Consumer Dissonance and its Influence on Consumer Service Quality in Quick Service Restaurants in Chennai City

R.Ravimohan ^{#1}, Dr.R.Nandagopal ^{*2}, Dr P.S.Manjula ^{#3} [#]Ph.D Research Scholar at Bharathiar University, Coimbatore , Director, PSG Institute of Management, Coimbatore., Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, University of Madras, Chennai, India

Abstract: Identifying Consumer Dissonance in Quick Service Restaurants (QSRs) and ensuring that their consumers do not get dissatisfied and become consumers of their competitors is the need of the hour for all QSRs. Hence this study attempts to identify the factors that cause Consumer Dissonance (CD) in QSRs and what are the factors that have a relationship with CD. In this case it is Consumer Loyalty (CL).

This Research paper is based on a study conducted on the basis of the responses given to the structured questionnaire administered to the respondents of the four QSRs viz., McDonalds, Kentucky Fried Chicken (KFC), Pizza Hut, and Subway in Chennai City by the researchers. The two important factors which affect customers in QSRs in Chennai City as identified by the researchers are CD and CL. Another aspect which has been studied by the researchers is the kind of relationship that exists between CD and CL in the abovementioned QSRs in Chennai City.

Keywords— Dissonance, Cognitive Dissonance, Consumer Dissonance, and Consumer Loyalty.

I. Introduction

A) Dissonance

Dissonance defined lack of is agreement; especially: inconsistency between beliefs one holds or between one's actions and one's beliefs. It is also defined as an instance of such inconsistency or disagreement.1

B) Cognitive dissonance

It is a state of psychological tension arising from incompatibility among a person's attitudes, behaviour, beliefs, and/or knowledge, or when a choice has to be made between equally attractive or repulsive alternatives. One example is 'buyer's remorse,' a feeling of guilt associated with doubts about the advisability of a purchase decision that one experiences after making an expensive purchase. Marketers try to manage these doubts with supportive information such as testimonials, moneyback guaranties, and after-sales service.²

For example, when people smoke (behaviour) and they know that smoking causes cancer (cognition). Festinger's cognitive dissonance theory suggests that we have an inner drive to hold all our attitudes and beliefs in harmony and avoid disharmony (or dissonance).

C) Consumer dissonance

Dissonance in marketing causes a conflict or tension within a consumer considering a product purchase. This is usually an uncomfortable feeling for the consumer and usually leads to the buyer taking his/her money elsewhere or experiencing remorse over the purchase.³

- In this article the author describes a a)consumer-decision experiment which involved four dissonant-producing factors simultaneously. Even though the study is limited to only one product, an automobile battery, the findings provide useful insights that might be applicable to various product categories.4
- Dissonance theory postulates that if a person, given a choice between two equally desirable products, chooses one and rejects the other, he will experience dissonance.⁵
- The surprising phenomenon occurs in the case of frequently purchased products, such as food and personal-care items. The buyer, after establishing a routine decision process, may begin to feel bored with such repetitive decision making.6
- On the other hand, the consumer who has just purchased an expensive specialty good is likely to experience strong dissonance, if his purchase is irrevocable, and if it is important in some psychological sense.⁷

D) The theory of cognitive dissonance

Leon Festinger proposed the Cognitive Dissonance Theory, which states that a powerful motive to maintain cognitive consistency can give rise to irrational and sometimes maladaptive behaviour. According to Festinger, we have many cognitions about the world and ourselves; and when they clash, a discrepancy is evoked, resulting in a state of tension known as Cognitive Dissonance. As the experience of dissonance is unpleasant, we are motivated to reduce or eliminate it, and achieve consonance (i.e. agreement).⁸

- A review by Cummings and Venkatesan (1976) marked a reduction of interest in the subject in marketing, despite their conclusion that "the evidence in favour of dissonance theory in the consumer behaviour literature looks good. ⁹
- c) In this article the author describes a consumer-decision experiment which involved four dissonant-producing factors simultaneously. Even though the study is limited to only one product, an automobile battery, the findings provide useful insights that might be applicable to various product categories. ¹⁰
- *d*) Dissonance theory postulates that if a person, given a choice between two equally desirable products, chooses one and rejects the other, he will experience dissonance.¹¹
- e) The surprising phenomenon occurs in the case of frequently purchased products, such as food and personal-care items. The buyer, after establishing a routine decision process, may begin to feel bored with such repetitive decision making.¹²
- f) On the other hand, the consumer who has just purchased an expensive specialty good is likely to experience strong dissonance if his purchase is irrevocable and if it is important in some psychological sense. ¹³

