

Original Article

The Synergy of Strategic Finance and VBM: Navigating the Complex Landscape of Modern Business Management

Parth A Kulkarni

Director, FP&A, CA, USA.

Received: 17 June 2023

Revised: 23 July 2023

Accepted: 10 August 2023

Published: 25 August 2023

Abstract - Value-Based Management (VBM) has emerged as a vital approach to aligning organizational activities with long-term value creation in today's rapidly changing business landscape. This review paper explores the importance of VBM in navigating the complexities of modern business environments, emphasizing the role of Financial Planning and Analysis (FP&A) as a strategic partner in shaping value-driven strategies. By delving into the integration of finance with VBM, the paper uncovers how financial insights and analytics can foster a cohesive approach to value creation, aligning financial decisions with broader organizational goals and stakeholder interests. The paper also presents an analysis of real-world case studies showcasing successful applications of VBM. These case studies illuminate the practicalities of implementing VBM, offering tangible insights into its effectiveness. Furthermore, the paper provides a comprehensive examination of the benefits and challenges of VBM, highlighting the opportunities for growth, innovation, and sustainability, as well as the potential hurdles in technological adaptation, cross-functional collaboration, and cultural alignment. In synthesizing these themes, the paper encapsulates the multifaceted relationship between FP&A and VBM, offering a nuanced perspective that contributes to a deeper understanding of value-based management in contemporary business management.

Keywords - Financial planning & analysis, Value Based Management, Value creation, Strategic decision-making, Organizational alignment.

1. Introduction

In an era of rapid change, effective management practices are more important than ever. Various new management approaches have recently been introduced to improve organizational performance. While the success of these approaches has varied, they all share the common goal of increasing organizational efficiency and effectiveness. The interaction between technology, global markets, regulations, and societal demands has created a complex business environment where traditional management approaches often fall short. This is where Value-Based Management (VBM) comes in—a strategic approach that prioritizes creating value in decision-making. Value-Based Management (VBM) is a necessary approach for organizations to adopt in order to meet the increasing challenges posed by globalization, deregulation of capital markets, and changing shareholder behavior (Beck & Britzelmaier, 2012). Value-Based Management (VBM) has evolved over time, incorporating financial theories and expanding to consider the value that stakeholders see, not just numbers. Financial Planning and Analysis (FP&A) has played a key role in this evolution, moving from a support role to a crucial link that aligns financial goals with strategic needs. VBM and FP&A

recognize that value creation should be a company-wide goal requiring cooperation across functions. This interaction between FP&A and VBM is key to successful business management in both established companies and startups.

However, integrating these concepts is not simple. Different interpretations of value, rapid technology changes, and shifting regulations add complexity. This paper examines this intricate relationship, exploring its history, context, relevance, and practical uses. By focusing on FP&A's role in VBM, this review aims to enhance our understanding of management practices rooted in value creation. This review goes beyond theory to analyse history, practice, and future impacts. It traces VBM's evolution, linking its past with current and future applications. It also highlights FP&A's transformative role, explaining how it turns VBM philosophy into actionable strategies. The paper is organized such that it is easy to understand. It begins with an extensive literature review that outlines the history, context, and relevance of VBM. The paper then discusses the specific role of FP&A in creating VBM, highlighting both current practices and emerging trends. Case studies are used to illustrate the discussion and provide real-world insights. The paper concludes with a summary of the key findings and



their implications and a discussion of future research directions.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Evolution and Role of FP&A

FP&A has evolved significantly, expanding its scope and impact (Taddy, 2019). Traditionally, the primary scope of FP&A revolved around budgeting, financial reporting, and variance analysis. However, due to changing business dynamics and the growing importance of data-driven decision-making, FP&A's role has expanded to become a strategic partner for senior management (Gray & Alles, 2015). FP&A focused on producing financial reports and historical financial information for stakeholders in its early days. It relied heavily on spreadsheets to organize data, perform calculations, and build basic financial models (Ross et al., 2019). While this approach was useful, it had limitations in terms of scalability and complexity. FP&A also includes budget preparation, financial analysis, and the generation of periodic reports for management and external parties (Ross et al., 2019). It has always been essential in budgeting and forecasting, collaborating with departments to analyse historical data, identify trends, and develop financial plans (Ross et al., 2019). FP&A experts provide insights to align budgets with strategic goals, becoming more involved in financial analysis and performance management. This involves analysing financial metrics, identifying improvements, conducting variance analysis, and providing insights into the drivers of financial performance. FP&A assists senior management in understanding the financial implications of their decisions and achieving their objectives.

