On Nwg-Normal and Nwg-Regular spaces

M.Bhuvaneswari Assistant Professor in Mathematics Nehru Arts and Science College Coimbatore, India.

Abstract— In this paper we introduced a class of space called Nwg-Normal space and analyzed few of its properties. We have observed some preservation theorem. Further Nwg-regular space is defined and investigated some of its characteristics.

Keywords— Nano topological space, Nano continuous function, Nwg-continuous function, Nwg-irresolute function, Nwg-closed map, Nwg Normal and Nwg Regular spaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1971 Viglino[1] generalized Normal space by defining Semi Normal space. Singal and Arya [2] introduced almost normal space and proved that a space is Normal if and only if it is both a semi Normal and an almost Normal space. In 1987, Ganulay et al [3] generalized the usual notion of regularity and normality by replacing closed with gclosed set and obtained g- regularity and g- notmality. Ganster et al [4] studied semi g-regularity and semi gnormality. Paul and Bhattacharya [5] discussed Pnormal space. Maheswari and Prasad [6,7] introduced and investigated some properties of Snormal spaces and S-regular spaces. Many authors have investigated different form of normality [8-17]

Lellis Thivagar [18] observed that universal set, lower and upper approximations of a subset of universal set forms a basis for topology and named it as Nano topology because of its small size. He [19] also studied the Nano continuity in terms of Nano interior and Nano closure of a set. Dhanis Arul Mary A et al [20] studied some Characterizations of mildly nano gb-normal spaces. S.B.Shalini [21] introduced Nano Generalized b Regular Spaces and Nano Generalized b Normal Spaces in Nano Topological Spaces.

Nagaveni and Bhuvaneswari [22-24] applied the concept of weakly generalization in Nano topology and introduced Nwg-closed sets and continued their work to Nwg-continuous and Nwg-closed map.

Throughout this paper $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a Nano Topological space with respect to X Where $X \subseteq U$, R is an equivalence relation on U, U/R denotes the family of equivalence classes of U by R. $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ is a Nano Topological space with respect to Y Where $Y \subseteq V$, R is an equivalence N.Nagaveni Associate Professor in Mathematics Coimbatore Institute of Technology Coimbatore, India.

relation on V, $\frac{V}{R}$ denotes the family of equivalence classes of V by $\frac{R}{R}$. In this paper we

equivalence classes of V by R In this paper we introduced Nwg-Normal and Nwg regular spaces and investigated some of these properties.

II. PRELIMINARIES

This section is to recall some definitions and properties which are useful in this study.

Definition:2.1[18]

Let U be a non empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U,R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$.

1. The Lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and is defined by

$$L_{R}(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \left\{ R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X \right\}.$$

Where R(x) denotes the equivalence class determined by x.

- 2. The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and is defined by $u_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \phi\}.$
- 3. The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be classified neither as X nor as not- X with respect to R and is defined by $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$.

Definition: 2.3[18]

Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_{R}(X) = \{U, \phi, L_{R}(X), U_{R}(X), B_{R}(X)\}$

where $X \subseteq U$. Then $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms.

1. U and $\phi \in \tau_R(X)$.

2. The union of the elements of any sub collection of $\tau_{R}(X)$ is in $\tau_{R}(X)$.

3. The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_{R}(X)$ is in $\tau_{R}(X)$.

That is $\tau_R(X)$ forms a topology on U called as the Nano topology on U with respect to X. We call $(U, \tau_R(X))$ as the Nano topological space. The elements of $\tau_R(X)$ are called as Nano open sets. Elements of $[\tau_R(X)]^c$ are called Nano closed sets.

Definition:2.4 [18]

If $\tau_{R}(X)$ is the Nano topology on U with respect to X, then the set $B = \{U, L_{R}(X), U_{R}(X)\}$ is the basis for $\tau_{R}(X)$.

Definition:2.5 [18]

If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a Nano Topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$ then the Nano interior of A is defined as the union of all Nano open subsets of A and it is denoted by NInt(A).Nano interior is the largest Nano open subset of A.

Definition:2.6 [18]

The Nano closure of A is defined as the intersection of all Nano closed sets containing A and it is denoted by Ncl(A).It is the smallest Nano closed set containing A.

