Emerging Multi Drug Resistant Bacterial Strain In Neonatal Intensive Care Unit In A Developing Country-Bangladesh

Aftab Yousuf Raj^{#1}, Farzana Ahmed*²

[#] Consultant, Department of Neonatology, Square Hospitals Ltd. 18/F, Bir Uttam Qazi Nuruzzaman Sarak, Dhaka-1205, Bangladesh.

*Associate Professor and Head of the Department of Paediatrics Marks Medical College Hospital. The marks group tower A/3, Main road Mirpur-14, Dhaka 1206, Bangladesh

Abstract: Neonatal sepsis is one of the major causes for mortality and morbidity among newborn. Bacterial pathogens and antibiotic sensitivity patterns are different in hospitals of each country. The objective of the present study was to identify the spectrum of bacterial isolates causing septicemia in neonates and to determine their antimicrobial sensitivity pattern. Out of 171 babies with positive septic screening, 82 were culture positive samples, gram positive and gram negative organisms were 28 % and 72 % respectively. Acinetobacter remained the predominant isolate (n=25,30.5%) followed by Coagulase negative Staphylococcus(N=16, 19.5%). Most of the gram positive isolates exhibited higher resistance to penicillin, cephalosporin, macrolides, gentamycin in aminoglycosides group and quinolones. Gram-positive isolates had sensitivity of 100% to chloramphenicol, vancomycin, linezolid followed by rifampicin 84%. In comparison to other antibiotics, sensitivity to these four medicines was significant statistically (P < 0.05). On the other hand, most of the gram negative organisms showed resistance to aminoglycosides and cephalosporin; about two third showed resistant to meropenum, quinolones and zosyn. Best overall sensitivity among Gram-negative isolates was to Polymixin B (100%) and Minocycline (97%), followed by Colistin (83%) which was also significant statistically (P < 0.05). Our study highlights the higher resistance towards commonly used antimicrobials and necessity for periodic survey of etiological agents and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns for the timely alarm of such type of problems.

II. Materials and methods

The study was conducted retrospectively in the in the NICU at Square Hospital Ltd. which is a tertiary care centre at Dhaka, Bangladesh, from January 2012 and June 2016. Out of 171 positive cases of septic screening, all cultures positive cases were included in the study and skin commensals, contaminates and fungal pathogens were excluded. After collection of sample (2-3 ml blood for blood culture, urine for culture, umbilical swab, connjunctival swab, culture of tip of endotrachial tube, culture of aspirate from

Keywords: *Antimicrobial resistance, antibiotics, neonatal septicemia.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Neonatal sepsis is a major health problem worldwide, with the global prevalence of (1-10) per 1000 live births. It is estimated that up to 20% of neonates develop sepsis and approximately 1% die of sepsis related causes [1]. In spite of significant advances of neonatal care and the development of broad spectrum antibiotic, sepsis remain a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in newborn, specially, those born prematurely and with low birth weight[2]. Since the aim of empirical therapy is to target the most likely infectious organism(s), it is crucial for neonatal unit to survey the profile of causative organism and their susceptibility in order to ensure effective antimicrobial treatment[3]. Statistical data from different countries shows differences in the pattern, risk factors, incidence and antimicrobial sensitivities of pathogens and mortality from that of developed countries [3,4]. In the Europe and North America, group B streptococcus and E-coli contribute to 70%-75% of cases of neonatal septicemia[3-5]. In most of developing countries, Gram negative organisms remain the major cause of neonatal sepsis, particularly early onset sepsis[3,4,5]. As neonatal sepsis is a life threatening emergency and delay in diagnosis and treatment may have adverse consequences, surveillances are needed to identify antibiotic sensitivity pattern of common pathogens[6].

endotrachial tube) with all aseptic precaution, culture bottles were transported immediately to the Microbiology Laboratory and were processed as per standard microbiological techniques and the isolates were identified. All positive culture reports were checked, verified and analyzed. The sensitivity and resistance pattern of various antibiotics against the isolated pathogens were also noted. Data was expressed as P-value and percentages. was used for data was analyzed using. The obtained data was statistically analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered to be significant. Approval for the study was taken from the ethical committee of the hospital.