E) consumer loyalty

Consumer Loyalty indicates the extent to a) which customers are devoted to a company's products or services and how strong is their tendency select one brand over competition.¹⁴.Likelihood of previous customers to continue to buy from a specific organization is called consumer loyalty. Great attention is given to marketing and customer service to retain current customers by increasing their customer loyalty. Organizations employ loyalty programs which reward customers for repeat business. At QSRs, consumers prefer faster service, order accuracy, ordering simplicity and better packaging. 15

II. NEED FOR THE STUDY

a) Quick Service Restaurants (QSRs) in India are a new concept when they came to Tamilnadu. The first McDonalds started business in Chennai on Oct 2009. The first Pizza hut started operations in Chennai on March 2014. The first Kentucky Fried Chicken (KFC) started operations in Chennai on 2002. The first Subway restaurant opened its first store in Chennai on Oct 2003.

- b) The western way of having a quick and energetic snack for lunch is an American concept of having a working lunch. For this concept to be accepted in Tamilnadu it took some time for the residents of Chennai because lunch is the heaviest meal in Tamilnadu.
- c) Their menu options consisted of many non vegetarian options and had very few vegetarian options. But to be accepted in India they need to have a majority of vegetarian options than non-vegetarian options. Another change which they had to make in their menu was, even in the non-vegetarian items pork had to be avoided for Muslims and beef for Hindus as it is against their religious beliefs. Hence QSRs in took a while to gain acceptance in the conservative Chennai.
- d) Another major change they had to make was with regards to price, as the Indian consumer is a very price conscious one. They also needed variety in the menu which needed to include Indian vegetarian items like veg samosa, veg burgers, veg pattis, veg rice items etc.
- e) All these QSRs have their own customer feedback mechanism but they are unable to identify customer dissonance early and prevent loss of customers to their competitors.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

a) research design

The Researchers have applied Descriptive Research in this study, which is also known as Statistical Research. It describes data and characteristics about the population or phenomenon being studied.

b) data collection tool

A Structured questionnaire was administered by the researchers to study the relationship between Consumer Dissonance and Consumer Loyalty amongst the respondents of Mc Donalds, Pizza Hut, Kentucky Fried Chicken (KFC) and Subway Quick Service Restaurants.

c) sampling method

Convenience sampling method was used. The respondents of each one of these 4 Quick Service Restaurant (QSR) branches were stratified on the basis of the 4 QSRs and then the 104 respondents for the study were selected.

d) sample size

The sample size for the study was fixed at 104 respondents. For the study, 42 respondents of Subway in Kodambakkam, 22 respondents of Mc Donalds in Ashok Nagar,14 respondents of Pizza Hut in Ashok Nagar and 26 respondents of KFC in Ashok Nagar were contacted for the collection of the primary data for the study.

E) Consumer Dissonance

Consumer Dissonance had 4 dimensions viz.,

(i) Emotional Concepts-EC.

- (ii) Cognitive Changes-CC.
- (iii) Wisdom of purchase-WP and
- (iv) Concern over deal CD.

The abovementioned 4 dimensions of Consumer Dissonance were measured using a five point Likert scale ranging from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (5).

Consumer Dissonance was measured using the Multi dimensional scale for measuring Consumer Dissonance developed by Jillain.C.Sweeney, Douglas.Hausknecht and Geoffrey.N.Soutar (2000).

F) Consumer Loyalty

Consumer Loyalty indicates the extent to which customers are devoted to a company's products or services and how strong is their tendency to select one brand over the competition. ¹⁷Likelihood of previous customers to continue to buy from a specific organization is called consumer loyalty. Great attention is given to marketing and customer service to retain current customers by increasing their customer loyalty. ¹⁸ Through loyalty programmes, firms can potentially gain more repeat business. ¹⁹At QSRs, consumers prefer faster service, order accuracy, ordering simplicity and better packaging. ²⁰

G) Personalisation

In his article "Personalised Customer service increases Revenue and Loyalty" written by Shep Hyken, he mentions that "Consumers want personalised service be it a restaurant or super market. When I was at the restaurant, last night, and the server picked up on something my colleague said and made an excellent recommendation, which he accepted." All of that is personalization, and customers don't want that type of service only once in a while. They want it as a rule, not an exception. ²¹ *H) Simplicity*

Marketing wants customer experience simplicity.