Notably, forward-looking analysis has become increasingly important as relying solely on historical financial data has become inadequate. FP&A now offers accurate forecasts, scenario analysis, and predictive analytics, enabling proactive responses to market changes and risk management (Oesterreich et al., 2019). Integrating big data analytics and advanced technologies has revolutionized FP&A practices, requiring strong analytical skills (Ross et al., 2019). Collaboration and cross-functional partnerships are essential. FP&A interacts with departments such as operations, sales, marketing, and HR to improve the accuracy of analyses. It fosters partnerships by working closely with various departments to understand financial requirements, guide initiatives, and provide support. This aligns financial goals with organizational objectives. Additionally, FP&A emphasizes performance management and value creation by incorporating non-financial indicators such as customer satisfaction and sustainability. This shift aligns FP&A with broader organizational objectives. Many businesses establish dedicated FP&A teams within finance, which aligns with the primary goal of informing management's decisions. (Oesterreich et al., 2019). FP&A assesses the value-creating potential of projects for funding while balancing stakeholder obligations. It ensures that

financial decisions support the company's health (Brealey et al., 2020). In conclusion, FP&A has evolved from a transactional to a strategic function that leverages advanced analytics to gain insights. It collaborates, manages performance, creates value, and remains essential for informed decision-making. Academic and practitioner research emphasizes the importance of FP&A in driving growth and informed choices.

2.2. History, Context and Relevance of VBM

Value-Based Management (VBM) has a long history, dating back to the early attempts to align organizational activities with creating shareholder value (Porter, 1985). This approach emerged from the realization that maximizing short-term profits does not always lead to long-term success (Kaplan & Norton, 1992). Early forms of VBM focused on financial metrics such as Economic Value Added (EVA) and Market Value Added (MVA) (Stewart, 1991). These frameworks laid the foundation for a more holistic approach to assessing and maximizing shareholder value. Over time, the concept of value has expanded to include not only shareholders but also other stakeholders. Models like the Balanced Scorecard introduced multi-dimensional perspectives, encompassing financial, customer, internal process, and learning and growth dimensions (Kaplan & Norton, 1992). With the turn of the 21st century, the field witnessed the integration of social and environmental factors, leading to the emergence of the Triple Bottom Line approach (Elkington, 1997). The influence of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and sustainability became key components in value creation (Carroll & Shabana, 2010). Technological advancements also played a role in shaping VBM, allowing for more sophisticated data analysis, predictive modeling, and real-time decision-making. These developments further solidified the role of VBM as a holistic approach to strategic management.

VBM has been shaped by multifarious influences, reflecting the complexity and dynamism of modern business environments. Economic Influences: The global financial landscape, with its myriad regulations, market dynamics, and economic cycles, has necessitated a nuanced approach to value creation (Minsky, 1986). The financial crises of recent decades have underscored the importance of risk management, transparency, and ethical considerations within VBM. Social Influences: Social factors, including changing consumer preferences, ethical considerations, and societal expectations around corporate responsibility, have infused VBM with a broader sense of purpose. The emphasis on social value and inclusivity is no longer peripheral but central to the strategic alignment of businesses. Technological Influences: Technological advancements have reshaped the way businesses perceive and measure value. Artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and blockchain technology are a few examples that have provided new tools and methodologies for integrating diverse aspects of value

within an organizational context. Technology has essentially become a catalyst for innovation and competitive advantage within VBM frameworks (Foss & Saebi, 2017).

The current relevance of VBM is pronounced in the rapidly changing landscape of global business. As organizations grapple with uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity, VBM offers a compass to navigate toward sustainable success (Drucker, 2007; Teece, 2010). The integration of VBM across various functions, industries, and geographies signifies its adaptability and universal appeal. However, this integration comes with challenges, including aligning organizational culture, overcoming resistance to change, and adapting to different regulatory environments (Kotter, 1995; Barney, 2001). Emerging trends like the gig economy, remote work, digital transformation, and environmental sustainability further accentuate the relevance of VBM. These trends require rethinking traditional value metrics and a more agile approach to strategic management. The renewed focus on ethical business practices, transparency, and stakeholder engagement adds layers to the relevance of VBM in the current era. The global push towards sustainable development goals (SDGs) and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria positions VBM as an essential tool in the modern manager's toolkit (Eccles & Serafeim, 2013; Sachs, 2015).

