

Original Article

Urban Resilience Frameworks - Evolution, Applications, and Research Gaps in the Indian Context

Shekhar Vishnu Nagargoje¹, Sanjay Govind Patil²

¹RICS School of Built Environment, Amity University Maharashtra.

²Director & Head of Institution, RICS School of Built Environment, Amity University Maharashtra.

¹Corresponding Author : snagargoje@ricssbe.edu.in

Received: 13 March 2025

Revised: 17 April 2025

Accepted: 01 May 2025

Published: 19 May 2025

Abstract - Urban resilience has emerged as a central theme in planning discourse, driven by the escalating challenges of climate change, rapid urbanization, and social disparities. This paper critically examines the chronological evolution of urban resilience frameworks, tracing the shift from engineering-centric models to socio-ecological paradigms. Through a focused review of global frameworks and their applicability to Indian metropolitan contexts, the study highlights critical gaps—particularly the underrepresentation of socio-economic vulnerabilities and governance complexities unique to India. The research emphasizes the urgent need for a localized and integrative framework that moves beyond infrastructural resilience to include social, cultural, and political dimensions. This synthesis offers fresh insights for evolving resilient urban futures, especially in rapidly transforming economies like India..

Keywords - Urban Resilience, Resilience Frameworks, Sustainability, Urban Development, India.

1. Introduction

Urban areas today stand at the confluence of multiple overlapping challenges: accelerated climate variability, widening socio-economic inequalities, demographic pressures, and increasing exposure to natural and anthropogenic risks. The concept of resilience, initially rooted in engineering and ecological sciences (Holling, 1973; Adger, 2000), has progressively evolved into a critical framework for understanding and enhancing the adaptive capacities of urban systems. While resilience thinking has found widespread application in urban governance globally, its translation into the Indian context has been partial and uneven, often replicating Western models that insufficiently address India's complex urban realities (Revi, 2008; Meerow et al., 2016).

Over the past two decades, numerous frameworks have been proposed to assess and build urban resilience. These range from an early emphasis on infrastructural robustness and disaster recovery metrics (Bruneau et al., 2003) to integrative socio-ecological approaches emphasising community participation, governance structures, and social equity (Folke, 2006; Tyler & Moench, 2012). However, despite this conceptual expansion, existing resilience frameworks tend to inadequately reflect the deep-rooted governance fragmentation, cultural diversity, and informal urbanism characteristic of Indian cities (Rumbach & Follmann, 2020). This paper examines the evolution of urban resilience frameworks and their applicability within the Indian urban

context. Specifically, it identifies the theoretical transitions over time, evaluates practical challenges faced in India, and synthesizes key research gaps. This research aims to contribute towards a more nuanced, grounded, and actionable understanding of urban resilience planning by contextualising resilience within India's unique socio-economic and governance environment.

2. Evolution of Urban Resilience Frameworks

The concept of resilience, initially introduced through ecological and engineering sciences, has substantially transformed urban studies over the past five decades. Early frameworks conceptualized resilience primarily as a system's ability to absorb shocks and return to its original state (Holling, 1973; Bruneau et al., 2003), emphasizing infrastructural robustness and recovery speed.

During the 1990s and early 2000s, resilience discourse expanded to incorporate insights from complex adaptive systems theory, recognizing cities as dynamic socio-ecological entities capable of transformation rather than mere recovery (Gunderson & Holling, 2002; Newman, 2003; Pickett et al., 2004). The socio-ecological resilience paradigm emphasises the interconnectedness of ecological sustainability, social equity, and governance mechanisms (Folke, 2006; Meerow et al., 2016). Initiatives like the City Resilience Index (Arup, 2015) and 100 Resilient Cities (Rockefeller Foundation, 2013) operationalized this thinking.



However, critiques emerged regarding the universal applicability of global frameworks to diverse socio-economic settings, particularly in the Global South (Tyler & Moench, 2012; Bahadur & Tanner, 2014; Leichenko, 2011).

Consequently, recent scholarship emphasizes the need for more localized, participatory approaches (Meerow & Newell, 2019; Sharma & Singh, 2018).

