45 K_{ins} - I_g \right) \right\} \]  (2)

From there, a family of V-I standard curves according to the illuminance of PV solar cells is shown in Figure 2.

Fig. 1 Equivalent electricity of solar cell source PV

3. MPPT P&O Algorithm Combined with ANFIS Control

3.1. MPPT Algorithm P&O

This is the most commonly used MPPT detection algorithm based on voltage perturbation and dP/dt observations. This derivative shows whether the voltage is high or low and needs to decrease or increase until the product is zero. The principle of Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) of the P&O algorithm is shown in Figure 3. The P&Q algorithm diagram is shown in Figure 4.

Fig. 2 Standard characteristic curve family V-I according to parameters

Fig. 3 Principle of Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) of P&O algorithm

A summary of the operating principle of the P&O algorithm is given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Noise dV</th>
<th>Change in Power dP</th>
<th>Next Noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>dV&gt;0</td>
<td>dP&gt;0</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>dV&gt;0</td>
<td>dP&lt;0</td>
<td>Minus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>dV&lt;0</td>
<td>dP&gt;0</td>
<td>Minus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dV&lt;0</td>
<td>dP&lt;0</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4 shows the output power of PV as a function of current.

The 4th case of Table 1 shows that the output power is reduced by reducing the operating voltage, so the next noise step must be positive, which means the voltage needs to be increased. The detailed control diagram of the P&O algorithm is shown in Figure 5. Figure 5 shows that at the beginning of the cycle of the P&O method, two sensors are used to measure the output current and voltage of the PV photovoltaic source. From there, calculate instantaneous power $P_n=U_nI_n$. Then, compare the immediate power value $P_n$ with the previous value $P_{n-1}$. If the power $P_n= P_{n-1}$, update the matter immediately and terminate the process. The algorithm increases voltage if the power and voltage difference between two consecutive cycles is positive. Otherwise, it decreases voltage. Conversely, if the voltage and power difference between two successive cycles is negative, the algorithm improves the voltage or decreases the operating voltage. The process is repeated until the maximum power is obtained.

### 3.2. The Proposed ANFIS Algorithm

The architecture of the ANFIS with two inputs $(x, y)$ and one output $(z)$ is given in Figure 6.
4. Boost Converters

4.1. Modelling the Boost Variable with the Closed Network Average Method

The method of averaging the switching network is of interest today because the obtained model is close to the physical model, which can describe the loss-causing elements such as resistance when conducting current through the valve, voltage drop on valves, and some parasitic electrical circuits.

The purpose of the method is to replace the part of the circuit with the switching element with a two-door network. Then, the nonlinear switching network is replaced with a linear network through averaging.

In the diagram Boost converter Figure 7, the switching network includes valve MOSFET and diode. Because the input current to port 1 \(i(t)\) is the current through the inductor, it can be considered an independent variable; the output voltage on the capacitor \(v(t)\) is the voltage on the load that changes only when the load changes, so also consider is the independent variable. Therefore, voltage \(v(t)\) and \(i(t)\) are considered as dependent variables, as shown in Figure 7.

![Diagram of Boost Converter](image)

**Fig. 7 The switching circuit in the diagram boosts the converter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logical Operations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Their waveforms are shown in Figure 8 according to the operation analysis of the Boost converter diagram. Average voltage \(v(t)\) and current \(i(t)\) over a period \(T\), assuming \(v(t)\) and \(i(t)\) pulses are negligible or vary almost linearly:

\[
(V_1(t))_r = (1 - d(t))(V_2(t))_r = d(t)(V_2(t))_r
\]
First term $D' (V_1 + \bar{v}_g (t))$ shows the dependence on the output voltage $(V_1 + \bar{v}_g (t))$ in proportion $D'$, described by the dependent voltage source. Rank $V_2 \bar{d} (t)$ is the source controlled by the modulation factor $\bar{d} (t)$ and should become an independent voltage source connected in series in the circuit. The dependent current is shown:

$$
\langle i_2 (t) \rangle = d'(t) (i_1 (t)) = (D' - \bar{d} (t)) \left( I_1 + I_1' (t) \right)
\approx D' (I_1 + I_1' (t)) - I_1 \bar{d} (t)
$$

(12)

Rank $D' (I_1 + I_1' (t))$ express dependence on $(I_1 + I_1' (t))$ in proportion $D'$ should be described by the dependent current source. Rank $I_1 \bar{d} (t)$ is the current source controlled by the factor $\bar{d} (t)$ and becomes an independent current source in parallel with the circuit. From there, the average model of the switching network for the boost circuit. From the above analysis, the average model for the Boost converter is shown:

![Average model for Boost circuit](image1)

![Small signal average model for Boost circuit when $\bar{v}_g = 0$](image2)

Considering the small signal model, as shown in Figure 12, it is necessary to determine the important transfer functions for the design of the current controller:

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{vD} &= \frac{e_g}{d} \bar{v}_g = 0 \\
G_{id} &= \frac{e_i}{d} \bar{v}_g = 0
\end{align*}
$$

(13)

To find these transfer functions, we remove the influence of the source $\bar{v}_g = 0$ in the model Figure 11 and 12 to obtain a simple model:

![Fig. 9 Voltage form V1(t) on MOSFET and current form i1(t) through diode](image3)

![Fig. 10 Average model](image4)

$(i_1 (t))_{T_s} = (1 - d (t)) (i_1 (t))_{T_s} = d' (t) (i_2 (t))_{T_s}$

(9)