Choice is good. But the exponential growth of options when consumers consume – brands, models, channels, retailers – raises the stakes for clarity and ease of consideration/purchase. Experiencing simplicity reduces cognitive overload and moves barriers to purchase out of the way.

• Service Operations wants customer experience simplicity.

The more complexity in the customer experience, the higher the service costs. And not just because complexity requires customer service staff to handle more calls; experience complexity also drives service cycle times, representative turnover and capital investment. Experiencing simplicity means fewer calls, easier calls, less representative burnout and more straightforward service technology investments. Oh, and service reps could spend more time on

interactions with customers that grow their value instead of defending against customer defection.²²

III. CUSTOMER RECOGNITION

In the past fifteen years, however, computers have become extremely powerful, and inexpensive. It is possible today, economically, to hold in a computer the kind of information that the old corner grocers used to keep in their heads, and to use this information to provide recognition and personal attention to each customer. The customer service representatives at L. L. Bean, for example, seem to know you intimately when you call. They know what you bought last, and are in a position to make suggestions for future purchases. L. L. Bean is an example of a modern customer recognition system.

The customer recognition system consists of several elements:

- 1. A database that contains customer names, addresses, phone numbers, and purchase history. It also contains personal information specific to each customer, such as personal preferences, and the events that triggered each purchase (granddaughter's birthday, for example).
- 2. A personal customer service representative (CSR) assigned to each customer.
- 3. Caller-ID which is electronically connected to the database. When a customer calls, the calling number is routed to a computer that searches the database for the customer with that number. If the call is from a company phone, the database will list all the customers at that number so that the CSR can choose the correct one. If the call is from a new person, a new database record will automatically be created with the phone number inserted, ready for the CSR to enter the rest of the data.
- 4. An intelligent Automated Call Distribution (ACD) which routes calls to the CSR assigned to that customer (based on the phone number) or to an available agent if the CSR is not available or not known.
- 5. Sophisticated CSR software that puts on the screen the type of information that the CSR needs to know to make the call satisfying to the customer and profitable for the company. In this case, the CSR sees a window that suggests she mention the red sweater ordered for Mary Webster's granddaughter's birthday last October 12th. The window is automatically updated after each call.²³

IV. CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT

Results revealed that propensity to engage and loyalty behaviours vary significantly between the contexts studied. We also conclude that the majority

of loyalty behaviours are correlated, in both contexts, with customers' propensity to engage.²⁴

1. V. QUICK SERVICE RESTAURANTS IN CHENNAI

- 2. The Indian fast food market has been witnessing rapid growth on the back of positive developments and presence of massive investments. Currently, market growth is largely fuelled by the rising young population, working women, hectic schedules, and increasing disposable income of the middle-class households. Some of the unique properties of fast food like quick served, cost advantage, etc., are making it highly popular among the masses. Thus, India offers enormous opportunities for both domestic as well as international players.
- The first McDonalds started business in 3. Chennai on Oct 2009.25.The first Pizza hut started operations in Chennai on March 2014.26 KFC has opened what it's calling a green outlet on the beach front in south Chennai. It will serve as a prototype/laboratory as from now on, all the brand's outlets will be designed to be 20 per cent more energy- and water-efficient, says Niren Chaudhary, President, Yum India. Chaudhary told Business Line here that the outlet's double-glazed windows, LED lights and light and body sensors would conserve energy. A solar panel would heat all the water necessary for the restaurant's operations. The packaging is made from recycled material. The investment cost in such an outlet is 30 per cent higher, he said. However, prices are the same as in other stores. The first Kentucky Fried Chicken (KFC) started operations in Chennai on 2002.27 The first Subway restaurant opened its first store in Chennai on Oct 2003.28

VI. TARGET AUDIENCE FOR THE STUDY

This Research paper is based on a study conducted on the responses given to the structured questionnaire administered to the respondents of the four Quick Service Restaurants (QSRs) viz., McDonalds, Kentucky Fried Chicken (KFC), Subway and Pizza Hut in Chennai. The two important factors which affect customers i.e., Consumer Dissonance (CD) and Consumer Loyalty (CL) in the abovementioned QSRs in Chennai have been taken into consideration for this study. Another aspect which has been studied is the kind of relationship that exists between consumer dissonance and consumer loyalty in the abovementioned QSRs in Chennai and the factors responsible for it.