3. Urban Resilience Frameworks in the Indian Context

3.1. Socio-Economic and Demographic Complexities

India's cities face rapid urbanization, persistent informatization, and socio-economic disparities. Traditional resilience frameworks often fail to capture the vulnerabilities of informal settlements and peri-urban spaces, where adaptive capacities depend heavily on social networks and informal economies (Ganguly, 2007; Bhan, 2016; UN-Habitat, 2017).

3.2. Challenges in Implementation and Policy Translation

Despite ambitious programs like the NDMP and Smart Cities Mission, a persistent gap exists between policy frameworks and ground-level practical resilience-building (Patel, 2016; Wadhwa, 2020; Rumbach & Follmann, 2020).

3.3. Governance Fragmentation and Urban Management

Urban governance in India is highly fragmented across multiple institutional layers, creating policy discontinuities and coordination gaps (Baud et al., 2021; Ghoshal, 2013; Nair & Prakash, 2020).

3.4. Emerging Best Practices and Lessons

Localized, community-driven resilience initiatives—such as Kerala's decentralized disaster management model and Surat's Urban Climate Resilience Strategy—demonstrate the potential for integrated, participatory resilience planning (Iyer et al., 2020; Revi et al., 2016).

4. Critical Analysis of Existing Frameworks: Gaps and Research Needs

4.1. Overemphasis on Infrastructural Metrics

Dominant frameworks prioritize technical indicators, sidelining socio-cultural factors crucial for resilience in informal and heterogeneous cities (Ghosh, 2009; Sharma & Singh, 2018).

4.2. Lack of Context-Specific and Localized Indicators

Standardized global indicators inadequately reflect India's unique urban realities (Meerow et al., 2016; Iyer et al., 2020).

4.3. Insufficient Integration of Social Capital and Community Practices

Community networks and informal coping mechanisms are often overlooked in mainstream resilience assessments (Ganguly, 2007; Gandhi, 2021).

4.4. Governance Gaps and Institutional Fragmentation

Institutional overlaps and governance fragmentation remain critical bottlenecks (Baud et al., 2021; Ghoshal, 2013).

4.5. Policy-Practice Discrepancies

Policy initiatives often fail in execution due to institutional, political, and social constraints (Wadhwa, 2020; Sanyal, 2022)..

5. Toward a Comprehensive Framework: Policy Implications and Future Research Directions

5.1. Policy Implications

- Development of localized resilience indicators (Meerow & Newell, 2019).
- Strengthening decentralized governance and community participation (Baud et al., 2021; Iyer et al., 2020).
- Integrating informality into resilience planning (Bhan, 2016).
- Promoting cross-sectoral collaboration (Sharifi & Yamagata, 2016).
- Emphasizing adaptive, flexible planning systems (Tyler & Moench, 2012).

5.2. Future Research Directions

- Contextualizing frameworks for the Global South (Meerow et al., 2016).
- Quantifying social capital and informal resilience networks (Ganguly, 2007).
- Conducting longitudinal studies of community-led initiatives (Iyer et al., 2020).
- Exploring the political economy of resilience (Ojha & Sen, 2021).
- Balancing technology and social equity (Nair & Prakash, 2020).

6. Conclusion

The evolution of resilience frameworks has broadened our understanding of adaptive urban systems, yet substantial gaps remain when applied to the Indian context. Addressing resilience in Indian cities demands a move beyond infrastructural fixes to more nuanced, participatory, and culturally grounded frameworks. Future strategies must embrace informality, decentralization, and socioeconomic diversity to build truly resilient urban futures.