3. Strategic Alignment of FP&A with Value-Based Management Principles

Financial Planning & Analysis (FP&A) plays a central role in applying Value-Based Management (VBM), involving the integration of finance in VBM, various considerations, benefits, and the challenges that arise from this relationship. FP&A has emerged as a vital component in the application of Value-Based Management (VBM), a relationship that includes several layers, such as the role of finance in VBM, various considerations, and numerous benefits.

Traditionally, the finance function focused on numbers, ensuring financial stability, and meeting shareholder expectations (Damodaran, 2012). However, the evolution of VBM has prompted finance, especially FP&A, to move beyond numerical measures to become actively involved in shaping the organization's value creation strategy (Kaplan & Norton, 2001). FP&A professionals work to align financial goals with strategic objectives, weaving financial considerations with broader organizational values and stakeholder interests.

There are several considerations to keep in mind when integrating FP&A with VBM. Foremost among these is the need for clear communication and collaboration across organizational functions (Ittner & Larcker, 2001). FP&A must navigate various interpretations of value, striking a

balance between financial performance and other stakeholder considerations. Another significant factor is the ever-changing landscape of technology, regulations, and market conditions. FP&A professionals must be agile and adaptive, ensuring that VBM strategies remain relevant and effective amid shifts in the external environment (Porter, 1985).

The benefits of integrating FP&A with VBM are substantial and wide-reaching. By acting as a bridge between financial numbers and organizational strategy, FP&A can drive a more cohesive approach to value creation (Stewart, 1991). This alignment helps ensure that financial decisions are not isolated but rooted in the broader context of the organization's values, goals, and stakeholder interests. FP&A's strategic role also fosters a culture of transparency and accountability, where financial metrics are not just about profit but about building sustainable, responsible, and value-driven business models.

FP&A's ability to turn VBM philosophy into actionable strategies enhances organizational responsiveness and innovation (Koller et al., 2010). By focusing on value creation from a holistic perspective, FP&A helps organizations develop more robust decision-making frameworks (Martin et al., 2014). It fosters collaboration across functions, enhancing the organization's ability to meet both immediate performance targets and long-term sustainability goals (Kaplan & Norton, 2001).

Furthermore, the role of FP&A in creating Value-Based Management is a dynamic and multifaceted relationship. It encompasses transforming the finance function into a strategic partner, involves complex considerations, and yields substantial benefits. The synergy between FP&A and VBM represents a vital shift in business management (Raynor & Ahmed, 2013), emphasizing a holistic understanding of value that aligns financial performance with broader societal, environmental, and organizational objectives. Integrating these functions enriches decision-making processes, driving more responsible, transparent, and value-driven business practices, making it a critical area of focus for academic inquiry and practical application.

Despite the substantial benefits, integrating FP&A with VBM is not without challenges. It requires careful alignment between different departments, involving not just finance but also marketing, operations, human resources, and others (Kaplan & Norton, 2006). The cross-functional collaboration needed for a successful VBM approach can be complex, demanding effective leadership and clear communication.

Furthermore, there are technological challenges. Implementing advanced analytics and financial modeling tools to support VBM may necessitate significant investments in technology and training (Davenport & Harris, 2007). Even with the right tools, interpreting data in a way

that aligns with VBM principles can be a complex task, requiring deep expertise (Koller et al., 2010).

Another concern might be cultural alignment. Integrating VBM into the existing organizational culture may require a shift in mindset, moving from focusing on short-term financial results to a more holistic view of long-term value creation. This cultural shift can be slow and difficult, potentially facing resistance from different levels within the organization (Beer & Nohria, 2000).

4. Case Studies

General Electric (GE) adopted Economic Value Added (EVA) as a key performance metric in the early 1990s under CEO Jack Welch (Fernandez, 2009). EVA is a measure of true economic profit, considering not only operating profit but also the cost of capital. GE's FP&A teams were instrumental in implementing EVA across various business units, providing training and tools to managers to understand and apply this metric. The implementation of EVA shifted the focus from accounting profit to value creation, aligning management decisions with shareholder interests. Managers were incentivized based on EVA performance, fostering a culture of accountability and value-driven decision-making. Investments, acquisitions, and operational decisions were evaluated based on their impact on EVA, leading to more disciplined capital allocation. The result was a significant increase in GE's market value during the period of EVA's use. The success of EVA at GE has been widely studied and has influenced many other organizations to adopt similar value-based metrics. GE's FP&A teams played a crucial role in driving the company's long-term success by focusing on value creation rather than traditional accounting measures.