VII. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

For testing the reliability of the questionnaire, researchers have done the reliability test (Cronbach's Alpha Test) for each one of those dimensions

individually for Consumer Dissonance (CD) and Consumer Loyalty (CL) collectively and the results have been listed as follows.

TABLE 1 RELIABILITY ANALYSIS FOR CONSUMER DISSONANCE

Variables	No of items	Cronbach Alpha
Emotional	5	.972
Concepts		
Cognitive	5	.610
Concepts		
Wisdom of	5	.777
Purchase		
Concern over	8	.883
deal		

				Std. Error
		R	Adjusted	of the
Model	R	Square	R Square	Estimate
1	.837(a)	.700	.687	1.734

TABLE 2 RELIABILITY ANALYSIS FOR CONSUMER LOYALTY

Variables	No of items	Cronbach Alpha
Consumer Loyalty	4	.949

VIII. DISCUSSION

A) Correlation

Correlation is a statistical measure that indicates the extent to which two or more variables fluctuate together. A positive correlation indicates the extent to which those variables increase or decrease in parallel; a negative correlation indicates the extent to which one variable increases as the other decreases.²⁹

b) correlations between the 4 dimensions of consumer dissonance of gsrs in chennai city

According to the researchers, there exists a negative correlation between the 4 dimensions of Consumer dissonance viz., Emotional Concepts, Cognitive Changes, Wisdom of Purchase and Concern over Deal

c) pearson correlation coefficient

The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient is a measure of the strength of the linear relationship between two variables. It is referred to as Pearson's correlation or simply as the correlation coefficient. ... A perfect positive linear relationship, $r=1.^{30}$

TABLE 3-CORRELATIONS OF THE VARIOUS DIMENSIONS OF CONSUMER DISSONANCE

		Emotional Concepts	Cognitive Changes	Wisdom of Purchase	Concern over Deal
Emotional Concepts	Pearson Correlation	1	.528(**)	.607(**)	.617(**)
Cognitive Changes	Pearson Correlation	.528(**)	1	.704(**)	.702(**)
Wisdom of Purchase	Pearson Correlation	.607(**)	.704(**)	1	.794(**)
Concern over Deal	Pearson Correlation	.617(**)	.702(**)	.794(**)	1

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

All the 4 dimensions of Consumer Dissonance (CD) are negatively correlated at 99% accuracy level or 1% significance level.

d) correlations between the 4 dimensions of consumer dissonanceand consumer loyalty of qsrs in chennai city

TABLE 4-CORRELATIONS OF THE VARIOUS DIMENSIONS OF CONSUMER DISSONANCE WITH CONSUMER LOYALTY

		Customer Loyalty
Emotional	Pearson	747(**)
Concepts	Correlation	., ., ()
Cognitive	Pearson	647(**)
Changes	Correlation	047()
Wisdom of	Pearson	733(**)
Purchase	Correlation	/33(**)
Concern over	Pearson	625(**)
Deal	Correlation	635(**)
Overall	Pearson	
Consumer	Correlation	810(**)
Dissonance		

^{**}Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Both Consumer Dissonance (CD) and Consumer Loyalty (CL) are negatively correlated and the Overall CD is also correlated to CL at 99% accuracy level or 1% significance level.

IX. REGRESSION

Regression is a statistical measure used in finance, investing and other disciplines that attempts to determine the strength of the relationship between one dependent variable (usually denoted by Y) and a series of other changing variables (known as independent variables).³¹

According to the researchers, in our study, the following variables are Independent variables viz., Concern over

Deal, Emotional Concepts, Cognitive Changes and Wisdom of Purchase. These are basically the 4

dimensions of Consumer Dissonance. They are also called as Predictors. The dependent variable is Consumer Loyalty.

TABLE 5-REGRESSION ANALYSIS Variables Entered/Removed(b)

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Concern over Deal, Emotional Concepts, Cognitive Changes, Wisdom of Purchase(a)		Enter

a All requested variables entered.b Dependent Variable: Consumer LoyaltyTABLE 6-MODEL SUMMARY

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regressi on	666.241	4	166.560	55.38 0	.000(a)
	Residual	285.719	95	3.008		
	Total	951.960	99			

a Predictors: (Constant), Concern over Deal, Emotional Concepts, Cognitive Changes, Wisdom of Purchase

TABLE 7-ANOVA(b)

a Predictors: (Constant), Concern over Deal, Emotional Concepts, Cognitive Changes, Wisdom of Purchase

b Dependent Variable: Customer Loyalty
TABLE 8-COFFFICIENTS(A)

TABLE 8-COEFFICIENTS(A)						
		Standardize				
		d				
		Coefficient				
Model		S	t	Sig.		
		Beta				
1	(Constant)		19.783	.000		
	Emotional Concepts	470	-6.347	.000		
	Cognitive Changes	195	-2.311	.023		
	Wisdom of	393	-3.919	.000		

Purcha	se			
Concer	-	103	-1.023	.309
over De	al			

a Dependent Variable: Customer Loyalty.