References

- [1] W. Neil Adger, "Social and Ecological Resilience: Are they Related?," *Progress in Human Geography*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 347-364, 2000. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [2] City Resilience Index, Arup, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.arup.com/insights/city-resilience-index/>

- [3] Aditya Bahadur, and Thomas Tanner, "Transformational Resilience Thinking: Putting People, Power and Politics at the Heart of Urban Climate Resilience," *Environment and Urbanization*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 200-214, 2014. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [4] Isa S.A. Baud et al., "Matching Deprivation Mapping to Urban Governance in Three Indian Mega-Cities," *Habitat International*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 365-377, 2009. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [5] Gautam Bhan, *In the Public's Interest Evictions, Citizenship, and Inequality in Contemporary Delhi*, University of Georgia Press, pp. 1-290, 2016. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [6] Michel Bruneau et al., "A Framework to Quantitatively Assess and Enhance the Seismic Resilience of Communities," *Earthquake Spectra*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 733-752, 2003. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [7] Veronique Dupont, "Conflicting Stakes and Governance in the Peripheries of Large Indian Metropolises—An Introduction," *Cities*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 89-94, 2007. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [8] Carl Folke, "Resilience: The Emergence of a Perspective for Social–Ecological Systems Analyses," *Global Environmental Change*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 253-267, 2006. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [9] Ayyoob Sharifi, and Yoshiki Yamagata, "Principles and Criteria for Assessing Urban Energy Resilience: A Literature Review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 60, pp. 1654-1677, 2016. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [10] Gautam Bhan, "This is no Longer the City I Once Knew". Evictions, the Urban Poor and the Right to the City in Millennial Delhi," *Environment & Urbanization*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 127-142, 2009. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [11] Robin Leichenko et al., "Climate Change and Urban Resilience," *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 164-168, 2011. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [12] Aijaz Rumi, "Challenges for Urban Local Governments in India," Asia Research Centre, Working Paper, pp. 1-97, 2007. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [13] Lance H. Gunderson, and C.S. Holling, *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems*, Island Press, pp. 1-507, 2002. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [14] C.S. Holling, "Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems," *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, pp. 460-482, 1973. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [15] Richard Asare et al., "On-Farm Cocoa Yields Increase with Canopy Cover of Shade Trees in Two Agro-Ecological Zones in Ghana," *Climate and Development*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 435-445, 2019. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [16] Sara Meerow, and Joshua P. Newell, "Urban Resilience for Whom, What, When, Where, and Why?," *Urban Geography*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 309-329, 2019. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [17] Sara Meerow, Joshua P. Newell, and Melissa Stults, "Defining Urban Resilience: A Review," *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 147, pp. 38-49, 2016. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [18] Alexander Aylett, "Institutionalizing the Urban Governance of Climate Change Adaptation: Results of an International Survey," *Urban Climate*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 4-16, 2015. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [19] Peter Newman, "The Environmental Impact of Cities," *Environment & Urbanization*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 275-295, 2006. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [20] Eric Chu, Isabelle Anguelovski, and JoAnn Carmin, "Inclusive Approaches to Urban Climate Adaptation Planning and Implementation in the Global South," *Climate Policy*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 372-392, 2016. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [21] S.T.A. Pickett, M.L. Cadenasso, and J.M. Grove, "Resilient Cities: Meaning, Models, and Metaphor for Integrating the Ecological, Socio-Economic, and Planning Realms," *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 369-384, 2004. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [22] Aromar Revi, *Climate Change Risk: An Adaptation and Mitigation Agenda for Indian Cities*, 1st ed., Adapting Cities to Climate Change, Routledge, pp. 311-338, 2009. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [23] 100 Resilient Cities, Rockefeller Foundation. [Online]. Available: <https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/100-resilient-cities/>
- [24] Mihir R. Bhatt, *Disaster Risk Reduction: The Indian Landscape*, Disaster Risk Governance in India and Cross Cutting Issues, Springer, Singapore, pp. 23-38, 2018. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [25] Ebru A. Gencer, *The Interplay between Urban Development, Vulnerability, and Risk Management: A Case Study of the Istanbul Metropolitan Area*, 1st ed., Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [26] Ayyoob Sharifi, and Yoshiki Yamagata, "Principles and Criteria for Assessing Urban Energy Resilience: A Literature Review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 60, pp. 1654-1677, 2016. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [27] Annapurna Shaw, "Metropolitan City Growth and Management in Post-liberalized India," *Eurasian Geography and Economics*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 44-62, 2012. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [28] Stephen Tyler, and Marcus Moench, "A Framework for Urban Climate Resilience," *Climate and Development*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 311-326, 2012. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]