III. Results

A. Sources of isolated organisms

All c	ulture repor	ts were	checked	and	verified	and of	them	eighty	two o	culture	positive	reports	were	finally
analyz	zed. The pos	itive yie	eld of blo	ood, u	irine, eye	e swab,	tip of	endotr	achial	tube a	nd aspira	te from	endot	rachial
tube	cultures	were	73%,	1.2%	, 1.2%	6, 18	3.29%	and	3.66	% re	espective	ly. (Tabl	le 1)

 Table 1: Source of various isolates causing neonatal infection

Source of sample	Number	Percentage
Blood culture	60	73
Culture of tip of endotrachial tube	15	18.29
Culture of aspirate from endotrachial tube	3	3.66
Culture of umbilical swab	2	2.44
Culture of connjunctival swab	1	1.2
Urine culture	1	1.2



C. Percentage of various isolates

According to table 2, *Acinetobacter* spp. (30.49%) are the commonest organism responsible for infection in neonate followed by Coagulase negative staphylococci (19.5%)

B. Type of organism:

Out of these 82 bacterial isolates, 59 (72%) were Gram-negative organisms, 23 (28%) were Gram-positive organisms. The organisms causing sepsis are shown in Figure 1.

Table 2: Percentage of various isolates

Organism	No. of isolates	Percentage
Gram-positive organisms		
Coagulase negative	16	19.5
staphylococci	-	
Streptococcus pneumonia	3	3.66
Enterococcus faecium	4	4.88
Gram-negative organisms		
Acinetobacter spp	25	30.49
Klebsiella species	14	17.07
Enterobacter	5	6.10
E.coli	1	1.21
Burkholderia cepacia	4	4.88
Chryseobacterium	2	2.44
Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia	7	8.54
Pseudomonas spp	1	1.21

D. Resistant pattern of Acinetobacter spp

According to figure 2, *Acinetobacter* spp. are resistant to commonly used antibiotics including meropenem and amkacin but 100% sensitive to Colistin and polymixin-B.



E. Changes of sensitivity pattern of Acinetobacter spp. Over time

Surprisingly, sensitivity pattern for *Acinetobacter* spp. have not been changed significantly over last four and half years(Figure 3).



F. Resistant pattern of Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia

Figure 4 shows, *Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia* are resistant to commonly used antibiotics including meropemen and colistin but 100% sensitive to Minocycline and Zosyn (Piperacillin and tazobactam)



G. Resistant pattern of Klebsiella species

According to figure 5, *Klebsiella* species. are resistant to commonly used antibiotics but 100% sensitive to Colistin and polymixin-B.



H. Resistant pattern of Coagulase negative Staphylococcus

Figure 6 shows, *Coagulase negative Staphylococcus* are resistant to commonly used antibiotics but 100% sensitive to Linezolid, Vancomycin and Chloramphenicol.



I. Resistant pattern of Enterobacter spp

Figure 7 shows, *Enterobacter spp.* are resistant to commonly used antibiotics including third generation cephalosporin, 80% sensitive to Meropenem. It is 40% totally sensitive, 40% intermediately sensitive and 20% resistant to Zosyn and Levofloxacillin.



J. Resistant pattern of Burkholderia cepacia

Figure 8 shows *Burkholderia cepacia* is 100% sensitive to ceftazidime, cotrim, Zosyn and Meropenem.



K. Resistant pattern of Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia

Figure 9 shows, *Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia* are resistant to commonly used antibiotics but 100% sensitive to Linezolid, Vancomycin and Chloramphenicol.



L. Resistant pattern of Streptococcus pneumonia

Figure 10 shows, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* resistant to commonly used antibiotics but 100% sensitive to Clindamycin, Rifampicin, Linezolid and Vancomycin.



M. Overall sensitivity pattern of gram positive organism

Figure 11 showing overall sensitivity pattern of gram positive organism. Most of the gram positive isolates penicillin. higher exhibited resistance to gentamicin cephalosporin, macrolides, and quinolones. Susceptibility to commonly used was found to vancomycin (100%), chloramphenicol (100%), rifampicin (84%) and Linezolid (100%). In comparison to other commonly used antibiotics, sensitivity to these four medicines was statistically significant (P < 0.05).



N. Overall sensitivity pattern of gram negative organism

Figure 12 showing overall sensitivity pattern of gram negative organism. Most of the gram negative bacteria showed resistance to cephalosporin, aminoglycosides; about two third showed resistant to meropenem, zosyn and quinolones. Best overall sensitivity among Gram-negative isolates was to Polymixin B (100%) and Minocycline (97%), followed by Colistin (83%). In comparison to other commonly used antibiotics, sensitivity to these three medicines was statistically significant (P < 0.05).