Definition:2.7 [18]

Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a Nano Topological space with respect to X and $A \subseteq U$. Then A is said to be (i) Nano semi- open if $A \subseteq NCl$ (*NInt* (A)) (ii) Nano pre- open if $A \subseteq NInt$ (*NCl* (A)) (iii) Nano α -open if $A \subseteq NInt$ (*NCl* (*NInt* (A))) (iv) Nano Regular open if A = NInt (*NCl* (A))

Definition:2.8 [21]

Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a Nano Topological space. A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called Nano weakly generalized closed (briefly Nwg-closed) set if *Ncl* $(N \text{ int}(A)) \subseteq V$ where $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open. The complement of Nano weakly generalized closed set is Nano weakly generalized open set.

Definition: 2.9

The map $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is called

(i) Nano-continuous [19] on U if the inverse image of every Nano closed set in V is Nano closed in U.

(ii) Nano closed (open) on U if the image of every Nano closed set in U is Nano closed(open) set in V.

(iii) Nwg-continuous [22] on U if the inverse image of every Nano closed set in V is Nano weakly generalized closed in U.

(iv) Nwg-irresolute on [22] U if the inverse image of every Nwg closed set in V is Nano weakly generalized closed in U.

(vii) Nwg-closed (open) [23] on U if the image of every Nano-closed set in U is Nwg-closed(open) set in V.

Definition: 2.10

A Nano Topological Space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be Nano-normal space if for any pair of disjoint nano closed sets A and B, there exists disjoint Nanoopen sets M and N such that $A \subset M$ and $B \subset N$.

Definition: 2.11

A Nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be Nano-regular space, if for each Nano closed set *F* and each point $x \notin F$, there exists disjoint Nano open sets *G* and *H* such that $x \in G$ and $F \subset H$.

III NWG-NORMAL SPACE

In this section we define a new space called Nwg-normal space and study some of its properties.

Definition :3.1

A Nano Topological Space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be Nwg-normal space if for any pair of disjoint nano closed sets A and B, there exists disjoint Nwg-open sets M and N such that $A \subset M$ and $B \subset N$.

Theorem: 3.2

Every Nano normal space is Nwg-normal space.

Proof: Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is Nano normal space and A and B are two disjoint pair of nano closed sets. Since $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is Nano normal there exists disjoint Nano open sets M and N such that $A \subset M$ and $B \subset N$. since every nano open set is Nwg-open M and N are Nwg open sets. Henece $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is Nwg-normal space.

Remark:3.3

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem need not be true.

Example: 3.4

Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U / R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_{p}(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$. Then

 $(U, \tau_{R}(X))$ is Nwg-normal space but not Nano normal space.

Remark:3.5

Let U be the universe, $X \subseteq U$, and if $U_R(X) = X$ and $L_R(X) = \phi$ then $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is Nwg-normal space.

Remark:3.6

Let U be the universe, $X \subseteq U$, and if $U_R(X) = X$ and $L_R(X) = U$ then $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is not Nwg-normal space.

Theorem: 3.7

If A Nano topological space U is Nwgnormal then for every pair of nano open M and N whose union is U, there exist Nwg closed sets A and B such that $A \subset M$, $B \subset N$ and $A \cup B = U$. **Proof:**

Let M and N be a pair of Nano open sets in a Nwg-normal space U such that $M \cup N = U$. Then U - M, U - N are disjoint Nano closed sets. Since U is Nwg-normal space, there exists two Nwg-open sets M_1 and N_1 such that $U - M \subset M_1$ and $U - N \subset N_1$. Let $A = U - M_1$ and $B = U - N_1$. Then A and B are Nwg-closed sets such that

Theorem 3.8

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano continuous injective, Nwg open function and U is Nano normal space then V is Nwg-normal. **Proof:**

 $A \subset M$, $B \subset N$ and $A \cup B = U$.

Let E and F be disjoint Nano closed set in VSince f is Nano continuous bijective, $f^{-1}(E)$ and $f^{-1}(F)$ are disjoint Nano closed in U. Now U is Nano normal space, there exist disjoint Nano open sets G and H such that $f^{-1}(E) \subset G$ and $f^{-1}(F) \subset H$. That is $E \subset f(G)$ and $F \subset f(H)$ since f is Nwg-open function, f(G), f(H) are Nwg-open sets in V and $f(G) \cap f(H) = f(G \cap H)$ f is injective, $= f(\phi) = \phi$. Therefore V is Nwg-normal space.

Remark:3.9

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano continuous injective, Nano open function and U is Nano normal space then V is Nwg-normal.