Coca-Cola's implementation of zero-based budgeting (Tietz, 2015) began as an effort to enhance efficiency and align resources with strategic goals. Unlike traditional budgeting, where past expenses are used as a baseline, zero-based budgeting requires building budgets from scratch and justifying every expense. FP&A teams at Coca-Cola were responsible for this rigorous process, working closely with various departments to understand their needs and align them with the company's value-based objectives. This approach led to critically examining all expenses, identifying areas for cost savings and reallocating resources to growth areas. The success of zero-based budgeting at Coca-Cola led to significant cost savings, contributing to long-term value creation. It fostered a culture of accountability and efficiency, ensuring that every dollar spent was aligned with organizational goals. This case study demonstrates the critical role of FP&A in driving value-based management through efficient resource allocation.

Unilever's acquisition of Dollar Shave Club in 2016 for \$1 billion marked a strategic move to enter the growing online shaving market. FP&A played a crucial role in

evaluating the acquisition, conducting due diligence, assessing synergies, and aligning the purchase with Unilever's value-based management objectives. The acquisition allowed Unilever to tap into a new customer segment and leverage Dollar Shave Club's digital marketing expertise. It also provided opportunities for cross-selling and expanding into related product categories. The success of this acquisition was not accidental but the result of rigorous financial analysis and strategic alignment. FP&A's role in evaluating the potential value, risks, and alignment with Unilever's overall strategy ensured that the acquisition contributed to long-term value growth. This case illustrates how FP&A can be a strategic partner in M&A, ensuring that acquisitions align with long-term value creation.

Procter & Gamble (P&G) undertook a significant portfolio optimization strategy by divesting more than 100 non-core brands between 2014 and 2016. This decision was driven by a need to focus on core, profitable segments and align the business with value-based management principles. FP&A teams conducted extensive analysis to identify non-core brands, assess the financial impact of divestitures, and align the strategy with the company's value-based management goals. This involved collaboration with various departments, detailed financial modeling, and scenario analysis. The divestitures allowed P&G to focus on core brands, leading to improved profitability and a more agile organization. The process, guided by FP&A's rigorous analysis, allowed P&G to shed underperforming assets and reallocate resources to areas with higher growth potential. This case underscores the importance of FP&A in strategic decision-making, ensuring that business strategies align with value-based management principles.

5. Conclusion

The close relationship between Financial Planning and Analysis (FP&A) and Value-Based Management (VBM) has become a key component of modern business management. This paper has examined the historical development, current relevance, and practical applications of integrating FP&A and VBM, highlighting the transformative role that FP&A plays in turning VBM philosophy into actionable strategies. The case studies of General Electric, Coca-Cola, Unilever, and Procter & Gamble have revealed the many ways in which FP&A can drive value creation. From implementing Economic Value Added (EVA) to zero-based budgeting, from strategic acquisitions to portfolio optimization, FP&A has proven to be an essential tool for aligning financial goals with strategic objectives. However, integrating FP&A and VBM is not without challenges. Technological complexities, cultural alignment, and cross-functional collaboration require careful consideration and leadership. The rapid changes in technology, regulations, and market conditions necessitate agility and adaptability in FP&A practices. The future of FP&A and VBM lies in the continued exploration of innovative approaches, tools, and methodologies aligned

with broader societal, environmental, and organizational objectives. The shift from transactional to strategic, from short-term financial results to long-term value creation, represents a vital transformation in business management.

In conclusion, this review paper emphasizes the importance of FP&A in driving growth, informed decision-

making, and value creation. It calls for further academic research and practical application in this critical area, focusing on a holistic understanding of value beyond traditional financial metrics. The synergy between FP&A and VBM is not just a trend but a fundamental shift that will continue to shape the landscape of global business management.