IV. Discussion

Neonatal sepsis remains a major clinical problem in neonatology with high morbidity and mortality rate specially in developing countries like Bangladesh[7]. The knowledge of etiological organisms as well as their antimicrobial sensitivity profile is necessary for effective therapeutic intervention in neonatal sepsis[8]. Multiple drug resistance of the causative organisms of sepsis is a rapidly emerging and potentially disastrous problem because infection with resistant organisms has been associated with treatment failure, higher mortality and morbidity, increased health and prolonged costs hospitalization[9].

In the present study Gram negative organisms were the major cause of neonatal sepsis about 72% and gram positive organisms about 28%. A recent study conducted by Muley V A et al. [1]. revealed 70.8% neonatal septicemia caused by Gram-negative organism. Almost similar results were reported by Pooja R et al. where Gram negative and gram positive organisms were isolated in 79.9% and 18.17% cases respectively [10]. Karlowicz et al. reported that Gram-positive organisms caused 73% of bacterial sepsis but Gram-negative organisms were responsible for the highest mortality rate[11].

The resistant pattern of the organisms can be minimized by periodic epidemiological surveys of etiological agents and their antibiotic sensitivity patterns leading to recognition of the most frequently encountered pathogens in a particular geographical area[12].

The organism causing neonatal sepsis in developing countries differ from those seen in developed countries. Overall, Gram-negative organisms are more common and are mainly represented by Acinetobacter, Klebsiella, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas. Of the Gram-positive organisms, CONS, Staphylococcus aureus, *Streptococcus* pneumonia, and S. pyogenes are most commonly isolated[13]. In our study, we found Acinetobacter remained the predominant isolate(n=25, 30.5%) followed by Coagulase negative Staphylococcus(N=16, 19.5%) implicated in neonatal sepsis. Sharma CM et al[14]. and Shah A J et al. [15]. reported CoNS mainly responsible for neonatal sepsis and were sensitive to vancomycin only; [14,15] we found Coagulase negative Staphylococcus were100% sensitive Linezolid. Vancomycin to and Chloramphenicol.

The present study shows a high degree of resistant of gram negative organisms to commonly used antibiotics like aminoglycosides and cephalosporin; about two third cases showed resistant to meropenem, zosyn and quinolones. Similar association had also been found in many other studies[16,17]. According to Pitout JDD et al. [17] this multidrug resistance pattern in Gram-negative organisms might be due to the production of extended spectrum beta-lactamases by Gramnegative organisms[18].

In this study, among Gram-negative isolates, maximum sensitivity was observed to Polymixin B (100%) and Minocycline (97%), followed by Colistin (83%). While compared to other commonly used antibiotics, sensitivity to these three medicines was statistically significant (P < 0.05). Mustafa M et al. reported higher sensitivity to imipenem and linezolid which were statistically significant (P < 0.05), but they recommended not to use these medicines

indiscriminately to prevent resistance to these drugs may develop[19].

It is difficult to compare antibiotic resistance between countries and among different periods in a same country because the epidemiology of neonatal sepsis is extremely variable. Few studies compare antibiotic susceptibility over time in the same unit, but where data are available they show increasing resistance to commonly used antibiotics[20]. Surprisingly, in our study we found that antibiotic sensitivity pattern for *Acinetobacter* spp. have not been changed significantly over last four and half years.(Figure 3)

As the most common isolates in our study were *Acinetobacter spp* and *Coagulase negative Staphylococcus*, some of these could be contaminants only. Since, we have not analyzed each blood culture positive cases in relation with gestational age, weight, number of days in the NICU, timing of blood cultures, we cannot clearly say how many are likely to be contaminants. The indication of blood culture and the clinical details of the babies with positive or negative bacterial isolates were not analyzed in this study.

V. Conclusion

With the given antibiotic susceptibility pattern, there is no single antibiotic among the first line or second