Theorem 3.10

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nwg-continuous, Nano closed injection and V is a Nano normal space then U is Nwg-normal. **Proof:**

Let *E* and *F* be disjoint Nano closed set in *U* Since *f* is Nano closed injection, f(E) and f(F) are disjoint Nano closed in *V*. Now *V* is Nano normal space, there exist disjoint Nano open sets *G* and *H* such that $f(E) \subset G$ and $f(F) \subset H$. That is $E \subset f^{-1}(G)$ and $F \subset f^{-1}(H)$. Since *f* is Nwgcontinuous function, $f^{-1}(G)$ and $f^{-1}(H)$ are Nwg-open sets in *U*. Further $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = \phi$. Therefore *U* is Nwgnormal space.

Remark 3.11

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano-continuous, Nano closed injection and V is a Nano normal space then U is Nwg-normal.

Theorem 3.12

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nwg irresolute, Nano closed injection and V is a Nwg-normal then U is Nwg-normal. **Proof:**

Let *E* and *F* be disjoint Nano closed set in *U*. Since *f* is Nano closed injection, f(E) and f(F) are disjoint Nano closed in *V*. Now *V* is Nwgnormal space, there exist disjoint Nwg-open sets *G* and *H* such that $f(E) \subset G$ and $f(G) \subset H$. That is $E \subset f^{-1}(G)$ and $F \subset f^{-1}(H)$, Since *f* is Nwg irresolute, $f^{-1}(G)$ and $f^{-1}(H)$ are Nwg open sets in *U*. Further $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = \phi$. Therefore *U* is Nwg-normal space.

Remark 3.13

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nwg irresolute, Nano closed injection and V is a Nwg-normal then U is Nwg-normal.

IV NWG-REGULAR SPACE

In this section we introduce a new space called Nwg-regular space and investigate some of its characteristics.

Definition 4.1.

A Nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be Nwg-regular space, if for each Nano closed set *F* and each point $x \notin F$, there exists disjoint Nwg open sets *G* and *H* such that $x \in G$ and $F \subset H$.

Theorem 4.2.

Every Nano regular space is Nwg-regular space.

Proof:

Let F be a Nano closed set and $x \notin F$ be

a point of a Nano regular space $(U, \tau_R(X))$. Since U is Nano regular space there exist two disjoint Nano open sets G and H such that $x \in G$ and $F \subset H$. since every Nano open set is Nwg open set, G and H are Nwg open sets such that $x \in G$ and $F \subset H$.

Hence $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is Nwg-regular space.

Remark 4.3.

Every Nwg-regular space need not be Nano regular as given in the following example.

Example 4.4

Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U / R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, c\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a, c\}\}$. Then $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is Nwg-regular space but not Nano regular space.

Theorem 4.5.

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano continuous bijective, Nwg open function and U is a Nano regular space then V is Nwg regular. **Proof:**

Let *F* be a Nano closed set in *V* and $y \notin F$ Let y = f(x) for some $x \in U$. Since *f* is Nano continuous, $f^{-1}(F)$ is Nano closed in *U* such that $x \notin f^{-1}(F)$. Now *U* is Nano regular space, there exist disjoint Nano open sets *G* and *H* such that $x \in G$ and $f^{-1}(F) \subset H$. That is $y = f(x) \in f(G)$ and $F \in f(H)$. Since *f* is Nwg open function, f(G) and f(H) are Nwg open sets in V. $f(G) \cap f(H) = f(G \cap H) = \phi$. Therefore V is Nwg regular space.

Remark 4.6

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano continuous bijective, Nwg open function and U is a Nano regular space then V is Nwg regular. **Theorem 4.7**

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nwg continuous, Nano closed injection and V is a Nano regular space then U is Nwg regular. **Proof:**

Let *F* be a Nano closed set in *U* and $x \notin F$. Since *f* is Nano closed injection, f(F) is Nano closed set in *V* such that $f(x) \notin f(F)$. Now *V* is Nano regular, there exist disjoint Nano open sets *G* and *H* such that $f(x) \subset G$ and $f(F) \subset H$. Thus $x \in f^{-1}(G)$ and $F \subset f^{-1}(H)$. Since *f* is Nwg continuous function $f^{-1}(G)$ and $f^{-1}(H)$ are Nwg open sets in *U*. $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = \phi$. Hence *U* is Nwg regular space.

Remark4.8

If $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nano continuous, Nano closed injection and V is a Nano regular space then U is Nwg regular.