X. CONCLUSION

According to the Researchers, from the above data it is clear that there exists a negative relationship between the 4 dimensions of Consumer dissonance (Emotional Concepts, Cognitive Changes, Wisdom of Purchase and Concern over deal) and the Consumer Loyalty in the data collected from the respond-ents of McDonalds, Pizza Hut, KFC and Subway in Chennai City.

XI. SCOPE FOR FURTHER STUDY

The relationship that exists between Consumer Dissonance and Consumer Service Quality amongst the respondents of QSRs in Chennai can be studied. The relationship that exists between Consumer Service Quality and Consumer Loyalty amongst the respondents of QSRs in Chennai can be analysed. The relationship between Consumer Satisfaction and Consumer Loyalty amongst the respondents of QSRs in Chennai can be found out. The relationship between Consumer Satisfaction and Word of Mouth amongst the respondents of QSRs in Chennai can be realised.

BIBILOGRAPHY

- [1] Merriam's Webster Dictionary.
- [2] <u>Dr. Lamin T Kamara</u> 2013 Business & Economics.
- [3] www.chron.com
- 4] www.archive.ama.org.
- [5] Cognitive Dissonance after Purchase: A Multidimensional Scale-Jillian C. Sweeney, Douglas Hausknecht &Geoffrey N. Soutar.
- [6] ww.archive.ama.org. The Theory of Cognitive dissonance and Experimental research by Sadomi Oshikawa.
- [7] Critical Perspectives on business and management by Michael John Baker.
- [8] Cognitive Dissonance Theory.
- [9] Cummings, W.H. & Venkatesan, M. 1976, 'Cognitive dissonance and consumer behaviour: A review of the evidence', *Journal of Marketing Research*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 303–08.
- [10] Robert. J. Holloway ,An Experiment on Consumer Dissonance, Journal of Marketing. Vol. 31 (January,1967), pp. 39-43.
- [11] Sadaomi Oshikawa, Can Cognitive Dissonance Theory Explain Consumer Behaviour?, Journal of Marketing, Vol. 33 (October,1969). pp. 44-49.
- [12] https://open.lib.umn.edu/principlesmarketing/ chapter/3-1-factors-that-influence-consumers-buying-behavior/.
- https://www.slideshare.net/RohitRohan/cognitive-dissonance-theory-29204127.
- [14] http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/
- [15] businesscustomer-loyalty.html.
- https://www.qsrmagazine.com/consumer-trends/
- [16] final-piece-puzzle.

- [17] https://www.qsrmagazine.com/outside-insights/case-digitalordering-quick-service.
- [18] https://www.myaccountingcourse.com > Accounting Dictionary.
- [19] www.businessdictionary.com/definition/customerloyalty.html.
- [20] Preeta.K.Vyas and Piyush.K.Sinha,Loyalty Programmes: Practices, Avenues and Challenges, IIM, Ahmedabad, Jan 2008 Publication.
- [21] https://www.qsrmagazine.com/outside-insights/case-digital-ordering-quick-service.
- [22] Cognitive dissonance after purchase: A multidimen-sional scale by J.C.Sweeney, Douglas. R. HausKnecht and Geoffrey Soutar ,May2000,Psychology and Marketing 17(5):pages 369-385.
- [23] https://www.verdegroup.com/blog/the-complexity-ofcustomer-simplicity.
- [24] www.dbmarketing.com/2010/03/building-customer-loyaltyby-recognition.
- [25] Customer engagement in the Indian retail banking sector: an exploratory study, January 2017, Internatio-
- [26] nal Journal of Business Innovation and Research 12(1):41.
- [27] https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/2003/10/31.
- [28] https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/ companies/ kfc-Sep 29,2012.
- [29] http://www.subway.com/en-in/aboutus/timeline.
- [30] https://whais.techtarget.com/definition/correlation.
- [31] onlinestatbook.com/2/describing_bivariate_data/
- [32] pearson.html.
- [33] https://www.investopedia.com/terms/r/regression.asp.