References

- [1] Valentin Beck, and Bernd Britzelmaier, “Value-Based-Management–A Critical,” *International Journal of Sales, Retailing and Marketing*, vol. 1, no. 3, 2012. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [2] Timothy Koller, “What is Value Based Management?,” *The McKinsey Quarterly; New York*, no. 3, pp. 87-101, 1994. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [3] Matt Taddy, *Business Data Science: Combining Machine Learning and Economics to Optimize, Automate, and Accelerate Business Decisions*, 1st ed., McGraw-Hill Education, 2019. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [4] Gray Glen L, and Michael Alles, “Data Fracking Strategy: Why Management Accountants Need It,” *Management Accounting Quarterly*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 22-33, 2015. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [5] Stephen Ross, Randolph Westerfield, and Bradford Jordan, *Fundamentals of Corporate Finance*, 13th ed., McGraw-Hill Education, 2019. [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [6] Thuy Duong Oesterreich et al., “The Controlling Profession in the Digital Age: Understanding the Impact of Digitization on the Controller's Job Roles, Skills and Competences,” *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 2019. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [7] Richard Brealey, Stewart Myers, and Franklin Allen, *Principles of Corporate Finance*, 13th ed., McGraw-Hill Education, 2020. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [8] Michael E. Porter, *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*, Free Press, pp. 391-408, 1985. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [9] Robert S. Kaplan, and David P. Norton, *Putting the Balanced Scorecard; Performance Measurement, Management, and Appraisal Sourcebook*, vol. 66, pp. 66-74, 1995. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [10] Stewart III, and G. Bennett, *The Quest for Value*, New York: Harper Collins Publishers, 1991. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [11] J. Elkington, *The Triple Bottom Line: Does it All Add Up*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 1-16, 2004.
- [12] Archie B. Carroll, and Kareem M. Shabana, “The Business Case for Corporate Social Responsibility: A Review of Concepts, Research and Practice,” *International Journal of Management Reviews*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 85-105, 2010. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [13] Minsky, Hyman P, and Henry Kaufman, *Stabilizing an Unstable Economy*, New Haven and London, Yale University Press, 1986. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [14] Nicolai J. Foss, and Tina Saebi, “Fifteen Years of Research on Business Model Innovation: How Far Have We Come, and Where Should We Go?,” *Journal of Management*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 200-227, 2017. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [15] Peter Drucker, *Management Challenges for the 21st Century*, 1st ed., Routledge. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [16] David J. Teece, “Technological Innovation and the Theory of the Firm: The Role of Enterprise-Level Knowledge, Complementarities, and (Dynamic) Capabilities,” *Handbook of the Economics of Innovation*, vol. 1, pp. 679-730, 2010. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [17] John P. Kotter, *Leading Change: Why Transformation Efforts Fail*, 2007. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [18] Jay B. Barney, “Resource-Based Theories of Competitive Advantage: A Ten-Year Retrospective on the Resource-Based View,” *Journal of Management*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 643-650, 2001. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [19] Robert G. Eccles, and George Serafeim, *The Performance Frontier*, Harvard Business Review, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 50-60, 2013. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [20] Jeffrey D. Sachs, *The Age of Sustainable Development*, Columbia University Press, 2015. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [21] Aswath Damodaran, *Investment Valuation: Tools and Techniques for Determining the Value of Any Asset*, John Wiley & Sons, vol. 666, 2012. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [22] Robert S. Kaplan, and David P. Norton, *The Strategy-Focused Organization: How Balanced Scorecard Companies Thrive in the New Business Environment*, Harvard Business Press, 2001. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [23] Christopher D. Ittner, and David F. Larcker, “Assessing empirical Research in Managerial Accounting: A Value-Based Management Perspective,” *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, vol. 32, no. 1-3, pp. 349-410, 2001. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [24] Tim Koller, Marc Goedhart, and David Wessels, *Valuation: Measuring and Managing the Value of Companies*, John Wiley & Sons, vol. 499, 2010. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]

- [25] Alexandra E. MacDougall et al., "A Review of Theory Progression in Ethical Decision Making Literature," *Journal of Organizational Psychology*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2014. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [26] ME Raynor, and M Ahmed, *Three Rules for Making a Company Truly Great*, Harvard Business Review, vol. 91, no. 4, pp. 108-117, 2013. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [27] Thomas H. Davenport, and Jeanne G. Harris, *Competing on Analytics: The New Science of Winning Language*, Harvard Business School Press, vol. 15, 2007. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [28] Michael Beer, and Nitin Nohria, *Cracking the Code of Change*, Harvard Business Review, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 133-14, 2000. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [29] Pablo Fernández, *EVA and Cash Value Added do not Measure Shareholder Value Creation*, SSRN, 2019. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [30] Tietz, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://accountingintheheadlines.com/2015/02/13/why-is-coca-cola-using-zero-based-budgeting/>