Theorem 4.9

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nwg irresolute, Nano closed injection and V is a Nwg-regular then U is also Nwg regular. **Proof:**

Let *F* be a Nano closed set in *U* and $x \notin F$. f(F) is Nano closed set in *V* since *f* is Nano closed. But *V* is Nwg regular, hence there exist disjoint Nwg open sets *G* and *H* in *V* such that $f(x) \in G$ and $f(F) \subset H$. This implies $x \in f^{-1}(G)$ and $F \subset f^{-1}(H)$. Where $f^{-1}(G)$ and $f^{-1}(H)$ are Nwg open sets in *U* since *f* is Nwg irresolute. And $f^{-1}(G)$ and $f^{-1}(H)$ are disjoint sets. Hence *U* is Nwg regular space.

Remark 4.10

If $f: (U, \tau_R(X)) \to (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Nwg irresolute, Nano closed injection and V is a Nano-regular then U is also Nwg regular.

References

- G. Viglino, Semi-normal and C-compact spaces, Duke J. Math., 38(1971), 57-61.
- [2] M. K. Singal and S. P. Arya, On almost normal and almost completely regular spaces, Glasnik Mat., 5(5)(1970), 141-152.
- [3] G A. Ganguly and R. S. Chandel, Some results on general topology, J. Indian Acad. Math. 9(2)(1987), 87–91.
- [4] M. Ganster, S. Jafari and G. B. Navalagi, On semi-g-regular and semi-g-normal spaces, Demonstratio Math 2002, 35(2), 415-421.
- [5] Paul and Bhattacharyya, On p-normal spaces, Soochow J. Math., 21(3)(1995), 273-289.
- [6] S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, On s-regular spaces, Glasnik, Mat.Ser.III, 10(1975), 347-350.
- [7] S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, On s-normal spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N. S.), 22(68)(1978), 27-29.
- [8] S.P. Arya and M.P. Bhamini, A generalization of normal spaces, Mat. Vesnik 35 (1983), 1-10.
- [9] S. P. Arya and T. M. Nour, Characterizations of s-normal spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 21(1990), 717-719.
- [10] M. T M E Abd El-Monsef, A N Geaisa, and R A Mahmoud. β-regular spaces. Proceedings of the Mathematical and Physical Society of Egypt, 60:47–52, 1985.
- [11] A. K. Das , Δ -normal spaces and decompositions of normality, Applied General Topology, Volume 10, No. 2, 2009, pp. 197-206.
- [12] Erdal Ekici, On γ -normal spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome 50(98) No. 3, 2007, 259–272
- [13] M. Ganster, S. Jafari and G. B. Navalagi, On semi-g-regular and semi-g-normal spaces, Demonstratio Math 2002, 35(2), 415-421.
- [14] J. K. Kohli and A. K. Das, New Normality Axioms and Decompositions of Normality, Glasnik Mat, Vol. 37(57), 2002, 165 – 175.
- [15] J.K. Kohli and D. Singh, Weak normality properties and factorizations of normality, Acta. Math. Hungar. 110 (2006), no. 1-2, 67–80.
- [16] J.Mack, Countable paracompactness and weak normality properties, Trans. Amer.Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 265–272.
- [17] T. Noiri, Semi-normal spaces and some functions, Acta Math. Hungar., 65(3)(1994), 305-311.
- [18] M.Lellis Thivagar, Carmel Richard, "on Nano forms of weakly open sets", International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, volume 1, Issue 1, August 2013, pp-31-37.
- [19] M.Lellis Thivagar, Carmel Richard, "on Nano continuity, Mathematical theory and Modelling, Voi.3, No 7, 2013, 32-37.
- [20] Dhanis Arul Mary A, Arockiarani I, Characterizations of mildly nano gb-normal spaces, International journal of Applied Research, 1 (9), 587-591, 2015.
- [21] S.B.Shalini, G. Sindhu and K.Indirani, On Nano Generalized b Regular Spaces and Nano Generalized b Normal Spaces in Nano Topological Spaces, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 14, No. 2, 2017, 225-229.
- [22] N.Nagaveni and M.Bhuvaneswari"On Nano weakly generalized closed sets", International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 106, No.7, 2016,129-137.
- [23] N.Nagaveni and M.Bhuvaneswari, On Nano weakly generalized continuous functions", International Journal of Emerging Research in Management & Technology, Volume-6, Issue-4, 2017,95-100.

[24] M. Bhuvaneswari & N. Nagaveni, A Weaker form of a closed map in Nano Topological space, International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Volume 5, Issue 3, 2017, 77-82.