Proceed to linearize the model in Figure 10 by introducing small fluctuations:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
V_g' (t) = V_g + \bar{V}_g (t) \\
n_L (t) = l_L + \bar{l}_L (t) \\
v_1 (t) = V_1 + V_1' (t) \\
i_2 (t) = I_2 + I_2' (t) \\
v_2 (t) = V_2 + V_2' (t) \\
d = D' + \bar{d} (t)
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(10)

The dependent voltage source at input port 1 becomes:

$$
\langle V_1 (t) \rangle = d' (t) (V_2 (t)) = (D' - \bar{d} (t)) \left( V_2 + \bar{V}_2 (t) \right)
\approx D' \left( V_2 + \bar{V}_2 (t) \right) - V_2 \bar{d} (t)
$$

(11)
Next, convert to the transformer’s primary, Laplace the circuit, and then, based on the circuit calculation, derive the desired transfer function $G_{\text{rel}}$ and $G_{\text{ld}}$.

\[ G_{\text{rel}}(s) = \frac{\tilde{V}_0(s)}{d(s)} = \frac{V_0}{D^2} \frac{1 - R_L + sL}{s^2 + sR_{\text{esr}}C} \]

The current balance in the circuit shown in Figure 13 is obtained:

\[ \tilde{i}_L(s) = \frac{1}{D} \left[ I_L \tilde{d}(s) + \frac{s(R + R_{\text{esr}})C + 1}{(1 + sR_{\text{esr}})R} \tilde{v}_0(s) \right] \]

Combined with $\tilde{v}_0t$ from the transfer function, the transfer function of the current object can be deduced:

\[ G_{\text{id}}(s) = \frac{\tilde{i}_L(s)}{\tilde{d}(s)} = \frac{V_0}{RD^2} \frac{s(R + 2R_{\text{esr}})C + 2}{s^2 + sR_{\text{esr}}C + \frac{R_L(R + R_{\text{esr}})C + L}{RD^2} + \frac{R_{\text{esr}}}{RD^2} + 1} \]

\[ G_{\text{id}}(s) = \frac{0.08347s + 48}{1.73910^{-8}s^2 + 7.65810^{-5}s + 4.07} \]

\[ G_{\text{id}}(s) = \frac{0.08347s + 48}{1.73910^{-8}s^2 + 7.65810^{-5}s + 4.07} \]

4.2. Design of Current Controller

The diagram of the control current.

![Diagram of the control current](image)

The current control loop has fast dynamic characteristics, and the voltage loop has lower dynamic features. As a result, the inductor current can vary very quickly compared to the output voltage. According to Equation (16), the transfer function of the current control loop:

\[ G_{\text{id}}(s) = \]

5. Results and Discussion

The MATLAB simulations of a structure fuzzy logic-based MPPT controller and a Boost converter are built, as shown in Figure 15.

![Diagram of the control current](image)
The surface view of the FL model is expressed in Figure 16. The fuzzy rules for two inputs and output are shown in Figure 17.

Power rating input from the user = 2.00kW
Minimum number of panels required per string = 8
Maximum number of panels connected per string without reaching maximum voltage = 10
The minimum power rating of the solar PV plant = 1.80 kW
Maximum power possible per string without reaching maximum DC voltage = 2.25 kW
Actual number of panels per string = 9
Number of strings connected in parallel = 1
Actual solar PV plant power = 2.03 kW

Selected parameters of the boost converter are shown in Table 2. Three phases' currents are shown in Figure 18. Irradiance is 1000 W/m², and the temperature kept constant at 25°C is shown in Figure 19. The input voltage, current, and power for the PV system are shown in Figure 20. The output voltage, current, and power for the PV system are shown in Figure 21.

Table 2. Selected parameters of the boost converter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input Voltage</td>
<td>98V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input current</td>
<td>140A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty ratio</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductor</td>
<td>4mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load resistance</td>
<td>12 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output capacitor</td>
<td>89µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input capacitor</td>
<td>4500 µF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>20kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the modeling above findings, the suggested MPPT controller is modeled at STC (1000 W/m² and 25 °C) (Figures 18, 19, 20, and 21). After a delay of 10 ms, the PV power output climbs abruptly from zero to about the ANFIS-MPPT P&O (500 W). Since the MPPT controller constantly forces the PV module to function at the ANFIS-MPPT P&O, the power output for the system with the ANFIS - MPPT P&O controller settles at MPP. Without the controller, the PV power input for the system decreases and stabilizes at around 3.5kW.

The input voltage to the PV system is around 350V, and the input current is about 140A. The boost converter’s PV power production starts to decline at around 500 W. This is because the internal resistance of the module does not match the load resistance. The PV input current and sinusoidal three-phase current are about 600A, and the input voltage is around 100V. This demonstrates how effectively and effectively the ANFIS-MPPT P&O controller operates.
6. Conclusion

This study gave the suggested ANFIS-based MPPT controller’s design, modeling, and assessment. The real-time MPP of the solar module was closely monitored to understand the maximum power output that a PV module is capable of producing under a particular set of solar irradiation and
temperature. The components and subsystems of the proposed MPPT controller were modeled and simulated in the MATLAB/SIMULINK environment. According to simulation findings, the suggested ANFIS-based MPPT can consistently and efficiently monitor the maximum power point of PV modules under various weather circumstances. The boost converter’s control signal was created using the suggested ANFIS power controller, producing good MPPT results. The approach’s effectiveness will be shown by applying the research results to real devices in the future and contrasting them with alternative control methods.
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