References

- V.A. Muley, D.P. Ghadage, A.V Bhore, Bacteriological Profile of Neonatal Septicemia in a Tertiary Care Hospital from Western India J Glob Infect Dis.,vol. 7,no. 2, pp.75– 77, 2015.
- [2] A.B. Russell, D.Isaacs, Infection in newborn. In: Rennie & Roberton's Textbook of Neonatology. 5th ed. Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, p1013-64, 2012.
- [3] B.J. Stoll, Section 2—Infections of the Neonatal Infant: Pathogenesis and Epidemiology. In: Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. 20th ed. Saunders, pp909–925, 2016.
- [4] A.K. Zaidi, D.Thaver, T.K. Khan, Pathogens Associated With Sepsis in Newborns and Young Infants in Developing Countries. Pediatr Infect Dis J., vol.28, pp. 10–18, 2009.
- [5] A.K. Zaidi, W.C. Huskins, D. Thaver, Hospital-acquired neonatal infections in developing countries. Lancet, vol. 365, pp. 1175–1188, 2005.
- [6] R.K. Shrestha, S.K. Rai, P.K. Mandal, Bacteriological study of neonatal sepsis and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of isolates in Kathmandu, Nepal. Nepal Med Coll J vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 71-73, 2013.
- [7] A.S. Ahmed, M.A. Chowdhury, M. Hoque, Clinical and bacteriological profile of neonatal septicemia in a tertiary level pediatric hospital in Bangladesh. Indian Pediatr. Vol. 39,pp. 1034 –1039, 2002.
- [8] B.A. West, N. Kaistha, V. Gupta, Antimicrobial susceptibility of isolates for neonatal septicaemia. Japan J Infec Dis vol. 57, 273-275, 2004.
- [9] H. Shamahy, A. Sabrah, A. Robasi, Types of bacteria and their antimicrobial profile. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J vol. 12, pp. 48-54, 2012.
- [10] R. Pooja, K.N. Sowmya, B. Shrikala, A Spectrum of Bacterial Pathogens and its Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern Isolated from Neonatal Sepsis in an NICU in a Government Pediatric Hospital. Int. Res. J. Biological Sci. vol. 4, no.5, pp. 50-54, 2015.

line drugs that can be used empirically in neonates with suspected gram positive and gram negative sepsis. Continuous surveillance is needed to monitor the changing epidemiology of pathogens and antibiotic susceptibility. Strategies to overcome the increasing incidence of resistance to conventional drugs are required.

Author Contributions: Both authors contributed equally in data collection and data analysis and writing of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding. **Acknowledgments:** The authors wish to thank all the doctors, nurses working at NICU of SHL for providing support for patient management along with data collection.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

Abbreviations:

NICU: neonatal intensive care unit

SHL: SQUARE Hospital LTD.

S=Sensitive.

I=intermediate sensitive,

R=Resistant

Zosyn=Piperacillin/Tazobactam,

Cotrim: Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole

- [11] M.G. Karlowicz, E.S. Buescher, A.E. Surka, Fulminant late onset sepsis in a neonatal intensive care unit, 1988-1997 and impact of avoiding empiric vacomycin therapy. Pediatr., vol. 106, pp.1387-1390, 2000.
- [12] B.P. Zakariya, V. Bhat, B.N. Harish, B.T. Arun, N.M. Joseph, Neonatal sepsis in a tertiary care hospital in South India: Bacteriological profile and antibiotic sensitivity pattern. Indian J Pediatr, vol. 78, pp. 413-437, 2011.
- [13] S. Vergnano, M. Sharland, P. Kazembe, Neonatal sepsis: An international perspective. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. Vol. 90, pp. 220-224, 2005.
- [14] CM. Sharma, R.P. Agrawal, H. Sharan, "Neonatal Sepsis": Bacteria & their Susceptibility Pattern towards Antibiotics in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. J Clin and Diag Res. Vol. 7, no.11, pp. 2511-2513, 2013.
- [15] A. J. Shah, S.A. Mulla, S.B. Revdiwala, Neonatal Sepsis: High Antibiotic Resistance of the Bacterial Pathogens in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit of a Tertiary Care Hospital. J Clin Neonatol,vol. 1, no. 2, pp.72–75, 2012.
- [16] R.K. Shrestha, S.K. Rai, P.K. Mandal, Bacteriological study of neonatal sepsis and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of isolates in Kathmandu, Nepal. Nepal Med Coll J , vol. 15, no. 1, pp.71-73, 2013.
- [17] A.H.E. Jadba, M.S. Yazji, Neonatal septicemia in Gaza City Hospitals. Pak J Med Sci , vol. 25, pp. 226-231, 2009,.
- [18] J.D.D. Pitout, K.S. Thomson, N.D Hanson, Beta Lactamases responsible for resistant to expanded-spectrum cephalosporin in Klebsiella pneumoniae, Esch. coli and Proteus mirabilis isolates recovered in South Africa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, vol. 42, pp1350–1354, 1998.
- [19] M. Mustafa, S.L. Ahmed, Bacteriological profile and antibiotic susceptibility patterns in neonatal septicemia in view of emerging drug resistance. J Med Allied Sci, vol. 4, no.1, pp.02-08, 2014.
- [20] S. Vergnano, M. Sharland, P. Kazembe, Neonatal sepsis: an international perspective. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. Vol. 90, pp.220–24, 2005,.